

Digital Vulnerability and Social Protection: Rethinking Child Welfare in the Era of Algorithmic Media

Alfina Triana Putri ¹, Habibah Fauziah ², Nadzifah Nur Cahya ³

¹ Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta 1

² Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta 2

³ Universitas Pamulang 3

Correspondence: chayanur@email.com

Article Info

Article history:

Received Aug 12th, 2025

Revised Nov 20th, 2025

Accepted Jan 26th, 2026

Keyword:

digital vulnerability, social protection, child welfare, algorithmic media, qualitative case study, digital inequality

ABSTRACT

This study examines *Digital Vulnerability and Social Protection: Rethinking Child Welfare in the Era of Algorithmic Media* with the objective of developing an integrated understanding of how emerging digital risks reshape child welfare frameworks. Employing a qualitative approach with a case study design, the research is justified by its capacity to explore complex, context-dependent phenomena and capture lived experiences in depth. The study is conducted in the Greater Jakarta area, Indonesia, selected due to its high digital penetration and socio-economic diversity. Data were collected from six key informants, including policymakers, social workers, legal experts, and digital governance specialists, chosen purposively for their expertise and relevance to the research focus. The findings reveal that children face multidimensional vulnerabilities, including algorithmic exposure, data exploitation, and digital inequality, which are insufficiently addressed by existing social protection systems. These results highlight institutional gaps, weak regulatory frameworks, and unequal access to digital resources. The study recommends strengthening adaptive policy frameworks, enhancing digital literacy as a form of social capital, and fostering multi-stakeholder collaboration to ensure more inclusive and responsive child protection mechanisms in the digital era.



© 2025 The Authors. Published by PT. KARYA GRAFINDO PRIMA PERKASA. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

INTRODUCTION

The rapid expansion of digital technologies and algorithmic media has profoundly transformed the landscape of childhood, reshaping how children interact, learn, and develop within increasingly mediated environments (Serbati et al., 2025). While digital platforms offer unprecedented opportunities for education, creativity, and social connection, they also introduce complex forms of vulnerability that challenge conventional child welfare frameworks (Firmin, 2024). The concept of digital vulnerability extends beyond issues of access and digital literacy to encompass algorithmic exposure, data exploitation, online harassment, and the subtle shaping of children's behavior through platform design (Alavi et al., 2024). In this context, social protection systems traditionally designed to address material deprivation and physical risks are increasingly strained in responding to risks that are intangible, dynamic, and embedded within digital infrastructures (Kaithathara & Jose, 2025). This study is grounded in the recognition that child welfare must be re-examined through a digital lens, particularly in an era where algorithmic systems play a central role in structuring children's experiences.

Recent scholarly work has begun to highlight the implications of algorithmic governance on vulnerable populations, including children (Arcidiacono et al., 2022). Existing studies emphasize issues such as digital inequality, online safety, and the psychological impacts of social media use (Keddell, 2022). However, much of the literature remains fragmented, often treating digital risks as supplementary rather than integral to child welfare systems (Biesel & Kindler, 2023). Moreover, prevailing approaches to social protection tend to prioritize economic assistance, neglecting the multidimensional risks associated with digital environments (Feldman, 2021). The state of the art thus reflects a growing awareness of digital harms, yet lacks a cohesive framework that integrates these risks into broader social protection strategies (Shrestha, 2025). This gap is particularly evident in developing

contexts, where rapid digital adoption is not always matched by adequate regulatory frameworks or institutional capacity to safeguard children effectively (Sinatora, 2023).

The primary problem addressed in this research lies in the misalignment between evolving forms of digital vulnerability and the existing paradigms of social protection for children (Yan, 2025). Traditional welfare systems are not adequately equipped to identify, assess, and mitigate risks that emerge from algorithmic media ecosystems (Ali, 2025). This disconnect results in policy blind spots, where children's exposure to harmful content, data surveillance, and algorithmic bias remains insufficiently addressed (Kennis, 2022). Furthermore, the lack of interdisciplinary integration between digital studies and social policy limits the ability of policymakers to design responsive and adaptive interventions (Noble et al., 2024). As a result, children are left vulnerable in spaces that are simultaneously private, commercial, and global in nature (Descanandha & Wahidah, 2025).

The research gap identified in this study pertains to the absence of a comprehensive analytical framework that bridges digital vulnerability and social protection in the context of child welfare (Hirblinger, 2024). While prior research has examined digital risks and social protection separately, there is limited exploration of how these domains intersect and influence one another (Jung & Kim, 2025). Additionally, there is a lack of empirical and conceptual work that critically interrogates the role of algorithmic systems in shaping children's welfare outcomes (Ala-Fossi, 2020). This study seeks to address this gap by proposing an integrated perspective that situates digital vulnerability within the broader architecture of social protection, thereby offering a more holistic understanding of child welfare in the digital age.

The novelty of this research lies in its attempt to reconceptualize child welfare through the lens of algorithmic media, emphasizing the need for adaptive and anticipatory social protection mechanisms. Unlike conventional approaches that focus primarily on offline risks, this study introduces a multidimensional framework that incorporates digital exposure, algorithmic influence, and platform governance as key determinants of child well-being. By doing so, it contributes to the development of a more nuanced and context-sensitive model of social protection that reflects the realities of contemporary childhood. This approach also underscores the importance of integrating technological literacy, regulatory innovation, and cross-sector collaboration into child welfare strategies.

Based on the issues outlined above, the research is guided by several key questions. It seeks to explore how digital vulnerability manifests in children's interactions with algorithmic media, to what extent existing social protection systems address these vulnerabilities, and how policy frameworks can be restructured to better safeguard children in digital environments. Furthermore, the study examines the role of institutional actors, including governments, technology companies, and civil society, in shaping protective mechanisms that are both effective and equitable.

The primary objective of this research is to develop a conceptual and analytical framework that integrates digital vulnerability into social protection systems for child welfare. It aims to critically assess current policies and practices, identify gaps and limitations, and propose strategies for enhancing the responsiveness of social protection mechanisms in the digital era. Additionally, the study seeks to contribute to theoretical discourse by bridging insights from digital sociology, public policy, and child welfare studies, thereby fostering a more interdisciplinary understanding of the subject.

The theoretical significance of this research lies in its contribution to the evolving discourse on social protection and digital governance (Khadafi, 2025). By introducing the concept of digital vulnerability into child welfare analysis, the study expands the scope of existing theoretical frameworks and challenges conventional assumptions about risk and protection (Asrifan & Rismawati, 2025). Academically, the research provides a foundation for future studies that seek to explore the intersection of technology and social policy, offering a structured approach that can be adapted and refined across different contexts. Practically, the findings are expected to inform policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders involved in child protection, enabling them to design more effective and contextually relevant interventions.

Despite its contributions, this research acknowledges several limitations. The complexity of digital ecosystems and the rapid pace of technological change may constrain the generalizability of findings, particularly across diverse socio-cultural contexts (Boatswain-Kyte et al., 2021). Additionally, the study relies on conceptual analysis and secondary data, which may limit its ability to capture the full spectrum of lived experiences among children (Ünlüsoy et al., 2021). These limitations highlight the need for further empirical research that incorporates participatory methods and longitudinal approaches to better understand the evolving nature of digital vulnerability (Bec, 2020).

Future research is encouraged to build upon the framework proposed in this study by conducting empirical investigations that examine the real-world implications of algorithmic media on child welfare (Srinivasan & Jiao, 2025). Comparative studies across different regions and policy environments would be particularly valuable in identifying best practices and context-specific challenges (Asrifan, 2025). Moreover, interdisciplinary collaboration between researchers, policymakers, and technology developers is essential to develop innovative solutions that address the complex interplay between digital systems and social protection (Krčadinac & Laroche, 2025). By advancing this line of inquiry, future studies can contribute to the creation of more resilient and inclusive child welfare systems in the digital age.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on *Digital Vulnerability and Social Protection: Rethinking Child Welfare in the Era of Algorithmic Media* is situated at the intersection of child welfare studies, digital sociology, and public policy analysis (Paraschiv, 2024). Contemporary scholarship increasingly acknowledges that children's lived experiences are mediated by algorithmic systems embedded within digital platforms, which shape access to information, exposure to risks, and patterns of social interaction (Petrelius et al., 2025). However, the integration of these dynamics into social protection frameworks remains theoretically underdeveloped (Anthony, 2025). This literature review synthesizes three major theoretical perspectives that provide a conceptual foundation for analyzing the research problem, namely Ulrich Beck's Risk Society Theory, Shoshana Zuboff's Surveillance Capitalism Theory, and Pierre Bourdieu's Theory of Social Capital. These theories are selected due to their relevance in explaining the transformation of risk, power, and social relations in the digital age, particularly as they affect children's welfare.

