

Urban Heat Mitigation through AI-Optimized Green Roof Networks in Dense Metropolitan Areas

Michelle Angelina ¹, Rangga Mahendra ², Sekar Puspita ³

¹ Universitas Tarumanagara 1

² Universitas Tarumanagara 2

³ Universitas Mercu Buana 3

Correspondence: Sekarpuspita21@email.com

Article Info

Article history:

Received Aug 12th, 2025

Revised Nov 20th, 2025

Accepted Jan 26th, 2026

Keyword:

urban heat island, green roof networks, artificial intelligence, spatial optimization, urban sustainability

ABSTRACT

This study aims to develop and evaluate an artificial intelligence (AI)-optimized framework for green roof networks to mitigate urban heat in dense metropolitan areas. A qualitative approach with a case study design was employed to capture the complexity of spatial, environmental, and technological interactions, as this design enables an in-depth exploration of real-world urban dynamics. The research was conducted in the Surabaya Metropolitan Area, Indonesia, selected due to its high population density, pronounced urban heat island effects, and availability of spatial and environmental data. A total of twelve informants were purposively selected, consisting of urban planners, environmental experts, and technology specialists, based on their expertise and direct involvement in urban development and sustainability initiatives. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, document analysis, and spatial assessment, and analyzed using thematic analysis. The findings reveal that AI-optimized green roof networks significantly enhance urban heat mitigation compared to isolated installations by improving spatial distribution and ecological connectivity. The study concludes that integrating AI with green infrastructure planning offers a more adaptive and efficient solution for urban climate challenges. It is recommended that future research incorporate real-time data integration and expand comparative studies across multiple cities.



© 2025 The Authors. Published by PT. KARYA GRAFINDO PRIMA PERKASA. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

INTRODUCTION

Urban areas are increasingly exposed to intensifying thermal stress as a consequence of rapid urbanization, land-use transformation, and anthropogenic heat emissions (Aboulnaga et al., 2024b). The urban heat island (UHI) phenomenon, characterized by elevated temperatures in metropolitan regions compared to surrounding rural areas, has become a critical environmental and public health concern (Aslani et al., 2024). Recent studies have highlighted that dense metropolitan configurations, dominated by impervious surfaces such as asphalt and concrete, significantly reduce natural heat dissipation while amplifying solar radiation absorption (K. Wang & Aktas, 2024). In response, green infrastructure particularly green roofs has emerged as a promising mitigation strategy due to its capacity to enhance evapotranspiration, improve thermal insulation, and reduce ambient temperatures (Schlögl et al., 2023). However, despite their proven benefits at the building scale, the effectiveness of green roofs at the network or city scale remains underexplored, particularly when considering spatial optimization and dynamic urban conditions (Malaperdas & Makios, 2025).

The current state of the art demonstrates a growing integration of digital technologies, including artificial intelligence (AI), in urban climate adaptation strategies (Lux, 2024). AI-driven models have been employed to predict urban heat distribution, optimize energy consumption, and simulate environmental interventions (Li et al., 2025). Nevertheless, most existing approaches treat green roofs as isolated interventions rather than components of an interconnected system (Yin et al., 2025). This limitation constrains the potential cumulative impact of green infrastructure in densely populated areas where spatial constraints and heterogeneous urban morphology require more sophisticated planning (Taccone & Rizzo, 2024). Moreover, there is a lack of comprehensive frameworks that integrate AI optimization with multi-variable urban datasets, such as land surface temperature, building density,

vegetation indices, and meteorological parameters, to design efficient green roof networks (Rogers, 2025b).

The primary problem addressed in this research lies in the absence of an integrated, AI-based optimization model capable of strategically distributing green roof systems across dense metropolitan landscapes to maximize heat mitigation outcomes. Existing studies often rely on static or heuristic-based planning methods, which fail to account for temporal variability and complex urban interactions (Shen & WANG, 2025). This gap highlights the need for a data-driven, adaptive approach that can dynamically identify optimal locations and configurations for green roof deployment. Furthermore, there is limited empirical validation of network-level green roof performance under varying climatic and urban density scenarios, which restricts the scalability of current solutions (Mahmoud & Arabi, 2025).

This study introduces a novel framework that leverages AI optimization techniques to design interconnected green roof networks tailored to dense metropolitan environments. The novelty of this research lies in its integration of machine learning algorithms with spatial analysis and urban climate modeling to achieve a system-level optimization of green infrastructure. Unlike conventional approaches, this study conceptualizes green roofs as nodes within a network, enabling synergistic interactions that enhance overall cooling performance. The proposed model incorporates multi-source data and applies advanced optimization methods, such as genetic algorithms or reinforcement learning, to determine the most effective spatial distribution patterns. This approach not only improves thermal mitigation efficiency but also supports sustainable urban planning practices.

Based on the identified gaps, this research is guided by several key questions: how can AI-based models optimize the spatial distribution of green roof networks in dense urban environments; to what extent can interconnected green roofs reduce urban heat intensity compared to isolated implementations; and what variables most significantly influence the effectiveness of such networks. Accordingly, the primary objective of this study is to develop and validate an AI-driven optimization model for green roof networks that enhances urban heat mitigation in metropolitan areas. Additionally, the research aims to evaluate the performance of the proposed model under different urban scenarios and to identify critical parameters influencing its effectiveness.

The significance of this research can be examined from multiple perspectives. Theoretically, it contributes to the advancement of urban climate science by introducing a systems-based approach to green infrastructure optimization. Academically, it provides a robust methodological framework that integrates AI, spatial analysis, and environmental modeling, offering a valuable reference for future interdisciplinary studies. Practically, the findings of this research can inform policymakers, urban planners, and architects in designing more effective and sustainable strategies for mitigating urban heat. The implementation of optimized green roof networks has the potential to improve urban livability, reduce energy consumption for cooling, and enhance resilience against climate change (X. Zhang et al., 2025).

Despite its contributions, this study acknowledges several limitations. The proposed model relies on the availability and quality of high-resolution spatial and environmental data, which may vary across different cities (Abreu-Harbich et al., 2024). Additionally, the complexity of AI algorithms may pose challenges in terms of computational resources and interpretability (Gryaznova, 2024). The study may also be constrained by the assumptions made in the modeling process, which could affect the generalizability of the results. Therefore, future research is recommended to explore the integration of real-time data streams, such as Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, to enhance model accuracy and adaptability. Further investigations could also examine the socio-economic aspects of green roof implementation, including cost-benefit analysis and stakeholder engagement, as well as expand the model to include other forms of green infrastructure such as urban forests and vertical greenery systems.

In conclusion, this research addresses a critical gap in urban heat mitigation strategies by proposing an AI-optimized green roof network framework. Through a comprehensive and interdisciplinary approach, it seeks to advance both theoretical understanding and practical applications

in sustainable urban development, ultimately contributing to the creation of cooler, healthier, and more resilient metropolitan environments.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The growing urgency of mitigating urban heat in dense metropolitan areas has stimulated extensive scholarly attention toward the integration of green infrastructure and intelligent systems (J. Chen et al., 2025). Urban heat island (UHI) dynamics, driven by land cover transformation and anthropogenic activities, have been widely examined through environmental science and urban planning perspectives (Rezaei et al., 2024). Green roofs, as a form of nature-based solution, have demonstrated measurable benefits in reducing surface and ambient temperatures, improving energy efficiency, and enhancing urban resilience (Klink, 2025). Concurrently, advances in artificial intelligence (AI) have enabled more sophisticated approaches to spatial optimization and environmental modeling (R. Kumar, 2024). This literature review synthesizes key theoretical foundations underpinning the integration of green roof systems and AI optimization, focusing on three principal theories: Urban Heat Island Theory, Landscape Ecology Theory, and Artificial Intelligence Optimization Theory.

Urban Heat Island Theory was systematically developed and popularized by Luke Howard in 1833, an English meteorologist associated with early scientific networks in London, United Kingdom (Cascone et al., 2024). Howard's pioneering observations revealed that urban areas exhibit higher temperatures than their rural surroundings due to structural and material differences (Semenzato & Bortolini, 2023). This theory has since evolved into a fundamental framework for understanding urban thermal behavior (Irfeey et al., 2023). According to Oke (1982), a leading climatologist from the University of British Columbia, Canada, the UHI effect is shaped by factors such as surface albedo, thermal capacity, urban geometry, and reduced vegetation cover (David, 2024). Oke emphasized the energy balance model, which conceptualizes cities as modified climatic systems with altered radiative and convective processes (Alexandra & Philip, 2025). The theoretical framework highlights how built environments trap heat and limit cooling mechanisms, forming the basis for mitigation strategies such as green roofs. Contemporary developments extend this theory through remote sensing and urban climate simulations, enabling high-resolution mapping of thermal patterns and facilitating data-driven interventions (Cheshmehzangi, 2025).

Landscape Ecology Theory, introduced by Richard T. T. Forman in 1986 at Harvard University, United States, provides a spatial and ecological perspective on the distribution and interaction of landscape elements (Sakson, 2023). Forman conceptualized landscapes as mosaics composed of patches, corridors, and matrices, each contributing to ecological processes and flows (Abounaga et al., 2024c). This theory is particularly relevant in urban contexts, where green roofs can be interpreted as ecological patches embedded within a built matrix. Wu (2006), a prominent scholar from Arizona State University, United States, further advanced this theory by integrating urban ecology with landscape metrics, emphasizing spatial heterogeneity and connectivity as critical determinants of ecological function (Wai et al., 2025). The conceptual framework suggests that the effectiveness of green infrastructure depends not only on its presence but also on its spatial arrangement and connectivity (Kim et al., 2025). Recent developments incorporate geospatial technologies and network analysis to evaluate ecological performance, supporting the transition from isolated interventions to interconnected green systems (Yi et al., 2025). This evolution aligns closely with the concept of green roof networks, where spatial configuration significantly influences thermal mitigation outcomes (He, 2024).

Artificial Intelligence Optimization Theory has been shaped by foundational work from John McCarthy in 1956 at Dartmouth College, United States, where AI was formally introduced as a field of study (Jang et al., 2023). McCarthy defined AI as the science of creating intelligent machines capable of performing tasks that typically require human intelligence (ALYARI et al., 2025). Building on this foundation, Russell and Norvig (2010) from the University of California, Berkeley, United States, articulated a comprehensive framework for AI, including machine learning, search algorithms, and optimization techniques (Ananyeva & Emmanuel, 2023). Their conceptualization emphasizes rational agents that operate in complex environments to achieve optimal outcomes (Lamichhane et al., 2024). In the context of urban systems, AI optimization enables the analysis of large-scale, multi-dimensional

datasets to identify efficient solutions for spatial planning problems (Eingrüber et al., 2025). Recent advancements include deep learning, reinforcement learning, and hybrid optimization models, which allow dynamic adaptation to changing environmental conditions (Cavadini & Cook, 2025). These developments are particularly relevant for optimizing green roof networks, where multiple variables and constraints must be simultaneously considered.

The integration of these three theories provides a robust conceptual framework for addressing the primary research problem: the limited effectiveness of current green roof implementations due to the absence of system-level optimization. Urban Heat Island Theory explains the underlying thermal challenges, Landscape Ecology Theory highlights the importance of spatial configuration, and Artificial Intelligence Optimization Theory offers the methodological tools to address complexity and scale. Together, they form an interdisciplinary foundation that bridges environmental science, spatial analysis, and computational intelligence.

Despite significant progress, a critical research gap persists in the application of these theories in a unified manner (Favaro & Vasilakopoulou, 2025). Existing studies often examine UHI mitigation, green infrastructure, or AI optimization in isolation, resulting in fragmented approaches that fail to capture the full potential of integrated solutions (J. Zhang, 2024). For instance, while UHI studies provide detailed thermal analyses, they rarely incorporate optimization techniques for intervention planning (Ruckchue et al., 2024). Similarly, landscape ecology research emphasizes spatial patterns but often lacks dynamic, data-driven optimization (Rogers, 2025a). AI-based studies, on the other hand, frequently focus on algorithmic performance without sufficient consideration of ecological and climatic contexts (Aboulnaga et al., 2024d). This gap underscores the need for a holistic framework that combines theoretical insights with advanced computational methods.

The relevance of these theories to the research questions is evident. Urban Heat Island Theory informs the investigation of how thermal intensity can be reduced through targeted interventions. Landscape Ecology Theory guides the exploration of spatial distribution and connectivity of green roofs. Artificial Intelligence Optimization Theory supports the development of models capable of identifying optimal configurations under complex constraints. These theoretical linkages directly inform the research objectives, which aim to develop an AI-optimized green roof network model and evaluate its effectiveness in mitigating urban heat.

From a theoretical perspective, the integration of these frameworks contributes to the advancement of interdisciplinary research in urban sustainability. Academically, it offers a novel synthesis of established theories, providing a comprehensive reference for future studies. Practically, it enables the design of more efficient and scalable urban heat mitigation strategies, supporting policymakers and urban planners in decision-making processes. The benefits extend to improved urban livability, reduced energy consumption, and enhanced climate resilience (Krsnik, 2024).

Recent developments further reinforce the relevance of this integrated approach. Advances in remote sensing, Internet of Things (IoT) technologies, and big data analytics have enhanced the ability to collect and process urban environmental data in real time (Mosca et al., 2024). These innovations complement AI optimization techniques, enabling more accurate and adaptive modeling (Gore et al., 2025). At the same time, the increasing emphasis on nature-based solutions in global climate policies highlights the importance of green infrastructure as a sustainable intervention (Moukomla et al., 2024). The convergence of these trends creates a conducive environment for implementing AI-optimized green roof networks.

In conclusion, the literature review demonstrates that Urban Heat Island Theory, Landscape Ecology Theory, and Artificial Intelligence Optimization Theory collectively provide a comprehensive foundation for addressing the challenges of urban heat mitigation. The perspectives of Howard, Forman, and McCarthy, along with subsequent contributions from Oke, Wu, and Russell and Norvig, illustrate the evolution and contemporary relevance of these theories. Their integration addresses the identified research gap by combining thermal analysis, spatial configuration, and computational optimization. This synthesis supports the formulation of research questions, objectives, and expected contributions,

ultimately leading to a novel approach for enhancing the effectiveness of green roof networks in dense metropolitan areas.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study adopts a qualitative research approach to investigate the optimization of green roof networks for urban heat mitigation through artificial intelligence (AI) in dense metropolitan areas. A qualitative design is considered appropriate because the research seeks to explore complex interactions among environmental, spatial, and technological variables that cannot be fully captured through purely quantitative measurements (Zhu et al., 2024). Specifically, the study employs a case study design, enabling an in-depth examination of contextual dynamics, stakeholder perspectives, and spatial characteristics within a real-world urban environment (Abounaga et al., 2024a). The rationale for using a case study lies in its capacity to integrate multiple sources of evidence, including spatial data, expert insights, and policy documents, thereby providing a holistic understanding of how AI-driven optimization can be applied to green infrastructure planning (Tucci et al., 2024).

The selected research location is Surabaya Metropolitan Area, East Java, Indonesia, which represents a rapidly urbanizing city with high population density, significant land-use transformation, and increasing thermal stress associated with the urban heat island effect (Suri, 2025). The choice of Surabaya is justified by several factors. First, the city exhibits a typical tropical urban climate where heat accumulation is intensified by high solar radiation and limited green open spaces (S. Chen et al., 2025). Second, Surabaya has initiated various sustainability programs, including urban greening and smart city initiatives, making it a relevant context for exploring AI-based interventions (Borah et al., 2025). Third, the availability of spatial and environmental data, including land surface temperature, building density, and vegetation indices, supports comprehensive analysis. These characteristics make Surabaya an appropriate and representative case for examining the feasibility and effectiveness of AI-optimized green roof networks in dense metropolitan settings.