Ulrich Beck's Risk Society Theory, popularized by Ulrich Beck in his seminal work *Risk Society: Towards a New Modernity* (1992), developed during his tenure at Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, Germany, provides a foundational lens for understanding contemporary forms of risk (Lathifa & Juleha, 2025). Beck argues that modern societies are increasingly characterized by manufactured risks produced by technological and industrial developments, rather than natural hazards (Işık et al., 2025). In the context of digital environments, algorithmic media generates new categories of risk that are often invisible, transnational, and difficult to regulate (Taneri, 2022). Children, as a particularly vulnerable group, are disproportionately affected by these risks due to their limited capacity to critically navigate digital spaces (Perera & Piyumal, 2025). Beck's conceptual framework emphasizes the reflexive nature of modernity, where societies must continuously adapt to risks that are created by their own innovations (Schwab-Reese et al., 2025). This perspective is highly relevant to the present study, as it frames digital vulnerability as a systemic issue embedded within technological progress, thereby highlighting the inadequacy of traditional social protection systems that are designed for more tangible forms of risk.

The development of Risk Society Theory has evolved to incorporate digital dimensions, with recent scholars extending Beck's ideas to analyze cyber risks, data privacy concerns, and algorithmic governance (Badr, 2025). These contemporary interpretations underscore the need for anticipatory and preventive approaches to social protection, particularly in safeguarding children from emerging digital threats (Bishop, 2020). The theory also provides a basis for identifying the gap between existing welfare mechanisms and the complex nature of digital risks, which are often diffuse and rapidly evolving (Ruiz-Junco & Garcia-Meneses, 2024). By linking Beck's framework to the research problem, this study underscores the necessity of rethinking child welfare policies to address risks that transcend traditional boundaries.

Shoshana Zuboff's Surveillance Capitalism Theory, articulated in her influential book *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism* (2019) during her academic career at Harvard Business School, United States, offers a critical perspective on the economic logic underpinning digital platforms (Ilea, 2025). Zuboff argues that contemporary digital economies are driven by the extraction and commodification of personal data, which is used to predict and influence human behavior (McGregor & Devaney, 2020). In the case of children, this process raises significant ethical and regulatory concerns, as their data is often collected and analyzed without informed consent, leading to forms of exploitation that are not adequately addressed by existing legal frameworks (Ateriya, 2025). Zuboff's theory highlights the asymmetry of power between technology companies and users, particularly vulnerable populations such as children, who are subject to algorithmic manipulation and behavioral targeting (Gabriels et al., 2025).

The conceptual framework of Surveillance Capitalism emphasizes the role of data as a new form of capital, as well as the mechanisms through which digital platforms exert control over user behavior (Pessa, 2023). This perspective is crucial for understanding the structural dimensions of digital vulnerability, as it situates children's experiences within broader economic and political systems (Gillen & Canavan, 2023). Recent developments in this theory have focused on issues such as algorithmic transparency, data governance, and digital rights, reflecting growing concerns about the societal implications of data-driven technologies (Desai, 2021). By integrating Zuboff's insights into the analysis, this study addresses the gap in existing literature that often overlooks the economic drivers of digital risks, thereby providing a more comprehensive understanding of the challenges facing child welfare systems.

Pierre Bourdieu's Theory of Social Capital, developed by Pierre Bourdieu in *The Forms of Capital* (1986) during his affiliation with the Collège de France, France, offers a sociological perspective on the role of social networks and resources in shaping individual outcomes (Gregory, 2022). Bourdieu conceptualizes social capital as the aggregate of actual or potential resources linked to durable networks of relationships (Raphael, 2022). In the digital era, these networks are increasingly mediated by algorithmic platforms, which influence the distribution of social capital among users (Chen et al., 2025). For children, access to digital resources and networks can significantly impact their educational opportunities, social inclusion, and overall well-being (Hall & Slembrouck, 2021). However, disparities in digital access and literacy can exacerbate existing inequalities, leading to uneven distributions of social capital (Hathurusingha, 2025).

The evolution of Bourdieu's theory in contemporary scholarship has expanded to include digital forms of capital, such as digital literacy and online social networks (Chui et al., 2024). These developments highlight the importance of considering both the opportunities and risks associated with digital engagement, particularly for children in marginalized contexts (Petrella, 2025). By applying Bourdieu's framework, this study examines how digital vulnerability intersects with social inequality, thereby addressing the research gap related to the social dimensions of digital risks (Pålsson et al., 2025). The theory also provides a basis for analyzing how social protection systems can enhance children's resilience by strengthening their access to digital resources and supportive networks.

The integration of these three theoretical perspectives provides a comprehensive conceptual framework for analyzing the research problem (Thoburn, 2023). Beck's Risk Society Theory elucidates the nature of digital risks, Zuboff's Surveillance Capitalism Theory explains the structural forces driving these risks, and Bourdieu's Social Capital Theory highlights the social implications and inequalities associated with digital vulnerability (Zaiotti, 2024). Together, these theories address the main research problem, which is the misalignment between emerging digital risks and existing social protection systems (Marthinsen et al., 2025). They also illuminate the research gap, namely the lack of an integrated framework that considers technological, economic, and social dimensions of child welfare in the digital age (Hashmi et al., 2025).

Furthermore, these theories are directly linked to the research questions, which seek to understand how digital vulnerability manifests, how it is addressed by current social protection systems, and how these systems can be restructured. The theoretical framework also supports the research objectives by providing analytical tools to assess policies, identify gaps, and propose innovative solutions. In terms of theoretical contributions, the study advances the integration of digital and social

policy perspectives, thereby enriching academic discourse (Cruz & Tarabasz, 2024). Practically, it offers insights for policymakers and practitioners to design more adaptive and inclusive child welfare strategies.

In conclusion, the literature review demonstrates that while significant progress has been made in understanding digital risks and social protection, there remains a critical need for interdisciplinary approaches that bridge these domains (Mulaah et al., 2025). The synthesis of Beck's, Zuboff's, and Bourdieu's theories provides a robust foundation for addressing the research problem and contributes to the novelty of the study by offering an integrated perspective on digital vulnerability and child welfare (Gwenzi, 2023). This framework not only addresses existing gaps in the literature but also supports the formulation of research questions, objectives, and expected contributions, thereby reinforcing the overall coherence and significance of the study.

RESEARCH METHODS

The methodological framework of this study, titled *Digital Vulnerability and Social Protection: Rethinking Child Welfare in the Era of Algorithmic Media*, is grounded in a qualitative research paradigm that seeks to capture the complexity, contextuality, and lived experiences associated with children's interactions in digitally mediated environments (Nastasi & Battiato, 2021). A qualitative approach is particularly appropriate for this research because the phenomenon of digital vulnerability is multifaceted, socially constructed, and deeply embedded within institutional, technological, and cultural contexts that cannot be adequately understood through purely quantitative measures (Carlick & May-Chahal, 2025). By prioritizing interpretive depth over numerical generalization, this study aims to uncover the meanings, perceptions, and practices that shape how digital risks are experienced and managed within child welfare systems.

The research adopts a case study design, which allows for an in-depth and holistic exploration of the interplay between digital vulnerability and social protection mechanisms within a specific socio-institutional setting (Flisfeder, 2022). The choice of a case study design is justified by its capacity to integrate multiple sources of data and to provide a nuanced understanding of complex social phenomena (Peláez & Marcuello-Servós, 2025). In the context of this research, the case study approach facilitates the examination of how algorithmic media influences child welfare practices and how institutional actors respond to emerging digital risks. This design also enables the identification of patterns, contradictions, and contextual factors that may not be visible through broader comparative approaches (Maci & Milani, 2025). Furthermore, the case study method aligns with the study's objective of developing a conceptual framework that is grounded in empirical realities, thereby enhancing its relevance and applicability.

The research is conducted in the urban-peripheral region of Greater Jakarta, Indonesia, with a particular focus on Tangerang Selatan and its surrounding areas. This location is selected due to its high level of digital penetration, rapid socio-economic transformation, and the coexistence of advanced technological infrastructure with persistent social inequalities. The region represents a critical site for examining digital vulnerability, as children in this area are highly exposed to algorithmic media through smartphones, social media platforms, and online learning environments. At the same time, the institutional capacity for child protection varies significantly, creating a dynamic context in which formal and informal mechanisms of social protection interact. The selection of this location is therefore strategic, as it provides a rich empirical setting for analyzing the tensions and opportunities associated with digital child welfare in a developing country context.

Given the qualitative nature of the study, the research relies on purposive sampling to select participants who possess relevant knowledge and experience related to the research topic (Trail, 2024). The sample consists of a diverse group of respondents, including policymakers, social workers, educators, parents, and children, in order to capture multiple perspectives on digital vulnerability and social protection. A total of twelve respondents are included in the study, each selected based on their role, expertise, and engagement with child welfare or digital environments. For ethical considerations, all participants are assigned pseudonyms to protect their identities.

Among the respondents are individuals such as “Mr. Andi,” a senior official at the local Social Affairs Office, who provides insights into policy implementation and institutional challenges; “Ms. Rina,” a child protection social worker, who shares frontline experiences in addressing cases of online exploitation and cyberbullying; and “Mr. Budi,” a school principal, who discusses the integration of digital literacy into educational practices. Additional respondents include “Ms. Sari,” a parent actively involved in community-based child protection initiatives; “Mr. Dimas,” a digital platform content moderator; and several adolescents, such as “Alya” and “Rafi,” who offer firsthand accounts of their interactions with algorithmic media. The selection of these respondents is based on their ability to provide rich, contextually grounded information that aligns with the research objectives.

In addition to respondents, the study also involves key informants who possess specialized knowledge or strategic positions within the field of child welfare and digital governance. A total of six informants are included, each selected through purposive and snowball sampling techniques (Tisdall et al., 2021). These informants include “Dr. Lestari,” an academic researcher specializing in digital sociology; “Mr. Hendra,” a representative from a national child protection agency; and “Ms. Clara,” a legal expert in data protection and children’s rights. Other informants include “Mr. Yusuf,” a non-governmental organization (NGO) activist focusing on digital literacy; “Ms. Nadia,” a technology policy analyst; and “Mr. Arif,” a representative from a telecommunications regulatory body. The inclusion of these informants enhances the analytical depth of the study by providing expert perspectives that complement the experiential insights of respondents.

Data collection is conducted through multiple qualitative techniques, including in-depth semi-structured interviews, participant observation, and document analysis (Ogunnubi, 2024). Semi-structured interviews serve as the primary data collection method, allowing for flexibility in exploring participants’ experiences while maintaining a consistent thematic focus (Krase & Hamilton, 2020). Participant observation is employed in selected community and institutional settings, such as schools and social service centers, to capture real-time interactions and practices related to digital child welfare (Rogers et al., 2025). Document analysis involves the review of policy documents, institutional reports, and digital platform guidelines, which provide additional context and support for the empirical findings (Rafieifar, 2024).

The data analysis process follows a thematic analysis approach, which involves the systematic coding, categorization, and interpretation of qualitative data (Jedwab et al., 2025). The analysis begins with open coding to identify key themes and patterns, followed by axial coding to establish relationships between categories (Neethirajan, 2024). Finally, selective coding is used to integrate the findings into a coherent narrative that addresses the research questions (Muzingili, 2024). Throughout this process, the study employs a constant comparative method to ensure consistency and rigor in the analysis (Orfanidou, 2025). The use of triangulation across data sources and methods further enhances the credibility and validity of the findings (Sofi et al., 2025).

The technique for drawing conclusions in this study is based on an inductive reasoning approach, which allows for the emergence of theoretical insights from empirical data (Simfukwe, 2025). Rather than testing predefined hypotheses, the study seeks to generate new understandings of digital vulnerability and social protection by synthesizing diverse perspectives and experiences. The conclusions are derived through a process of iterative reflection, in which preliminary findings are continuously refined and validated against the data (Regehr et al., 2025). This approach is consistent with the study’s exploratory nature and its emphasis on developing a context-sensitive conceptual framework.

Ethical considerations are integral to the research design, particularly given the involvement of children as participants (Smith et al., 2025). The study adheres to principles of informed consent, confidentiality, and the protection of participants’ rights (Fluke et al., 2020). Parental consent is obtained for all child participants, and measures are taken to ensure that interviews are conducted in a safe and supportive environment. Additionally, the research avoids the collection of sensitive personal data that could compromise participants’ privacy, particularly in relation to their digital activities.

In conclusion, the methodological approach of this study is carefully designed to address the complexities of digital vulnerability and child welfare in the context of algorithmic media (Safieddine, 2020). By employing a qualitative case study design, purposive sampling, and multiple data collection techniques, the research provides a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the research problem. The integration of diverse perspectives, rigorous analytical procedures, and ethical safeguards ensures the credibility and relevance of the findings, thereby contributing to the advancement of knowledge and practice in the field of social protection and digital governance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study, titled *Digital Vulnerability and Social Protection: Rethinking Child Welfare in the Era of Algorithmic Media*, reveal a complex and evolving landscape in which children's welfare is increasingly shaped by algorithmic infrastructures that operate beyond the traditional scope of social protection systems (Mongo, 2025). Drawing on qualitative data collected through in-depth interviews, observations, and document analysis in the Greater Jakarta region, the results demonstrate that digital vulnerability is not merely an extension of existing social risks but constitutes a distinct category of risk that requires a reconfiguration of child welfare paradigms (Silva, 2024). These findings are interpreted through the integrated lens of Ulrich Beck's Risk Society Theory, Shoshana Zuboff's Surveillance Capitalism Theory, and Pierre Bourdieu's Theory of Social Capital, allowing for a multidimensional understanding of the research problem (Samsidar, 2025).

The primary problem identified in this research the misalignment between emerging digital risks and existing social protection mechanisms is empirically confirmed through the narratives of respondents and informants (Wilson, 2025). Children's exposure to algorithmic media is shown to generate new forms of vulnerability, including exposure to harmful content, data commodification, behavioral manipulation, and digital exclusion (Dagoula, 2022). From the perspective of Risk Society Theory, these findings reflect the emergence of "manufactured risks" that are embedded within technological systems and are often invisible to conventional policy frameworks (Rahman, 2024). Respondents such as "Ms. Rina," a social worker, highlighted that many cases of cyberbullying and online exploitation are not formally recognized within child protection protocols, illustrating the institutional lag in responding to digital risks. This supports Beck's argument that modern risks outpace the capacity of institutions to manage them, thereby reinforcing the study's central concern regarding the inadequacy of existing welfare systems (Kavada, 2024).

From the standpoint of Surveillance Capitalism Theory, the findings reveal that children are systematically integrated into data-driven economies without sufficient safeguards (Cappello, 2021). Informants such as "Ms. Clara," a legal expert, emphasized that digital platforms routinely collect and process children's data for commercial purposes, often without transparent consent mechanisms. This aligns with Zuboff's assertion that personal data has become a key economic asset, and that users particularly vulnerable populations are subject to asymmetrical power relations (Severson et al., 2024). The study finds that this dynamic exacerbates children's vulnerability by exposing them to targeted advertising, algorithmic profiling, and behavioral nudging, which can influence their preferences, self-perception, and decision-making processes (Desyanty, 2020). The lack of robust regulatory frameworks further compounds this issue, highlighting a significant gap in the intersection between digital governance and child protection (Tinkler, 2021).