In qualitative research, the selection of participants is guided by purposive sampling to ensure that informants possess relevant knowledge and experience related to the research topic (Escudero et al., 2024). This study involves a total of twelve informants drawn from three main stakeholder groups: urban planners, environmental experts, and technology specialists. The informants are selected based on their professional roles, expertise, and involvement in urban development or environmental management (Ye, 2023). Each informant is assigned a pseudonym to maintain confidentiality. The urban planning group includes Informant A (senior urban planner at the municipal planning agency), Informant B (architect specializing in sustainable design), Informant C (policy analyst in urban development), and Informant D (land-use planning consultant). These participants are chosen because they have direct experience in spatial planning and policy formulation, which are essential for understanding the practical constraints and opportunities of implementing green roof networks.

The environmental expert group consists of Informant E (climate scientist from a local university), Informant F (urban ecologist), Informant G (environmental NGO representative), and Informant H (meteorological analyst). Their inclusion is based on their expertise in urban climate dynamics, ecosystem services, and environmental monitoring. These informants provide critical insights into the effectiveness of green roofs in mitigating urban heat and the ecological implications of large-scale implementation. The technology specialist group includes Informant I (AI researcher), Informant J (data scientist specializing in geospatial analysis), Informant K (smart city technology developer), and Informant L (software engineer focusing on environmental modeling). These participants are selected due to their knowledge of AI algorithms, data integration, and system optimization, which are central to the development of the proposed model.

Data collection is conducted through multiple techniques to ensure methodological triangulation and enhance the credibility of findings (Pierce, 2025). In-depth semi-structured interviews serve as the primary data source, allowing informants to share their perspectives while enabling the researcher to probe specific issues related to AI optimization and green roof implementation (Stoicuta et al., 2025). The interview guide is designed to cover key themes, including urban heat challenges, green infrastructure strategies, technological feasibility, and policy considerations. In addition to interviews, document analysis is performed on urban planning reports, environmental policies, and

technical guidelines to contextualize the findings within existing regulatory frameworks (Yahya et al., 2024). Spatial data analysis is also incorporated, utilizing satellite imagery and geographic information systems (GIS) to examine urban morphology and identify potential locations for green roof deployment (Sanfilippo et al., 2024).

The data analysis process follows a thematic analysis approach, which involves several stages (Daminello & Ribeiro, 2023). First, all interview transcripts and documents are carefully reviewed to achieve data familiarization. Second, initial codes are generated to capture relevant concepts and patterns related to the research questions. Third, these codes are organized into broader themes, such as “spatial optimization challenges,” “ecological benefits of green roofs,” and “AI integration barriers.” Fourth, the themes are reviewed and refined to ensure coherence and alignment with the theoretical framework. Finally, the findings are interpreted in relation to the three underlying theories: Urban Heat Island Theory, Landscape Ecology Theory, and Artificial Intelligence Optimization Theory. This analytical process enables the identification of key relationships and insights that inform the development of the proposed model.

To ensure the trustworthiness of the research, several validation strategies are employed (Kareem, 2023). Credibility is enhanced through triangulation of data sources and member checking, where selected informants are invited to review and confirm the accuracy of the findings (L. Ma et al., 2023). Transferability is addressed by providing detailed descriptions of the research context and methodology, allowing other researchers to assess the applicability of the results to different settings (T. Zhang et al., 2025). Dependability is ensured through systematic documentation of the research process, while confirmability is achieved by maintaining a clear audit trail of data collection and analysis decisions (Jain et al., 2023).

The technique for drawing conclusions in this study follows an inductive reasoning approach, consistent with qualitative research traditions (Huang et al., 2024). Conclusions are derived from the synthesis of empirical findings, theoretical insights, and contextual analysis. The researcher identifies recurring patterns and relationships across data sources, which are then interpreted to address the research questions. This process involves iterative comparison between data and theory, ensuring that conclusions are grounded in evidence while contributing to theoretical development (Vera et al., 2025). Additionally, the study employs analytical generalization, whereby findings from the case study are used to inform broader theoretical propositions rather than statistical generalization (Horanyi & Thorn, 2025).

Ethical considerations are carefully addressed throughout the research process (Zuccarini, 2025). All informants provide informed consent prior to participation, and their identities are protected through the use of pseudonyms (Shukla et al., 2025). Data confidentiality is maintained by securely storing interview recordings and transcripts, and access is restricted to the research team (Duo et al., 2023). The study also ensures that the use of AI and spatial data complies with relevant ethical and legal standards, particularly in relation to data privacy and responsible technology use (S. Kumar et al., 2024).

Despite its comprehensive design, the study acknowledges certain methodological limitations. The reliance on qualitative data may limit the ability to quantify the exact magnitude of temperature reduction achieved by green roof networks. Additionally, the case study approach may constrain the generalizability of findings to other cities with different climatic and socio-economic conditions (Sampaio et al., 2024). The selection of informants, while purposive, may also introduce potential bias based on their perspectives and experiences (Ristianti et al., 2024). However, these limitations are mitigated through triangulation and rigorous analytical procedures (Zhao et al., 2024).

Future research is recommended to complement this qualitative approach with quantitative modeling and experimental validation. Integrating real-time sensor data and advanced simulation tools could enhance the precision of AI optimization models (Zhou et al., 2025). Comparative studies across multiple cities would also provide a broader understanding of the applicability of the proposed framework. Furthermore, interdisciplinary collaboration between urban planners, environmental scientists, and AI specialists is essential to advance the implementation of sustainable urban solutions.

In summary, the methodology employed in this study is designed to comprehensively explore the potential of AI-optimized green roof networks for mitigating urban heat in dense metropolitan areas. By combining qualitative inquiry, spatial analysis, and expert insights, the research provides a robust foundation for developing innovative and context-sensitive solutions to one of the most pressing challenges in urban sustainability.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study demonstrate that the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) with green roof networks provides a significant and systematic contribution to mitigating urban heat in dense metropolitan areas (K. Wang & Aktas, 2025). The results are derived from thematic analysis of expert interviews, spatial assessments, and document reviews conducted in the Surabaya Metropolitan Area. These findings are interpreted through the lens of Urban Heat Island Theory, Landscape Ecology Theory, and Artificial Intelligence Optimization Theory, ensuring a comprehensive and theoretically grounded explanation of outcomes.

The primary problem identified in this research concerns the limited effectiveness of conventional green roof implementation, which is often fragmented and lacks strategic spatial coordination (H. Zhang et al., 2024). The findings reveal that isolated green roof installations provide localized cooling effects but fail to produce substantial temperature reductions at the urban scale (NAIR et al., 2025). Informants consistently emphasized that without network-level planning, the cumulative benefits remain suboptimal. This aligns with Urban Heat Island Theory, particularly Oke's energy balance framework, which suggests that large-scale thermal mitigation requires systemic alterations in surface characteristics (Sun, 2025). The study finds that when green roofs are designed as interconnected systems rather than isolated units, their cooling effects become spatially amplified, contributing to broader urban temperature regulation (D. Wang et al., 2024).

From the perspective of Landscape Ecology Theory, the results highlight the importance of spatial configuration and connectivity in determining the effectiveness of green roof networks (Maciejko et al., 2025). The analysis indicates that green roofs positioned in strategic clusters particularly in high-density and high-temperature zones create ecological corridors that enhance airflow and evapotranspiration processes (Gidigah et al., 2024). Informants noted that fragmented green infrastructure lacks the ecological continuity necessary to influence urban microclimates. This finding supports Forman's concept of spatial heterogeneity and Wu's extension regarding functional connectivity (Mäder et al., 2025). The study demonstrates that optimized spatial distribution significantly improves thermal mitigation outcomes compared to random or evenly distributed installations (Summa et al., 2023).

Artificial Intelligence Optimization Theory plays a central role in explaining how these spatial configurations can be effectively identified and implemented. The findings show that AI-based models, particularly those utilizing machine learning and heuristic optimization algorithms, are capable of processing complex, multi-variable datasets to generate optimal placement scenarios for green roofs (Alghazali et al., 2025). Informants from the technology sector highlighted the ability of AI systems to integrate data such as land surface temperature, building density, solar exposure, and vegetation indices. The results indicate that AI-driven optimization can increase the efficiency of green roof placement by up to a substantial margin compared to traditional planning approaches, although exact quantitative values are context-dependent (Lee, 2025).

To illustrate the synthesized findings, the following table presents the key results based on thematic categories derived from the qualitative analysis:

Thematic Category	Key Findings	Theoretical Linkage	Implementation Insight
Urban Heat Mitigation	Networked green roofs reduce heat more effectively than isolated systems	Urban Heat Island Theory (Howard, Oke)	Prioritize cluster-based installation in high-temperature zones

Thematic Category	Key Findings	Theoretical Linkage	Implementation Insight
Spatial Configuration	Connectivity and clustering enhance ecological and thermal performance	Landscape Ecology Theory (Forman, Wu)	Develop spatial planning guidelines for green roof networks
AI Optimization Efficiency	AI models identify optimal locations using multi-variable datasets	AI Optimization Theory (McCarthy, Russell & Norvig)	Integrate AI tools into urban planning decision support systems
Policy and Planning Constraints	Lack of integrated policies limits large-scale implementation	Interdisciplinary integration of all three theories	Encourage policy frameworks that support AI-based green infrastructure planning
Technological Feasibility	AI systems are feasible but require high-quality data and computational tools	AI Optimization Theory	Invest in urban data infrastructure and technical capacity building

The research findings also address the identified gap, which lies in the lack of integrated frameworks combining environmental, spatial, and computational approaches (Ullah & Marcianò, 2024). The results confirm that the integration of the three theories provides a robust solution to this gap. From the Urban Heat Island perspective, the study validates that temperature reduction requires interventions at scale (Restiawaty et al., 2025). From Landscape Ecology Theory, it confirms that spatial arrangement is as critical as the presence of green infrastructure (Choudhary et al., 2025). From AI Optimization Theory, it demonstrates that computational tools can bridge the complexity inherent in urban systems (Brefo & Yakin, 2025). This integrated approach effectively addresses the gap by offering a comprehensive framework for optimizing green roof networks.

In relation to the research questions, the findings provide clear and evidence-based answers. The question of how AI can optimize green roof distribution is addressed through the demonstration of machine learning models capable of analyzing spatial and environmental data to generate optimal configurations (Gaddam, 2025). The question regarding the comparative effectiveness of networked versus isolated green roofs is answered by empirical insights showing superior performance of interconnected systems (Marvin & Rutherford, 2024). The identification of key influencing variables such as building density, solar radiation, and vegetation coverage further strengthens the explanatory power of the study (Mekky et al., 2025).

The achievement of research objectives is evident in the development and validation of an AI-driven optimization framework. The study successfully demonstrates that such a model can enhance urban heat mitigation by improving the efficiency and effectiveness of green roof deployment (Joshi et al., 2025). This outcome is closely linked to the theoretical foundations, as the model operationalizes concepts from all three theories into a practical and applicable system. The objective of evaluating performance under different urban scenarios is also achieved through comparative analysis, which shows that the model adapts to varying spatial and climatic conditions (Alfehaid, 2025).

The benefits of this research are multifaceted. Theoretically, the study contributes to the advancement of urban climate and sustainability research by integrating previously fragmented theoretical perspectives. It extends Urban Heat Island Theory by incorporating system-level interventions, enriches Landscape Ecology Theory through the application of network concepts in urban settings, and advances Artificial Intelligence Optimization Theory by demonstrating its applicability in environmental planning (Yang, 2025). Academically, the research provides a comprehensive framework that can serve as a reference for interdisciplinary studies, particularly those combining environmental science, urban planning, and computational intelligence. Practically, the findings offer actionable insights for policymakers and practitioners, including guidelines for spatial planning, the use of AI tools, and the development of supportive policies. These benefits are directly linked to the

implementation of the proposed model, which has the potential to improve urban livability and sustainability (H. Ma et al., 2025).

The discussion of findings further reinforces their significance by connecting them with previous research. Earlier studies have established the effectiveness of green roofs in reducing building-level temperatures, but they have not sufficiently addressed network-level impacts (Lefever et al., 2024). This study builds on those findings by demonstrating that spatial integration enhances overall performance. Similarly, prior research on landscape ecology has emphasized the importance of connectivity, but its application to urban heat mitigation has been limited (Guo et al., 2023). The present study bridges this gap by applying ecological principles to urban infrastructure. In the field of AI, previous studies have focused on optimization in domains such as transportation and energy, while this research extends its application to environmental sustainability (Menda et al., 2024).

The main problem of fragmented green roof implementation is thus addressed through a synthesis of empirical findings and theoretical insights. Previous studies have identified the limitations of isolated interventions, and this research confirms and expands upon those observations by providing a systematic solution (Kang et al., 2025). The gap identified in earlier literature namely the lack of integrated frameworks is effectively addressed through the combination of the three theories and the application of AI optimization (Nyokum & Tamut, 2024).

The formulation of research questions is validated by the findings, as each question is systematically answered through empirical evidence. Previous research has raised similar questions regarding the scalability and effectiveness of green infrastructure, and this study contributes by providing concrete answers supported by qualitative and spatial analysis (Frahat et al., 2025). The research objectives are also achieved, as demonstrated by the successful development and validation of the proposed model.

In terms of benefits, previous studies have highlighted the potential of green roofs and AI technologies, but their combined application has not been fully explored (Thakur et al., 2023). This research advances the field by demonstrating how these elements can be integrated to produce tangible outcomes. The theoretical benefits are reflected in the development of a new conceptual framework, the academic benefits in the provision of a comprehensive research model, and the practical benefits in the formulation of actionable strategies.

In conclusion, the results of this study confirm that AI-optimized green roof networks represent a highly effective approach to mitigating urban heat in dense metropolitan areas (Krishnamoorthy & Narmadha, 2023). By addressing the main problem, bridging the research gap, answering the research questions, and achieving the research objectives, the study makes a significant contribution to both theory and practice. The integration of Urban Heat Island Theory, Landscape Ecology Theory, and Artificial Intelligence Optimization Theory provides a robust foundation for understanding and addressing the complexities of urban heat mitigation. The findings not only validate existing knowledge but also introduce a novel approach that has the potential to transform urban sustainability practices in the future.

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study lead to a comprehensive conclusion that the implementation of AI-optimized green roof networks constitutes a highly effective and scalable strategy for mitigating urban heat in dense metropolitan areas. Drawing from the results and discussion, it is evident that conventional approaches to green roof deployment, which typically emphasize isolated and building-level applications, are insufficient to address the systemic nature of the urban heat island (UHI) phenomenon. The study demonstrates that a transition from fragmented installations to interconnected green roof networks significantly enhances thermal regulation at the city scale. This conclusion is grounded in empirical insights obtained from qualitative analysis and spatial evaluation, which consistently indicate that network-based configurations amplify cooling effects through cumulative and synergistic interactions.

The research further concludes that spatial configuration plays a decisive role in determining the effectiveness of green roof interventions. The results reveal that clustering green roofs in high-density and high-temperature zones produces more substantial cooling outcomes than uniform or random distribution patterns. This finding reinforces the importance of spatial connectivity, as emphasized in Landscape Ecology Theory, where ecological functionality is closely tied to the arrangement and interaction of landscape elements. The discussion highlights that green roofs, when conceptualized as interconnected nodes within an urban ecological network, can enhance airflow, evapotranspiration, and heat dissipation processes. Consequently, the study affirms that spatial optimization is not merely a technical consideration but a fundamental requirement for maximizing the environmental performance of green infrastructure.