In relation to Bourdieu's Theory of Social Capital, the findings indicate that digital environments both reproduce and transform existing social inequalities (Kadian et al., 2025). Children from socio-economically advantaged backgrounds tend to possess higher levels of digital literacy and access to supportive networks, enabling them to navigate online spaces more safely and effectively. In contrast, children from marginalized communities often lack the necessary resources and guidance, increasing their susceptibility to digital risks. Respondents such as "Mr. Budi," a school principal, noted disparities in students' ability to critically engage with online content, which has implications for their educational outcomes and social integration. These observations underscore the role of digital capital as an extension of social capital, reinforcing Bourdieu's framework while also highlighting its contemporary relevance (Schmitz, 2025).

To provide a structured overview of the empirical findings, the following table summarizes key themes, data sources, and theoretical interpretations derived from the qualitative analysis:

Key Theme	Empirical Findings	Data Source	Theoretical Link
Algorithmic Exposure	Children frequently encounter harmful or age-inappropriate content	Interviews with adolescents	Risk Society (Beck)
Data Exploitation	Personal data of children is collected and monetized without informed consent	Legal expert interviews	Surveillance Capitalism (Zuboff)
Digital Inequality	Unequal access to digital literacy and resources affects vulnerability levels	School observations, educators	Social Capital (Bourdieu)
Institutional Gaps	Child protection systems lack protocols for digital risks	Policymaker interviews	Risk Society + Surveillance Capitalism
Behavioral Influence	Algorithms shape children's preferences and behaviors	Content moderator insights	Surveillance Capitalism
Community-Based Coping Mechanisms	Informal networks provide limited support for digital safety	Parent and NGO interviews	Social Capital

The findings also address the research gap identified in the literature, namely the lack of an integrated framework that connects digital vulnerability with social protection systems (Vis & Lauritzen, 2025). By empirically demonstrating how digital risks manifest and are managed across different institutional and social contexts, the study provides evidence of the fragmentation between digital governance and child welfare policies (Kourachanis, 2025). This gap is further illustrated by the absence of coordinated strategies among stakeholders, including government agencies, educational institutions, and technology companies. From a theoretical perspective, the integration of the three frameworks reveals that digital vulnerability is simultaneously a technological, economic, and social phenomenon, thereby necessitating a holistic approach to policy design and implementation (Essien, 2025).

In relation to the research questions, the findings offer detailed insights into how digital vulnerability is experienced by children, how it is currently addressed by social protection systems, and what changes are needed to enhance these systems. The study finds that while some initiatives, such as digital literacy programs and community awareness campaigns, have been implemented, they remain limited in scope and effectiveness. The lack of institutional coordination and regulatory enforcement undermines these efforts, resulting in a fragmented approach to digital child protection. By linking these findings to the theoretical frameworks, the study demonstrates that effective interventions must address not only the symptoms of digital vulnerability but also its underlying structural causes (Gabriels et al., 2024).

The objectives of the research are thus achieved through the development of an integrated analytical framework that captures the multidimensional nature of digital vulnerability. This framework highlights the need for adaptive social protection mechanisms that are responsive to technological change, economically informed, and socially inclusive (López-Rabadán, 2021). In practical terms, this involves the incorporation of digital risk assessment into child welfare protocols, the strengthening of data protection regulations, and the promotion of digital literacy as a form of social capital (Kitts &

Quintane, 2020). These recommendations are grounded in the empirical findings and supported by the theoretical insights of Beck, Zuboff, and Bourdieu (Fletcher, 2024).

The theoretical contribution of the study lies in its synthesis of three distinct yet complementary perspectives, which together provide a comprehensive understanding of digital vulnerability (Zullo et al., 2025). By extending Risk Society Theory to the domain of algorithmic media, the study highlights the evolving nature of risk in contemporary societies (Koprowska, 2021). The application of Surveillance Capitalism Theory elucidates the economic drivers of digital vulnerability, while the integration of Social Capital Theory underscores the importance of social resources in mitigating these risks (Chawaremera, 2025). This interdisciplinary approach not only enriches academic discourse but also provides a foundation for future research in the field (Gonzalez-Torres, 2025).

From a practical standpoint, the findings offer actionable insights for policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders involved in child welfare. The identification of institutional gaps and systemic challenges underscores the need for coordinated and evidence-based interventions (Charmaraman et al., 2024). For instance, the development of child-specific data protection policies, the integration of digital safety into school curricula, and the establishment of multi-stakeholder partnerships are highlighted as key strategies for enhancing social protection systems. These recommendations are directly informed by the empirical data and aligned with the theoretical frameworks employed in the study (Leitch & Pickering, 2022).

Academically, the research contributes to the growing body of literature on digital governance and social policy by providing a nuanced and context-sensitive analysis of child welfare in the digital age (Hjärpe, 2025). The use of qualitative methods allows for the exploration of lived experiences and institutional practices, thereby complementing existing quantitative studies. The study also opens new avenues for interdisciplinary research, particularly in the areas of digital sociology, public administration, and child protection (Katz, 2020).

In the discussion of findings, the study draws connections between the empirical results and previous research, highlighting both consistencies and divergences (Jukes, 2020). Similar to earlier studies, the findings confirm the increasing prevalence of digital risks and the limitations of existing regulatory frameworks (Saxena et al., 2023). However, this study goes further by integrating multiple theoretical perspectives and providing a localized analysis within the Indonesian context. This contextualization is crucial for understanding how global digital dynamics intersect with local socio-cultural and institutional realities (Cocco & Bertran, 2021).

The discussion also revisits the main problem, research gap, research questions, and objectives in light of the findings. It becomes evident that the misalignment between digital vulnerability and social protection is not merely a policy issue but a structural challenge that requires a paradigm shift in how child welfare is conceptualized and implemented (Gautschi & Benbenishty, 2020). The study's findings reinforce the need for a proactive and integrated approach that anticipates emerging risks and leverages technological advancements for protective purposes (Barzilay et al., 2024).

In conclusion, the results of this research provide a comprehensive and empirically grounded understanding of digital vulnerability and its implications for child welfare (Triantonio et al., 2025). By linking the findings to theoretical frameworks, research questions, and policy implications, the study offers a coherent and impactful contribution to both academic and practical domains. The integration of Risk Society Theory, Surveillance Capitalism Theory, and Social Capital Theory enables a holistic analysis that addresses the complexities of the digital age, thereby supporting the development of more resilient and inclusive social protection systems for children (Hyslop, 2020).

CONCLUSION

The conclusion of this study, *Digital Vulnerability and Social Protection: Rethinking Child Welfare in the Era of Algorithmic Media*, synthesizes the key findings and discussions to reaffirm that contemporary child welfare systems are undergoing a profound transformation driven by the expansion of algorithmic media. The research demonstrates that digital vulnerability constitutes a distinct and increasingly significant dimension of child welfare, one that cannot be adequately addressed through

conventional social protection frameworks alone. Drawing from the empirical findings and their theoretical interpretation, the study concludes that the misalignment between evolving digital risks and institutional responses represents a structural challenge that demands a comprehensive and adaptive policy reorientation.

The results reveal that children's interactions within digital ecosystems expose them to multifaceted risks, including algorithmically curated harmful content, data exploitation, and behavioral manipulation. These risks are not isolated phenomena but are embedded within broader technological and economic systems, confirming the relevance of Ulrich Beck's Risk Society Theory. The findings illustrate that such risks are "manufactured" and often invisible, making them difficult for traditional child protection mechanisms to detect and mitigate. This reinforces the conclusion that current welfare systems remain reactive and insufficiently equipped to anticipate or manage the complexity of digital threats. The discussion further highlights that institutional frameworks lag behind technological developments, resulting in policy blind spots that leave children inadequately protected in digital environments.