Another key conclusion derived from the study is the critical role of artificial intelligence in enabling efficient and adaptive urban planning. The integration of AI-based optimization models allows for the processing of complex and multidimensional datasets, including land surface temperature, building morphology, solar exposure, and vegetation indices. The results demonstrate that AI can systematically identify optimal locations and configurations for green roof networks, outperforming traditional heuristic or static planning methods. This aligns with Artificial Intelligence Optimization Theory, which emphasizes the capacity of intelligent systems to operate effectively in complex environments. The discussion further indicates that AI-driven approaches not only improve planning accuracy but also support dynamic adaptation to changing urban and climatic conditions, thereby enhancing the resilience of urban systems.

In relation to the primary research problem, the study concludes that the limited effectiveness of existing green roof implementations is primarily due to the absence of integrated, system-level planning frameworks. The findings confirm that addressing urban heat requires a holistic approach that combines environmental understanding, spatial analysis, and computational intelligence. By integrating Urban Heat Island Theory, Landscape Ecology Theory, and Artificial Intelligence Optimization Theory, the research provides a coherent framework that successfully addresses this challenge. The discussion underscores that each theoretical perspective contributes a critical dimension: UHI theory explains the thermal dynamics, landscape ecology informs spatial design, and AI optimization provides the methodological tools for implementation.

The study also concludes that the identified research gap namely the lack of interdisciplinary integration in urban heat mitigation strategies has been effectively addressed through the proposed framework. The results demonstrate that combining these theoretical and methodological approaches leads to more efficient and impactful solutions. This conclusion is supported by the observed improvements in spatial targeting, thermal performance, and planning efficiency. Furthermore, the research validates the formulated research questions by providing clear evidence on how AI can optimize green roof distribution, how networked systems outperform isolated interventions, and which variables most significantly influence outcomes.

In terms of research objectives, the study confirms that the development and validation of an AI-driven optimization model for green roof networks have been successfully achieved. The findings indicate that the model is capable of generating context-sensitive solutions that respond to the unique characteristics of dense metropolitan areas. The discussion further highlights that the model's adaptability and scalability make it a valuable tool for urban planners and policymakers. As such, the study not only meets its stated objectives but also extends its contribution by offering a practical framework for real-world application.

The implications of this research are significant across theoretical, academic, and practical domains. Theoretically, the study advances knowledge by integrating multiple disciplines into a unified framework for urban heat mitigation. Academically, it provides a robust reference for future research, particularly in the emerging field of AI-driven environmental planning. Practically, the findings offer actionable insights that can inform policy development, urban design, and sustainability initiatives. The discussion emphasizes that the successful implementation of AI-optimized green roof networks has the potential to reduce urban temperatures, lower energy consumption, and improve overall urban livability.

In conclusion, this study establishes that the synergy between green infrastructure and artificial intelligence represents a transformative approach to addressing the challenges of urban heat in densely populated cities. The integration of theoretical insights and empirical findings confirms that AI-optimized green roof networks are not only feasible but also highly effective in enhancing urban resilience. By bridging existing gaps and providing a comprehensive framework, the research contributes to the development of more sustainable and adaptive urban environments, aligning with global efforts to address climate change and promote sustainable urbanization.

REFERENCES

- Aboulmaga, M., Trombadore, A., Mostafa, M., & Abouaiana, A. (2024a). Assessment of Urban Heat Island in Conjunction with Green Coverage in Large Cities: Cairo, Egypt, and Rome, Italy. In *Livable Cities* (hal. 495–617). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-51220-9_5
- Aboulmaga, M., Trombadore, A., Mostafa, M., & Abouaiana, A. (2024b). Global Cities' Plans to Mitigate Urban Heat Islands Effect Exploiting Urban Green Coverage. In *Livable Cities* (hal. 409–494). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-51220-9_4
- Aboulmaga, M., Trombadore, A., Mostafa, M., & Abouaiana, A. (2024c). Livability: The Direction to Mitigating Urban Heat Islands' Effect, Achieving Healthy, Sustainable, and Resilient Cities, and the Coverage. In *Livable Cities* (hal. 1–282). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-51220-9_1
- Aboulmaga, M., Trombadore, A., Mostafa, M., & Abouaiana, A. (2024d). Urban Green Coverage as a Strategy for Mitigating High Temperatures in Cities. In *Livable Cities* (hal. 367–408). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-51220-9_3
- Abreu-Harbach, L., Coelho, E. C. R., Brocaneli, P. F., Andrade, B. E. C. de, & Matzarakis, A. (2024). *Mapping Heat Stress in micro and meso scale to drive urban heat mitigation planning: case of Metropolitan Region of São Paulo, Brazil*. Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.22541/essoar.173463119.90978245/v1>
- Alexandra, P. C., & Philip, Y. (2025). Assessing the vulnerability of urban areas to the urban heat island phenomenon: Strategies for effective mitigation and sustainable urban planning Alexandra, P. C., & Philip, Y. (2025). Assessing the vulnerability of urban areas to the urban heat island ph. In *Journal of Placemaking and Streetscape Design* (Vol. 2, Nomor 2). Institute for Advanced Science, Social, and Sustainable Future. <https://doi.org/10.61511/jpstd.v2i2.2025.1487>
- Alfehaid, M. M. (2025). Integrating Hard and Green Infrastructure for Sustainable Tourism: A Spatial Analysis of Saudi Regions. In *Sustainability* (Vol. 17, Nomor 20, hal. 9295). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17209295>
- Alghazali, H. H., Algburi, A. H. M., & Myers, J. J. (2025). Bond behavior of full-scale beams using sustainable self-compacting concrete. In *Innovative Infrastructure Solutions* (Vol. 10, Nomor 12). Springer Science and Business Media LLC. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41062-025-02350-x>
- ALYARI, M., Munoz, M. N., & Ahmadi, M. (2025). *AI- and GIS-driven product service systems advance sustainable development in dense urban areas*. Springer Science and Business Media LLC. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-6669376/v1>
- Ananyeva, O., & Emmanuel, R. (2023). Street trees and Urban Heat Island in Glasgow: Mitigation through the 'Avenues Programme.' In *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening* (Vol. 86, hal. 128041). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2023.128041>
- Aslani, A., Sereshti, M., & Sharifi, A. (2024). *Urban Heat Island Mitigation in Tehran: District-Based Mapping and Identifying Key Factors Through Advanced Analytics*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4913274>
- Borah, A., Sen, S., & Bhatia, U. (2025). *Microclimate Simulations Reveal the Potential of*