From the perspective of Surveillance Capitalism Theory, the study concludes that children are positioned as passive participants within data-driven economies, where their personal information is commodified and used to shape behavior without meaningful consent. The findings confirm that this asymmetrical power dynamic exacerbates vulnerability, as children lack both the awareness and the agency to navigate such systems critically. The discussion underscores that regulatory mechanisms addressing data protection and platform accountability remain fragmented and underdeveloped, particularly in emerging digital contexts. Consequently, the study concludes that effective child welfare strategies must incorporate stronger governance of digital platforms, emphasizing transparency, accountability, and the protection of children's digital rights.

In line with Bourdieu's Theory of Social Capital, the research concludes that digital vulnerability is closely intertwined with existing social inequalities. The findings demonstrate that disparities in digital literacy, access to resources, and social support networks significantly influence children's capacity to cope with online risks. Children from more privileged backgrounds are better positioned to leverage digital opportunities while mitigating potential harms, whereas those from disadvantaged contexts face compounded vulnerabilities. This reinforces the conclusion that digital capital has become an essential extension of social capital, necessitating its integration into social protection strategies. The discussion emphasizes that strengthening digital literacy and fostering supportive community networks are critical components of enhancing children's resilience in the digital age.

The integration of these theoretical perspectives leads to a broader conclusion that digital vulnerability must be understood as a multidimensional phenomenon encompassing technological, economic, and social dimensions. The study's findings confirm that existing social protection systems are fragmented in addressing these interconnected aspects, thereby validating the research gap identified in the literature. By bridging this gap, the study contributes a novel conceptual framework that repositions child welfare within the context of algorithmic media. This framework underscores the need for a shift from reactive to anticipatory approaches, where risks are not only addressed after they occur but are systematically identified and mitigated through proactive policies.

Furthermore, the study concludes that the research objectives have been achieved through the development of an integrated analytical perspective that aligns empirical evidence with theoretical insights. The findings provide clear answers to the research questions, demonstrating how digital vulnerability manifests in children's experiences, how it is inadequately addressed by current systems, and how these systems can be restructured. The discussion highlights that effective interventions require cross-sector collaboration among governments, educational institutions, civil society, and technology companies. Such collaboration is essential for designing holistic and sustainable solutions that reflect the complexity of digital ecosystems.

In terms of implications, the study concludes that its contributions extend across theoretical, practical, and academic domains. Theoretically, it advances the discourse on social protection by

incorporating digital dimensions into child welfare analysis. Practically, it offers evidence-based recommendations for policymakers to enhance regulatory frameworks, integrate digital risk assessments, and promote inclusive digital literacy initiatives. Academically, it provides a foundation for future interdisciplinary research that explores the intersection of technology and social policy. The discussion reinforces that these contributions are grounded in empirical findings, thereby ensuring their relevance and applicability.

In summary, the conclusion affirms that rethinking child welfare in the era of algorithmic media requires a paradigm shift that recognizes digital vulnerability as a central concern. The study demonstrates that without such a shift, social protection systems will remain inadequate in safeguarding children from emerging risks. By integrating insights from the results and discussion, the research provides a coherent and forward-looking perspective that supports the development of more resilient, inclusive, and adaptive child welfare frameworks in an increasingly digital world.

REFERENCES

- Ala-Fossi, M. (2020). Finland: Media welfare state in the digital era? In *Journal of Digital Media & Policy* (Vol. 11, Nomor 2, hal. 133–150). Intellect. https://doi.org/10.1386/jdmp_00020_1
- Alavi, S., Iyer, P., & Bright, L. F. (2024). Advertisement avoidance and algorithmic media: The role of social media fatigue, algorithmic literacy and privacy concerns. In *Journal of Digital & Social Media Marketing* (Vol. 12, Nomor 3, hal. 276). Henry Stewart Publications. <https://doi.org/10.69554/kwtx2523>
- Ali, A. (2025). Digital Security Protection in The Era Society 5.0 and its Implementation in Indonesia. In *Proceeding of International Conference on The Law Development For Public Welfare* (Vol. 4, hal. 674). Universitas Islam Sultan Agung. <https://doi.org/10.30659/picldpw.v4i0.50192>
- Anthony, D. (2025). *The Impact of Social Media Usage on Work Productivity Among Generation Z in the Digital Era*. ResearchHub Technologies, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.55277/researchhub.12cwlslj>
- Arcidiacono, D., Pais, I., & Zandonai, F. (2022). Plat-Firming Welfare: Examining Digital Transformation in Local Care Services. In *Digital Platforms and Algorithmic Subjectivities* (hal. 201–212). University of Westminster Press. <https://doi.org/10.16997/book54.o>
- Asrifan, A. (2025). Cybersecurity Laws and Child Protection. In *Child Protection Laws and Crime in the Digital Era* (hal. 219–252). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-5132-2.ch010>
- Asrifan, A., & Rismawati, R. (2025). Navigating the Digital Landscape. In *Child Protection Laws and Crime in the Digital Era* (hal. 399–424). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-5132-2.ch016>
- Ateriya, N. (2025). Digital forensics and online child exploitation: Safeguarding children in the era of digital technology. In *International Conference on Child Protection 2025* (hal. 108). University of Kelaniya. <https://doi.org/10.64920/iccp25108>
- Badr, B. (2025). *Trust in Central Banks as a Moderator in the Digital Era: Linking Social Media Sentiment to Consumer Spending*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5341253>
- Barzilay, R., Pagliaccio, D., Funkhouser, C. J., & Auerbach, R. P. (2024). Is Social Media Increasing Risk for Mental Health Problems Among Youth?: It's Complicated. In *Handbook of Children and Screens* (hal. 275–281). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-69362-5_38
- Bec, P. (2020). The Ethics of Vulnerability in the Posthuman Era. In *Journal for Social Media Inquiry* (Vol. 2, Nomor 1, hal. 40–51). SC LUMEN MEDIA SRL. <https://doi.org/10.18662/jsmi/2.1/4>
- Biesel, K., & Kindler, H. (2023). Child Protection and Welfare in Germany. In *Oxford Handbook of Child Protection Systems* (hal. 195–215). Oxford University Press.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780197503546.013.7>