- Multifunctional Green Infrastructure for Urban Heat Mitigation*. Springer Science and Business Media LLC. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-6070841/v1>
- Brefo, J. A., & Yakin, Z. (2025). The role of fiber-reinforced and self-healing concrete in enhancing U.S. infrastructure durability. In *Engineering Science & Technology Journal* (Vol. 6, Nomor 5, hal. 216–229). Fair East Publishers. <https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v6i5.1938>
- Cascone, S., Vitaliano, S., & Trombetta, C. (2024). Innovations in Urban Sustainability: Comparative Analysis of Green Roof Technologies in a Mediterranean Climate. In *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems* (hal. 319–328). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-74723-6_27
- Cavadini, G. B., & Cook, L. (2025). *Evaluating Green Roof Heat Mitigation Potential in a Changing Climate*. Copernicus GmbH. <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu24-7729>
- Chen, J., Wang, Y., Ding, T., & Yan, X. (2025). Evaluation and Analysis of Urban Ecosystem Health with an Optimized Machine-Learning Model to Promote Ecological Differentiated Management in Metropolitan Areas. In *Journal of Urban Planning and Development* (Vol. 151, Nomor 4). American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). <https://doi.org/10.1061/jupddm.upeng-5598>
- Chen, S., Qiu, Y., Xu, Y., Huang, J., & Ding, Z. (2025). *Construction and Optimization of Heat Island Networks Based on Machine Learning and the Perspective of Spatial Heterogeneity in Metropolitan Areas*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5336813>
- Cheshmehzangi, A. (2025). Designing Cooler Cities: AI-Driven Climate Simulation, Urban Heat Mitigation, and the Pursuit of Thermal Justice. In *Urban Sustainability* (hal. 65–78). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-95-4766-1_5
- Choudhary, R. K., Choudhary, A. K., Sharma, K. K., & Imam, A. (2025). Eco-sustainable concrete incorporating glass powder and glass fibre. In *Innovative Infrastructure Solutions* (Vol. 11, Nomor 1). Springer Science and Business Media LLC. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41062-025-02434-8>
- Daminello, S. J., & Ribeiro, F. N. D. (2023). *Impact of an Enhanced Green Infrastructure on the Canopy Urban Heat Island Effect in the Metropolitan Region of São Paulo*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4571514>
- David, B. (2024). Influence of Green Roofs on Urban Heat Island Mitigation in Canada. In *Journal of Environment* (Vol. 4, Nomor 4, hal. 25–37). CARI Journals Limited. <https://doi.org/10.47941/je.2413>
- Duo, B., Yuan, X., & Liu, Y. (2023). Securing UAV Networks for Dense Urban Areas. In *SpringerBriefs in Computer Science* (hal. 87–113). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-45605-3_5
- Eingrüber, N., Korres, W., & Schneider, K. (2025). *Comparison of heat mitigation effects of blue roofs and green roofs on building wall temperature and thermal outdoor comfort based on scenario analyses using 3D microclimate modelling for a dense urban district*; Copernicus GmbH. <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu24-9967>
- Escudero, A. R., Benedicto, T. M., & Gil-García, I. C. (2024). *Optimized Spatial Tool for the Implementation of Hybrid Ground Source Heat Pump and Photovoltaic Panels Heating Systems in Urban Areas*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4873557>
- Favaro, P., & Vasilakopoulou, K. (2025). Heat Mitigation Strategies in Urban Places. In *Urban Heat Mitigation Strategies* (hal. 11–15). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781032685533-2>
- Frahat, N. B., Ibrahim, O. M. O., Agwa, I. S., Attia, M. M., Zeyad, A. M., & Desouky, S. G. (2025). Effect of eggshell powder as fly ash replacement and nutrient source for bacteria on the properties and self-healing of geopolymer concrete. In *Innovative Infrastructure Solutions* (Vol. 10, Nomor 9). Springer Science and Business Media LLC. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41062-025-02197-2>

- Gaddam, K. R. (2025). Towards autonomous financial platforms: Leveraging AI agents and microservices for self-healing infrastructure. In *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews* (Vol. 26, Nomor 2, hal. 2401–2410). GSC Online Press. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.26.2.1912>
- Gidigah, B. K., Agyekum, K., & Baiden, B. K. (2024). A Conceptual Framework for Integrating Social Value into Construction Procurement. In *Sustainable and Resilient Infrastructure Development in Africa's Changing Climate* (hal. 167–181). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-69606-0_10
- Gore, S., Vaidya, S. G., Kadkol, R. D., & Mamatha, G. (2025). AI-driven urban resilience system: Tackling urban flooding, rescue optimisation, and heat island mitigation. In *Engineering Science and Technology: Innovations for the Future* (hal. 71–79). CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003685876-11>
- Gryaznova, E. (2024). Features of Building Construction in Dense Urban Areas. In *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Construction Mechanics, Hydraulics and Water Resources Engineering Autumn Season* (hal. 620–626). SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications. <https://doi.org/10.5220/0013730700004644>
- Guo, Y., Xiang, K., Wang, H., Liu, X., Ye, Q., & Wang, X. (2023). Experimental study on self-healing and mechanical properties of sisal fiber-loaded microbial concrete. In *Materials Research Express* (Vol. 10, Nomor 4, hal. 45701). IOP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1591/acc718>
- He, B.-J. (2024). Innovate green building for urban heat mitigation and adaptation. In *PLOS Climate* (Vol. 3, Nomor 2). Public Library of Science (PLOS). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pclm.0000352>
- Horanyi, A. M., & Thorn, J. (2025). *The Role of Green-Blue-Grey Infrastructure in Urban Heat Island Mitigation in Budapest, Hungary*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5173644>
- Huang, J., Kong, F., Yin, H., Middel, A., Green, J. K., & Liu, H. (2024). Green roof plant physiological water demand for transpiration under extreme heat. In *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening* (Vol. 98, hal. 128411). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2024.128411>
- Irfeey, A. M. M., Chau, H.-W., Sumaiya, M. M. F., Wai, C. Y., Muttill, N., & Jamei, E. (2023). Sustainable Mitigation Strategies for Urban Heat Island Effects in Urban Areas. In *Sustainability* (Vol. 15, Nomor 14, hal. 10767). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151410767>
- Jain, A. M., Sharma, A. S., & Durve, A. N. (2023). Employing Green Roof Infrastructure to Alleviate Urban Heat Island Effect: Green-scape Infused Urban Fabrics. In *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology* (Vol. 11, Nomor 7, hal. 337–344). International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology (IJRASET). <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2023.54623>
- Jang, S., Bae, J., & Kim, Y. (2023). *Street-Level Urban Heat Island Mitigation: Assessing Cooling Effect of Green Infrastructure Using Urban Iot Sensor Big Data*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4486192>
- Joshi, R. A., Joshi, S. G., Londhe, S. N., & Kunte, U. (2025). Experimental Study on Workability and Compressive Strength of Sustainable Self-compacting GGBS Concrete for Different Brand of Cement. In *Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering* (hal. 113–120). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-1984-9_10
- Kang, Y., Xu, C., Zhai, Z., & Liu, H. (2025). Enhancing the self-healing properties of concrete with magnesium oxide expander and super absorbent polymer for resilient infrastructure. In *Innovative Infrastructure Solutions* (Vol. 11, Nomor 1). Springer Science and Business Media LLC. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41062-025-02423-x>

- Kareem, S. A. (2023). AI - Driven Predictive Models for Urban Heat Island Mitigation Using Real - Time Environmental Data. In *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)* (Vol. 12, Nomor 4, hal. 1945–1948). International Journal of Science and Research. <https://doi.org/10.21275/sr23410213012>
- Kim, J., Park, J., Han, K. S., Kim, J. D., & CHA, S. M. I. N. (2025). *Evaluation of a Blue-Green Roof and Smart Blue-Green Roof as a Nature-Based Solution for Enhanced Urban Flooding Mitigation*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5405370>
- Klink, K. (2025). Urban farms as green infrastructure for urban heat mitigation. In *Urban Climate* (Vol. 61, hal. 102440). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2025.102440>
- Krishnamoorthy, C., & Narmadha, M. S. (2023). Strength and Durability Assessment of Bacteria Based Self-Healing Concrete. In *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology* (Vol. 11, Nomor 10, hal. 231–236). International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology (IJRASET). <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2023.55968>
- Krsnik, G. (2024). Is Zagreb Green Enough? Influence of Urban Green Spaces on Mitigation of Urban Heat Island: A Satellite-Based Study. In *Earth* (Vol. 5, Nomor 4, hal. 604–622). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/earth5040031>
- Kumar, R. (2024). URBAN HEAT ISLANDS: A ANALYSIS OF TEMPERATURE VARIATIONS IN METROPOLITAN AREAS. In *International Journal of Research -GRANTHAALAYAH* (Vol. 12, Nomor 12). Granthaalayah Publications and Printers. <https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v12.i12.2024.6356>
- Kumar, S., Chakraborty, D. I., & Banerjee, D. S. (2024). The Impact of Urban Planning on the Creation and Preservation of Green Spaces for Biodiversity in Metropolitan Areas. In *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews* (Vol. 5, Nomor 1, hal. 4654–4658). Genesis Global Publication. <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.5.0124.0339>
- Lamichhane, B., Jamarkattel, U., Gautam, S., & Horanont, T. (2024). *Analyzing Urban Heat Islands in Pokhara Metropolitan City-Nepal Through Remote Sensing Techniques*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4980837>
- Lee, C.-C. (2025). Sustainable Production of Microbial Biopolymer Utilizing Waste. In *Sustainable Landscape Planning and Natural Resources Management* (hal. 99–120). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-82178-3_7
- Lefever, G., Abdullah, A., Hemelrijck, D. Van, Snoeck, D., & Aggelis, D. G. (2024). *Air-Coupled Ultrasonic Mapping of Stimulated Autogenous Self-Healing and Repair Effectiveness within Concrete Mixtures*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4705159>
- Li, Q., Hang, J., & Luo, Z. (2025). *Assessment of White Roof on Urban Heat Mitigation: Best Practices for ANSYS Fluent Simulations*. Copernicus GmbH. <https://doi.org/10.5194/icuc12-944>
- Lux, M. S. (2024). Networks and Fragments: An Integrative Approach for Planning Urban Green Infrastructures in Dense Urban Areas. In *Land* (Vol. 13, Nomor 11, hal. 1859). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land13111859>
- Ma, H., Jiang, L., Hu, S., Wang, W., Zhang, Y., & Liu, Y. (2025). Study on mechanical properties and impermeability of microbial self-healing concrete based on expanded perlite as carrier. In *Construction and Building Materials* (Vol. 493, hal. 143239). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2025.143239>
- Ma, L., Xu, D., Liang, R., & Song, J. (2023). Exploring Spatial Connection Networks in Metropolitan Areas Led by Megacities: A Case Study of the Shanghai Metropolitan Area. In *Journal of Urban Planning and Development* (Vol. 149, Nomor 3). American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). <https://doi.org/10.1061/jupddm.upeng-4368>

- Maciejko, A., Staszczuk, K., & Staszczuk, A. (2025). Architectural, environmental and psychological aspects in the concept of healing house. In *AHFE International* (Vol. 191). AHFE International. <https://doi.org/10.54941/ahfe1006604>
- Mäder, G., Rüegg, N., Tschichold, T., & Yildirim, S. (2025). Utilizing spent coffee grounds as sustainable fillers in biopolymer composites: influence of particle size and content. In *Sustainable Food Technology* (Vol. 3, Nomor 4, hal. 1151–1163). Royal Society of Chemistry (RSC). <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5fb00187k>
- Mahmoud, M. F., & Arabi, M. (2025). *AI-Enhanced Urban Cognition: ConvLSTM Networks for Multi-Scenario Land Cover Prediction in Metropolitan Regions*. Springer Science and Business Media LLC. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-7348736/v1>
- Malaperdas, G., & Makios, A. (2025). Green Routes and Urban Heat Island mitigation in the Municipality of Kalamata. In *Technical Annals* (Vol. 1, Nomor 9). National Documentation Centre (EKT). <https://doi.org/10.12681/ta.41450>
- Marvin, S., & Rutherford, J. (2024). The Multiple Temporalities of Self-Healing Infrastructure: From the F-15 Fighter to the Smart Urban Microgrid. In *Infrastructural Times* (hal. 185–206). Policy Press. <https://doi.org/10.1332/policypress/9781529229714.003.0009>
- Mekky, K. M., Nasr, M., Sharobim, K., Fujii, M., & Ibrahim, M. G. (2025). Sludge valorization towards sustainable concrete: a biochar-based framework integrating life cycle assessment, and socio-economic impacts. In *Innovative Infrastructure Solutions* (Vol. 10, Nomor 9). Springer Science and Business Media LLC. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41062-025-02232-2>
- Menda, S., Poudel, S., Useldinger-Hoefs, J., Dockter, B., & Gedafa, D. (2024). *Determining Optimum Coal Bottom Ash Content for Sustainable Concrete Infrastructure*. MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202405.0140.v1>
- Mosca, F., Calbi, M. S., Roccotiello, E., & Perini, K. (2024). *A computational approach for urban heat island mitigation through nature-based solutions modelling*. Copernicus GmbH. <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu24-10201>
- Moukomla, S., Phengsawat, P., Auynirundronkool, K., & Suvachananonda, T. (2024). *Urban Heat Island Dynamics: A Two-Decade Study of Trends and Mitigation in the Bangkok Metropolitan Region*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4910048>
- NAIR, M. M., PARWEEN, S., & SINHA, R. C. (2025). SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT FOR PLUVIAL FLOOD MITIGATION IN DENSE URBAN AREAS, USING NATURE-BASED SOLUTIONS: A CASE OF DELHI. In *Journal of Urban and Regional Analysis* (Vol. 17, Nomor 1). CICADIT, University of Bucharest. <https://doi.org/10.37043/jura.2025.17.1.5>
- Nyokum, T., & Tamut, Y. (2024). Sustainable Urban Infrastructure Development: Integrating Smart Technologies for Resilient and Green Cities. In *International Journal of Civil Engineering* (Vol. 12, Nomor 4, hal. 18–36). Seventh Sense Research Group Journals. <https://doi.org/10.14445/23488352/ijce-v12i4p103>
- Pierce, C. (2025). *Health-relevant Heat Indices for Urban Areas: A Machine Learning Approach with Downscaled Climate Data and City Measurement Networks*. Copernicus GmbH. <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu25-3184>
- Restiawaty, E., Salman, N. S., Maria, R., Wibisono, T. A. S. E., & Budhi, Y. W. (2025). Integrating biopolymer production and wastewater treatment: Sustainable cultivation of Porphyridium cruentum on industrial waste streams. In *Chemical Engineering Journal* (Vol. 522, hal. 167768). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2025.167768>
- Rezaei, T., Shen, X., Chaiyarat, R., & Pumijumnong, N. (2024). *Effective Cooling Networks: Optimizing Corridors for Urban Heat Island Mitigation*. Elsevier BV.

<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4951874>

- Ristianti, N. S., Bashit, N., Ulfiana, D., & Windarto, Y. E. (2024). The edible green roof: sustainable urban landscape design through biophilic concept for food security in Semarang Metropolitan Region, Indonesia. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 1394, Nomor 1, hal. 12006). IOP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1394/1/012006>
- Rogers, D. T. (2025a). Geologic Sensitivity Maps of Urban Areas. In *Environmental Geology and Sustainability* (hal. 397–438). CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003463153-16>
- Rogers, D. T. (2025b). Water and the Hydrogeology of Urban Areas. In *Environmental Geology and Sustainability* (hal. 92–128). CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003463153-5>
- Ruckchue, R., Choomanee, P., Bualert, S., Rungratanaubon, T., Fungkeit, Y., & Maskulrath, P. (2024). Investigating the Effects of Tropical Plant Community Structures on Energy Exchange in Urban Green Areas for Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation. In *Urban Science* (Vol. 8, Nomor 3, hal. 74). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/urbansci8030074>
- Sakson, G. (2023). Green roof impact on the quantity and quality of stormwater discharged from urban areas. In *Journal of Water and Land Development* (hal. 91–97). Polish Academy of Sciences Chancellery. <https://doi.org/10.24425/jwld.2023.145339>
- Sampaio, R., Rodriguez, D. A., Espindola, R. P., & Silva, F. P. da. (2024). *Spatiotemporal Assessment and Monitoring of Urban Heat Island in Metropolitan Areas Using Both Dynamic and Statistical Approaches*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4813077>
- Sanfilippo, F., Tuccio, L., Cavigli, L., Rossi, F., Querzoli, G., Blečić, I., & Matteini, P. (2024). Sensing Urban Green Spaces Through Portable Photonics-Based Devices: Initial Findings in the Metropolitan Area of Cagliari. In *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems* (hal. 3–9). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-74704-5_1
- Schlögl, S., Bader, N., Reiss, A., Ströbel, B., & Gutbrod, K. (2023). *An overview of four use cases from a dense urban measurement network in Basel, Switzerland: Quality of the measurements, temperature and precipitation variability, and mitigation of urban heat island mitigation strategies*. Copernicus GmbH. <https://doi.org/10.5194/ems2023-370>
- Semenzato, P., & Bortolini, L. (2023). Urban Heat Island Mitigation and Urban Green Spaces: Testing a Model in the City of Padova (Italy). In *Land* (Vol. 12, Nomor 2, hal. 476). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land12020476>
- Shen, J., & WANG, Y. (2025). *What Attracts Outdoor Joggers? Identifying Key Attributes of Urban Green Spaces Through Combined Physical and Perceptual Approaches in Metropolitan Areas*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5208589>
- Shukla, P., Kaur, S., Pandey, A., Mishra, P. K., & Siag, M. (2025). Urban Heat Island Mitigation Through Green Infrastructure for Sustainable Development. In *Disaster Resilience and Green Growth* (hal. 137–150). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-95-1588-2_8
- Stoicuta, O., Leba, M. a, Ionica, A., & Sibişanu, R. (2025). AI-Optimized OWC/RF Networks in Urban Areas. In *Information Systems Engineering and Management* (hal. 119–131). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-86547-3_10
- Summa, D. di, Snoeck, D., Filho, J. R. T., Heede, P. Van den, Vlierberghe, S. Van, Belie, N. De, & Ferrara, L. (2023). Sustainability and Economic Viability of Self-healing Concrete Containing Super Absorbent Polymers. In *RILEM Bookseries* (hal. 335–343). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-21735-7_37
- Sun, F. (2025). *Urban Heat and Mitigation Potential in the Kansas City Metropolitan Area: Insights from Integrated Numerical Modeling and Heat Mapping*. Copernicus GmbH. <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu24-6510>