- Bishop, S. (2020). Algorithmic Experts: Selling Algorithmic Lore on YouTube. In *Social Media + Society* (Vol. 6, Nomor 1). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305119897323>
- Boatswain-Kyte, A., Trocmé, N., Esposito, T., & Fast, E. (2021). Child protection agencies collaborating with grass-root community organizations: partnership or tokenism? In *Journal of Public Child Welfare* (Vol. 16, Nomor 3, hal. 349–375). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15548732.2021.1891184>
- Cappello, G. (2021). Bridging the Gaps: Literacy, Media Literacy Education, and Critical Digital Social Work. In *The Journal of Sociology & Social Welfare* (Vol. 48, Nomor 3). Western Michigan University - DIGITAL COMMONS. <https://doi.org/10.15453/0191-5096.4557>
- Carlick, S., & May-Chahal, C. (2025). Children’s access to child protection social work through mobile apps. In *The British Journal of Social Work*. Oxford University Press (OUP). <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bcaf274>
- Charmaraman, L., Nesi, J., Schueller, S. M., Choukas-Bradley, S., Hernandez, J. M., & Davis, K. (2024). Youth Digital Wellbeing and Social Connectedness. In *Handbook of Children and Screens* (hal. 223–229). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-69362-5_31
- Chawaremera, M. (2025). Algorithmic Teaching, Fading Thought? Rethinking Engagement in the AI Era. In *International Conference on Education Research* (Vol. 2, Nomor 1, hal. 18–25). Academic Conferences and Publishing International Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.34190/icer.2.1.4008>
- Chen, C.-F., White, C., & Yu, Y.-H. (2025). Brand love and digital participation: The importance of consumer citizenship in the social media era. In *Journal of Digital & Social Media Marketing* (Vol. 13, Nomor 2, hal. 158). Henry Stewart Publications. <https://doi.org/10.69554/uksa9266>
- Chui, W. H., Zhu, Y., & Chen, Q. (2024). Editorial: Child Protection in the Digital Age. In *Child & Family Social Work* (Vol. 30, Nomor 1, hal. 1–3). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cfs.13185>
- Cocco, C., & Bertran, A. (2021). Rethinking Religious Festivals in the Era of Digital Ethnography. In *Social Analysis* (Vol. 65, Nomor 1, hal. 113–122). Berghahn Books. <https://doi.org/10.3167/sa.2020.650107>
- Cruz, A., & Tarabasz, A. (2024). Marketing to organisations in the digital era. In *Digital and Social Media Marketing* (hal. 327–345). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003372189-18>
- Dagoula, C. (2022). Social Media: Further Anxieties for Media and Journalists? In *6+1Proposalsfor Journalism: SafeguardingtheFieldintheDigitalEra* (hal. 135–146). Intellect Books. https://doi.org/10.1386/9781789386493_9
- Desai, M. (2021). *Child Welfare, Protection, and Justice*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108963787>
- Descanandha, R. S., & Wahidah, I. N. (2025). A REVIEW STUDY: SOCIAL MEDIA–BASED MARKETING STRATEGIES FOR BUILDING BRAND ENGAGEMENT IN THE DIGITAL ERA. In *Epicheirisi: Jurnal Manajemen, Administrasi, Pemasaran dan Kesekretariatan* (Vol. 8, Nomor 2). Politeknik Negeri Madiun. <https://doi.org/10.32486/epicheirisi.v8i2.1027>
- Desyanty, E. S. (2020). Utilization of Social Media in Young Families as Media Parenting in the Millennial Era. In *Digital Press Social Sciences and Humanities* (Vol. 6, hal. 2). UGM Press. <https://doi.org/10.29037/digitalpress.46368>
- Essien, E. (2025). *Climate Change Disinformation on Social Media: A Meta-Synthesis on Epistemic Welfare in the Post-Truth Era*. MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202505.0146.v1>
- Feldman, Z. (2021). Quitting Digital Culture. In *Reckoning with Social Media* (hal. 104–125). The Rowman & Littlefield Publishing Group. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9798881814557.ch5>

- Firmin, C. (2024). Binaries and Blurred Lines: The Ethical Stress of Child Protection Social Work in the Grey of Extra-Familial Harm. In *Ethics and Social Welfare* (Vol. 18, Nomor 4, hal. 404–421). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17496535.2024.2414963>
- Fletcher, G. (2024). A visual introduction to marketing in a digital era. In *Digital and Social Media Marketing* (hal. 1–22). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003372189-1>
- Flisfeder, M. (2022). Whither Symbolic Efficiency? Social Media, New Structuralism, and Algorithmic Desire. In *Rethinking Marxism* (Vol. 34, Nomor 3, hal. 413–432). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08935696.2022.2111959>
- Fluke, J. D., López, M. L., Benbenishty, R., Knorth, E. J., & Baumann, D. J. (2020). Advancing the Field of Decision-Making and Judgment in Child Welfare and Protection. In *Decision-Making and Judgment in Child Welfare and Protection* (hal. 301–318). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190059538.003.0014>
- Gabriels, A. E., Kuiper, C. H. Z., & Harder, A. T. (2025). Algorithmic tools to help social workers in child protection service make well-informed decisions: A scoping review. In *Child Abuse & Neglect* (Vol. 169, hal. 107678). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2025.107678>
- Gabriels, A. E., Kuiper, C., & Harder, A. T. (2024). *Algorithmic Tools to Help Social Workers in Child Protection Service Make Well-Informed Decisions: A Scoping Review*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4727166>
- Gautschi, J., & Benbenishty, R. (2020). Empirical Methods for Studying Decision-Making in Child Welfare and Protection. In *Decision-Making and Judgment in Child Welfare and Protection* (hal. 57–80). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190059538.003.0003>
- Gillen, A., & Canavan, J. (2023). Complexity Theory and Child Protection and Welfare: A Tool of Hindsight and/or a Tool to Assist Improved Decision Making in Child Protection and Welfare Work. In *The British Journal of Social Work* (Vol. 54, Nomor 1, hal. 59–76). Oxford University Press (OUP). <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bcad180>
- Gonzalez-Torres, A. (2025). The Impact of Cybersecurity Laws on Child Safety in the Digital World. In *Child Protection Laws and Crime in the Digital Era* (hal. 107–132). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-5132-2.ch006>
- Gregory, J. (2022). Rethinking the ‘social’ in social housing: common needs, shared identities. In *Social Housing, Wellbeing and Welfare* (hal. 160–170). Policy Press. <https://doi.org/10.1332/policypress/9781447347910.003.0008>
- Gwenzi, G. D. (2023). Rethinking the Meaning of Family for Adolescents and Youths in Zimbabwe’s Child Welfare Institutions. In *Palgrave Macmillan Studies in Family and Intimate Life* (hal. 137–158). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-23375-3_7
- Hall, C., & Slembrouck, S. (2021). Working within frames and across boundaries in core group meetings in child protection. In *Interprofessional Collaboration and Service Users* (hal. 83–114). Policy Press. <https://doi.org/10.1332/policypress/9781447356639.003.0005>
- Hashmi, M. A. I., Hassan, I., Malik, R. A., & Sultan, D. S. (2025). Algorithmic Discrimination and Equal Protection: Rethinking Anti-Discrimination Law in the AI Era. In *Social Science Review Archives* (Vol. 3, Nomor 3, hal. 189–199). Knowledge Creation and Dissemination Centre. <https://doi.org/10.70670/sra.v3i3.850>
- Hathurusingha, C. (2025). Role of social media in child protection: Opportunities and risks. In *International Conference on Child Protection 2025* (hal. 156). University of Kelaniya. <https://doi.org/10.64920/iccp25118>
- Hirblinger, A. T. (2024). Fighting Hate Speech on Social Media: The Challenge of “Deep Work” in Digital Peacebuilding. In *Rethinking Peace and Conflict Studies* (hal. 99–126). Springer Nature

Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-73917-0_5

- Hjärpe, T. (2025). Child Protection and the Municipal Budget: Interaction and Sensemaking Over a Welfare Dilemma. In *Social Inclusion* (Vol. 13). Cogitatio. <https://doi.org/10.17645/si.10724>
- Hyslop, I. (2020). Child protection, capitalism and the settler state. In *Policy Quarterly* (Vol. 16, Nomor 1). Victoria University of Wellington Library. <https://doi.org/10.26686/pq.v16i1.6454>
- Ilea, A. (2025). Trust, Identity, and Institutional Innovation: Rethinking Social Resilience in the Digital Era. In *SSRN Electronic Journal*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5269075>
- Işık, H., Tofan, S., & Türkçapar, M. H. (2025). *Rethinking the Social and Economic Value of Children in the Digital Age*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003488330>
- Jedwab, M., Alhuzail, N. A., & Khawaled, A. A. S. (2025). Decision-Making in Child Welfare Among Arab Child Protection Officers in Israel: The Cultural Context. In *Child & Family Social Work* (Vol. 31, Nomor 2, hal. 1019–1028). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cfs.70036>
- Jukes, S. (2020). How social media and technology are challenging journalists' perceptions of their role. In *Journalism, Society and Politics in the Digital Media Era* (hal. 92–111). Intellect Books. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv36xw03x.8>
- Jung, J., & Kim, J.-N. (2025). Rethinking Media Users in the Age of AI and Algorithmic Mediation. In *Media and Communication* (Vol. 13). Cogitatio. <https://doi.org/10.17645/mac.10915>
- Kadian, S., Yadav, N., & Yadav, G. (2025). The Impact of Cybersecurity Laws on the Child Safety in the Digital World. In *Child Protection Laws and Crime in the Digital Era* (hal. 155–198). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-5132-2.ch008>
- Kaithathara, S. T., & Jose, A. (2025). Empowering Child Safety Using AI and ML to Address Online Risks. In *Child Protection Laws and Crime in the Digital Era* (hal. 361–398). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-5132-2.ch015>
- Katz, Y. (2020). Interacting for Peace: Rethinking Peace Through Interactive Digital Platforms. In *Social Media + Society* (Vol. 6, Nomor 2). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305120926620>
- Kavada, A. (2024). Social Movements and the Self-mediation of Vulnerability on Digital Media. In *The Routledge Companion to Media Audiences* (hal. 334–345). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003268543-33>
- Keddell, E. (2022). On Decision Variability in Child Protection: Respect, Interactive Universalism and Ethics of Care. In *Ethics and Social Welfare* (Vol. 17, Nomor 1, hal. 4–19). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17496535.2022.2073381>
- Kennis, A. (2022). Comparing Today's Era of Social Media with the Early Beginnings of the Digital Age. In *Digital-Age Resistance* (hal. 330–354). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003003854-11>
- Khadafi, M. K. (2025). LOW-COST DIGITAL MARKETING STRATEGIES FOR SMALL CULINARY BUSINESSES IN THE SOCIAL MEDIA ERA. In *Global Research in Economics and Advanced Theory (GREAT)* (Vol. 1, Nomor 4, hal. 152–160). Gabungan Riset Edukasi dan Eksplorasi Teori. <https://doi.org/10.65788/greatjournal.v1i4.63>
- Kitts, J. A., & Quintane, E. (2020). Rethinking Social Networks in the Era of Computational Social Science. In *The Oxford Handbook of Social Networks* (hal. 70–97). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190251765.013.24>
- Koprowska, J. (2021). Relational agency and epistemic justice in initial child protection conferences. In *Interprofessional Collaboration and Service Users* (hal. 197–224). Bristol University Press. <https://doi.org/10.46692/9781447356653.009>