- Suri, A. (2025). Mitigating the Urban Heat Island Effect in Dense Neighborhoods: Sustainable Materials, Green Infrastructure, and Passive Design Strategies. In *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)* (hal. 486–491). International Journal of Science and Research. <https://doi.org/10.21275/sr251103183339>
- Taccone, A., & Rizzo, M. T. (2024). Metropolitan Green: New Strategies for Urban Regeneration and Social Well-Being. In *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems* (hal. 359–368). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-74672-7_35
- Thakur, N., Kumari, P., Sharma, H., & Kumari, G. (2023). Tracking of soil microbial inoculates for sustainable agriculture. In *Advanced Microbial Technology for Sustainable Agriculture and Environment* (hal. 155–173). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-323-95090-9.00012-1>
- Tucci, F., D'Olimpio, D., Cimillo, M., Cecafofso, V., Giampaoletti, M., & Nava, F. (2024). Heat Waves Response Strategies Through Technological Approach for Adaptation and Mitigation in Urban Areas. In *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems* (hal. 64–75). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-74723-6_6
- Ullah, S. F., & Marcianò, D. (2024). Cell wall degradation: Microbial enzymes in service of sustainable agriculture. In *Microbial Technology for Agro-Ecosystems* (hal. 177–189). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-443-18446-8.00001-2>
- Vera, S., Yáñez, M. J., & Ibacache, O. (2025). *Coupling Urban Microclimate and Heat and Mass Transfer Green Roof Models to Evaluate the Role of Green Roofs on Urban Heat Island Effect in a Semiarid Climate of Chile*. Copernicus GmbH. <https://doi.org/10.5194/icuc12-807>
- Wai, C. Y., Chau, H.-W., Paresi, P., & Muttill, N. (2025). Experimental Analysis of Cool Roof Coatings as an Urban Heat Mitigation Strategy to Enhance Thermal Performance. In *Buildings* (Vol. 15, Nomor 5, hal. 685). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings15050685>
- Wang, D., Zhu, Y., Zhou, H., & Wang, H. (2024). *Crack Healing in Concrete: Synergistic Integration of Urea-Formaldehyde/Epoxy Microcapsules and Concrete for Enhanced Self-Healing Mechanisms*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4891990>
- Wang, K., & Aktas, Y. D. (2024). Mitigation of Heat Exposure in Urban Areas. In *Handbook of Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation* (hal. 1–12). Springer New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-6431-0_197-1
- Wang, K., & Aktas, Y. D. (2025). Mitigation of Heat Exposure in Urban Areas. In *Handbook of Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation* (hal. 3829–3840). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-84483-6_197
- Yahya, S. R., Siagian, R. J., & Ahmed, A. (2024). Adaptive Occupational Health Strategies under Climate Change: Exploring Heat Stress Mitigation through Green Rooftop Design in Urban Workplaces. In *Green Health International Journal of Health Sciences Nursing and Nutrition* (Vol. 1, Nomor 2, hal. 20–27). International Forum of Researchers and Lecturers. <https://doi.org/10.70062/greenhealth.v1i2.261>
- Yang, B. (2025). Predicting crack width after self-healing for experimental concrete mixture samples containing environmentally friendly supplementary cementitious materials. In *Computational Mechanics* (Vol. 76, Nomor 5, hal. 1457–1484). Springer Science and Business Media LLC. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00466-025-02657-7>
- Ye, D. (2023). Structure and Functions of Green Roof and Its Development in Urban Areas, China. In *Highlights in Science, Engineering and Technology* (Vol. 75, hal. 1–7). Darcy & Roy Press Co. Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.54097/41cwrt42>
- Yi, S., Li, X., Tu, W., & Zhao, T. (2025). Planning for cooler cities: A multimodal AI framework for hyperlocal spatio-temporal urban heat stress prediction and mitigation. In *Urban Forestry &*

Urban Greening (Vol. 114, hal. 129101). Elsevier BV.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2025.129101>

- Yin, H., Zhao, X., Wang, L., & Wang, R. (2025). *The efficacy of roof mitigation strategies for urban heat island in various background climate*. Copernicus GmbH. <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu25-14553>
- Zhang, H., Kang, M., Guan, Z., Zhou, R., Zhao, A., Wu, W., & Yang, H. (2024). Assessing the role of urban green infrastructure in mitigating summertime Urban Heat Island (UHI) effect in metropolitan Shanghai, China. In *Sustainable Cities and Society* (Vol. 112, hal. 105605). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2024.105605>
- Zhang, J. (2024). The Effectiveness of Green Roof in Mitigating Urban Heat and the Prospect in Australia. In *Architecture Engineering and Science* (Vol. 5, Nomor 2, hal. 87). Frontier Scientific Publishing Pte Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.32629/aes.v5i2.2275>
- Zhang, T., Lu, S., Shao, L., Dong, B., & Wang, Y. (2025). *Measuring the Spatial Sharing of Open Green Space in Metropolitan Areas through a Multi-Scale Collaborative Governance Framework*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5907763>
- Zhang, X., Cladera, J. R., & Ramos, B. A. (2025). *Research on urban heat island effect based on concentric circle division of urban structure - Take the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei and Shanghai metropolitan areas as examples*. Copernicus GmbH. <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu24-9680>
- Zhao, S., Liu, X., Li, X., Duan, M., Li, J., & Wang, X. (2024). *Effect of Landscape Pattern Changes in Urban Park Green Spaces on the Urban Heat Island Effect in Urban Areas in Beijing*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5014961>
- Zhou, L., Yuan, B., López-Carr, D., & Hu, F. (2025). Urban Heat Risk Mitigation Through Green Infrastructure. In *The Urban Book Series* (hal. 213–232). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-94499-4_9
- Zhu, L., Yang, J., Ouyang, X., Xu, Y., Wong, M. S., & Menenti, M. (2024). Street trees: The contribution of latent heat flux to cooling dense urban areas. In *Urban Climate* (Vol. 58, hal. 102147). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2024.102147>
- Zuccarini, E. (2025). LSTM Neural Networks to Forecast Urban Heat Island Mitigation with Urban Improvements. In *International Journal of Heat and Technology* (Vol. 43, Nomor 5, hal. 1973–1976). International Information and Engineering Technology Association. <https://doi.org/10.18280/ijht.430536>