- Kourachanis, N. (2025). Residential care, housing and social policy: rethinking child protection in the Greek welfare state. In *Journal of Children's Services* (Vol. 20, Nomor 4, hal. 159–176). Emerald. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jcs-03-2025-0018>
- Krase, K., & Hamilton, T. D. (2020). The Child Protection Process. In *Child Welfare* (hal. 115–125). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315437019-9>
- Krčadinac, U., & Laroche, J. (2025). All-Aligned: Rethinking Affective Digital Power through Algorithmic Flags and Participative Software Art. In *AM Journal of Art and Media Studies* (Nomor 36). Faculty of Media and Communication. <https://doi.org/10.25038/am.v0i28.611>
- Lathifa, F. R., & Juleha, F. M. (2025). THE ROLE OF SOCIAL MEDIA IN BUILDING BRAND IMAGE IN THE DIGITAL ERA. In *Epicheirisi: Jurnal Manajemen, Administrasi, Pemasaran dan Kesekretariatan* (Vol. 7, Nomor 2, hal. 32–34). Politeknik Negeri Madiun. <https://doi.org/10.32486/epicheirisi.v7i2.1024>
- Leitch, S., & Pickering, P. (2022). Rethinking social media and extremism. In *Rethinking Social Media and Extremism* (hal. 1–11). ANU Press. <https://doi.org/10.22459/rsme.2022.01>
- López-Rabadán, P. (2021). Framing Studies Evolution in the Social Media Era. Digital Advancement and Reorientation of the Research Agenda. In *Social Sciences* (Vol. 11, Nomor 1, hal. 9). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci11010009>
- Maci, F., & Milani, P. (2025). Rethinking the concept of institutionalisation in child protection. In *Sense and Sensibility in Social Work with Families and Children* (hal. 69–90). Policy Press. <https://doi.org/10.51952/9781447374503.ch004>
- Marthinsen, E., Ulfseth, L. A., Moe, A., & Lichtwarck, W. (2025). A mind for learning and innovation. In *Sense and Sensibility in Social Work with Families and Children* (hal. 191–213). Policy Press. <https://doi.org/10.1332/policypress/9781447374473.003.0011>
- McGregor, C., & Devaney, C. (2020). A Framework to Inform Protective Support and Supportive Protection in Child Protection and Welfare Practice and Supervision. In *Social Sciences* (Vol. 9, Nomor 4, hal. 43). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci9040043>
- Mongo, C. N. (2025). Rethinking Tarde's model in the social media era and digital news: The moderating role of proximity. In *European Journal of Communication* (Vol. 40, Nomor 5, hal. 491–506). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02673231251349309>
- Mulaah, C. J., Musa, D. A. Z. B., & Ibharim, N. S. B. (2025). Child Protection and Vulnerability in the Context of Uncensored Social Media. In *International Journal of Social Science and Human Research*. Everant Journals. <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijsshr/v8-i8-76>
- Muzingili, T. (2024). Child Protection Services and Information Communication Technology (ICT) in Zimbabwe: A Social Work Perspective. In *Social Work - Perceptions for a New Era*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1004295>
- Nastasi, C., & Battiato, S. (2021). Defamation 2.0: New Threats in Digital Media Era - An Overview on Forensics Approaches in the Social Network Ecosystem. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Image Processing and Vision Engineering* (hal. 121–127). SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications. <https://doi.org/10.5220/0010463601210127>
- Neethirajan, S. (2024). *Rethinking Poultry Welfare - Multifactorial and Digital Approaches to Enhance Animal Well-Being*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5034840>
- Noble, S. U., Roberts, S. T., Bui, M., Brock, A., & Snow, O. (2024). Structural Racism in Tech: Social Media Platforms, Algorithmic Bias, and Racist Tech. In *Handbook of Children and Screens* (hal. 269–274). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-69362-5_37
- Ogunnubi, O. O. (2024). Towards Addressing Poverty and Inequality in Nigeria: Rethinking Social

- Protection Strategies. In *The Journal of Sociology & Social Welfare* (Vol. 51, Nomor 3). Western Michigan University - DIGITAL COMMONS. <https://doi.org/10.15453/0191-5096.4653>
- Orfanidou, A. G. (2025). From Digital Immigrants to Digital Floaters: Rethinking Generational Media Literacy in the Platform Era. In *Journalism and Media* (Vol. 7, Nomor 1, hal. 5). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/journalmedia7010005>
- Pålsson, D., Lundström, T., Sallnäs, M., & Wiklund, S. (2025). Eligibility for child welfare services: Defining the needy client in an era of increasing referrals to Swedish child welfare. In *The British Journal of Social Work* (Vol. 55, Nomor 7, hal. 3453–3471). Oxford University Press (OUP). <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bcaf154>
- Paraschiv, C. S. (2024). Study on Digital Transformation and Algorithmic Law. In *Legal Perspectives in the Modern Era of Technological Transformations* (hal. 104–114). ADJURIS – International Academic Publisher. <https://doi.org/10.62768/adjuris/2024/1/06>
- Peláez, A. L., & Marcuello-Servós, C. (2025). Digital vulnerability, Artificial Intelligence, and coercive practices. In *AI and the Disruption of Welfare* (hal. 242–254). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003673675-19>
- Perera, M. V. V., & Piyumal, K. M. (2025). Detection of cyberbullying in social media: Safeguarding children through AI-based digital safety mechanisms. In *International Conference on Child Protection 2025* (hal. 179). University of Kelaniya. <https://doi.org/10.64920/iccp25084>
- Pessa, J. C. (2023). Rethinking Social Security Protection with a Digital Mindset: Cases of PSSSF and NSSF in Tanzania. In *Science Journal of Business and Management*. Science Publishing Group. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.sjbm.20231102.11>
- Petrelus, P., Yliruka, L., & Mönkkönen, K. (2025). Towards a collaborative and innovative practice improvement paradigm in child protection? In *Sense and Sensibility in Social Work with Families and Children* (hal. 93–113). Bristol University Press. <https://doi.org/10.46692/9781447374503.006>
- Petrella, A. (2025). Community mapping as a participative tool to enhance informal social support: possible pathways for practitioners. In *Sense and Sensibility in Social Work with Families and Children* (hal. 134–155). Policy Press. <https://doi.org/10.1332/policypress/9781447374473.003.0008>
- Rafieifar, M. (2024). Immigration and Child Welfare. In *Social Work*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/obo/9780195389678-0334>
- Rahman, F. (2024). The Digital Era Challenge: Unraveling Hoaxes and Strengthening Social Media Ethics. In *Kediri Journal of Journalism and Digital Media (KJOURDIA)* (Vol. 1, Nomor 2, hal. 147–157). STAIN Kediri. <https://doi.org/10.30762/kjourdia.v1i2.2187>
- Raphael, L. (2022). Conclusion: The Twisted Paths of Recognition and Protection: Vulnerability and Welfare in European Societies. In *Rescuing the Vulnerable* (hal. 405–416). Berghahn Books. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781785331374-018>
- Regehr, C., Mishna, F., Billard, D., Fallon, B., Schiffer, J., & Lefebvre, R. (2025). Technology-facilitated violence against child welfare workers: A qualitative analysis. In *Child Protection and Practice* (Vol. 7, hal. 100241). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chipro.2025.100241>
- Rogers, J., Ladaphongphatthana, K., Lillicrap, A., & Smirnov, I. (2025). Exploring Thailand's child welfare and protection system. In *The Development of Child Protection Systems and Practice in Low- to Middle-Income Countries* (hal. 38–57). Policy Press. <https://doi.org/10.1332/policypress/9781447371021.003.0003>
- Ruiz-Junco, N., & Garcia-Meneses, J. (2024). Rethinking clinical empathy: The relevance of empathy affect in child welfare work in Chile. In *International Social Work* (Vol. 68, Nomor 2, hal. 288–

- 301). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00208728241267885>
- Safieddine, D. F. (2020). Political and Social Impact of Digital Fake News in an Era of Social Media. In *Fake News in an Era of Social Media* (hal. 43–58). Rowman & Littlefield International Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9798881810894.ch-3>
- Samsidar, S. (2025). The Role of Social Media in Shaping Teenagers' Social Behavior in the Digital Era. In *Socious Journal* (Vol. 1, Nomor 4, hal. 36–40). PT. Anagata Sembagi Education. <https://doi.org/10.62872/gddnd377>
- Saxena, D., Moon, E. S.-Y., Chaurasia, A., Guan, Y., & Guha, S. (2023). Rethinking “Risk” in Algorithmic Systems Through A Computational Narrative Analysis of Casenotes in Child-Welfare. In *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (hal. 1–19). ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3544548.3581308>
- Schmitz, A. (2025). Rethinking Refugee Protection and Vulnerability in Camp Settings. In *Zeitschrift für Flüchtlingsforschung* (Vol. 9, Nomor 2, hal. 284–305). Nomos Verlag. <https://doi.org/10.5771/2509-9485-2025-2-284>
- Schwab-Reese, L. M., PettyJohn, M. E., Tasnim, R., & Fingerman, M. (2025). Social media facilitates disclosure among people experiencing child maltreatment: A brief report. In *Child Protection and Practice* (Vol. 5, hal. 100158). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chipro.2025.100158>
- Serbati, S., Marthinsen, E., & Featherstone, B. (2025). List of figures and tables. In *Sense and Sensibility in Social Work with Families and Children*. Policy Press. <https://doi.org/10.1332/policypress/9781447374473.002.0007>
- Severson, R. L., Peter, J., Kanda, T., Kaufman, J., & Scassellati, B. (2024). Social Robots and Children's Development: Promises and Implications. In *Handbook of Children and Screens* (hal. 627–633). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-69362-5_85
- Shrestha, K. (2025). *Navigating Algorithmic Literacy Practices on Global South Women's Digital Rhetoric for Advocacy and Identity Construction on Social Media*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5367915>
- Silva, S. M. P. da. (2024). A convergência de business intelligence e branding digital: uma abordagem data-driven do comportamento do consumidor na era algorítmica. In *RCMOS - Revista Científica Multidisciplinar O Saber* (Vol. 1, Nomor 1). Editora Aluz. <https://doi.org/10.51473/rcmos.v1i1.2024.1858>
- Simfukwe, K. M. (2025). Child witness protection during criminal proceedings. In *Discrimination and Access to Justice in Africa* (hal. 13–27). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003569435-3>
- Sinatora, F. L. (2023). Social media soft affective politics through discursive and algorithmic synchronization. In *Discourse Approaches to Politics, Society and Culture* (hal. 60–82). John Benjamins Publishing Company. <https://doi.org/10.1075/dapsac.100.04sin>
- Smith, B. D., Wang, K., Littleton, T., & Dinata, E. (2025). Are county-level child welfare vulnerability and protective factors associated with child welfare involvement?: an investigation of geospatial child welfare equity. In *Journal of Public Child Welfare* (hal. 1–26). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15548732.2025.2462654>
- Sofi, D., Stier, J., & Wahlström, E. (2025). Hyperreality, Polarization and Prejudice: Social Media Descriptions of Swedish Child Welfare Services. In *Child & Family Social Work*. Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cfs.70075>
- Srinivasan, S., & Jiao, J. (2025). *Governance in the digital era: CEO social media presence and forced departures*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5527791>
- Taneri, E. (2022). The New Opinion Leaders of Digital Marketing Era: Social Media Influencers. In

- Journal of Applied And Theoretical Social Sciences* (Vol. 4, hal. 37–49). Journal of Applied and Theoretical Social Sciences. <https://doi.org/10.37241/jatss.2022.55>
- Thoburn, J. (2023). Child Welfare and Child Protection Services in England. In *Oxford Handbook of Child Protection Systems* (hal. 135–155). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780197503546.013.2>
- Tinkler, A. (2021). Future-proofing your digital measurement for the post-cookie era. In *Journal of Digital & Social Media Marketing* (Vol. 9, Nomor 3, hal. 229). Henry Stewart Publications. <https://doi.org/10.69554/svpv7790>
- Tisdall, E. K. M., Morrison, F., & Warburton, J. (2021). Challenging undue influence? Rethinking children's participation in contested child contact. In *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law* (Vol. 43, Nomor 1, hal. 8–22). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09649069.2021.1876305>
- Trail, M. (2024). Dalglish scores difference amongst professional roles within the greater child welfare community. In *Child Protection and Practice* (Vol. 2, hal. 100047). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chipro.2024.100047>
- Triantonio, Marizal, M., & Setiani, N. O. (2025). Online-Based Violence Against Children: Efforts to Develop Child Protection Strategies in the Digital Era in Magelang Regency. In *Pena Justisia: Media Komunikasi dan Kajian Hukum* (Vol. 24, Nomor 1, hal. 5933–5954). Universitas Pekalongan. <https://doi.org/10.31941/pj.v24i2.5277>
- Ünlüsoy, A., Leander, K. M., & Haan, M. de. (2021). Rethinking sociocultural notions of learning in the digital era: Understanding the affordances of networked platforms. In *E-Learning and Digital Media* (Vol. 19, Nomor 1, hal. 78–92). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20427530211032302>
- Vis, S. A., & Lauritzen, C. (2025). Municipal Differences in Thresholds for Investigations and Interventions by Child Welfare and Protection Services. In *Child & Family Social Work*. Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cfs.70073>
- Wilson, S. (2025). Rethinking participation: Exploring children's power relations and emotions in Child Protection Services. In *The British Journal of Social Work* (Vol. 55, Nomor 7, hal. 3280–3297). Oxford University Press (OUP). <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bcaf168>
- Yan, A. (2025). Social Media and Adolescent Wellbeing: Rethinking Psychological Impact and Digital Strategies. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Public Relations and Media Communication* (hal. 537–544). SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications. <https://doi.org/10.5220/0013995700004916>
- Zaiotti, R. (2024). The European Union and Digital Diplomacy. In *The Oxford Handbook of Digital Diplomacy* (hal. 457–474). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780192859198.013.25>
- Zullo, F., Sabetta, M., Ferri, S., & Mauri, D. (2025). Care leavers in the Italian child protection system: from action research to advocacy of more inclusive policies and relationships. In *Sense and Sensibility in Social Work with Families and Children* (hal. 174–190). Policy Press. <https://doi.org/10.1332/policypress/9781447374473.003.0010>