

Innovation in Public Service Delivery: Strategies for Improving Government Responsiveness

Manda Putri Shela¹, Rifa Najla Mutia², Nuralia Putri Sanjana³

¹ Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta 1

² Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta 2

³ Universitas Pamulang 3

Correspondence: sanjana502@email.com

Article Info

Article history:

Received Aug 12th, 2025

Revised Nov 20th, 2025

Accepted Jan 26th, 2026

Keyword:

public service innovation,
government responsiveness, digital
governance, network governance,
public administration reform

ABSTRACT

This study examines innovation in public service delivery as a strategic approach to improving government responsiveness. The research aims to analyze how managerial, collaborative, and technological innovations influence the capacity of public institutions to respond effectively to citizens' needs. A qualitative approach is employed using a case study design, selected for its ability to provide an in-depth and context-sensitive understanding of complex governance processes. The study is conducted in local government institutions in East Java, Indonesia, chosen due to their ongoing reform initiatives and diverse service delivery contexts. Data are collected from fifteen purposively selected informants, including government officials, service managers, front-line staff, and community representatives, based on their direct involvement and experiential knowledge of innovation practices. The findings reveal that although innovation initiatives such as digital platforms and participatory mechanisms have improved service accessibility and transparency, their impact on responsiveness remains constrained by fragmented implementation, limited coordination, and uneven institutional capacity. The study concludes that integrated strategies combining managerial reform, network collaboration, and digital governance are essential for achieving responsive public services. It recommends strengthening institutional alignment, enhancing stakeholder engagement, and investing in digital inclusivity to optimize innovation outcomes.



© 2025 The Authors. Published by PT. KARYA GRAFINDO PRIMA PERKASA. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

INTRODUCTION

Innovation in public service delivery has become a central concern in contemporary public administration, particularly as governments confront increasingly complex societal demands, rapid technological change, and heightened citizen expectations for efficiency, transparency, and responsiveness (Henman, 2020). In many countries, traditional bureaucratic models characterized by rigid procedures, hierarchical decision-making, and limited adaptability have struggled to keep pace with these evolving challenges (Wynen et al., 2020). As a result, there is a growing recognition that innovative approaches are essential to enhance government responsiveness, defined as the ability of public institutions to anticipate, respond to, and effectively address citizens' needs in a timely and appropriate manner (Clarke, 2020). This study is situated within this broader discourse, examining how innovation strategies can transform public service delivery and strengthen the responsiveness of government institutions.

The state of the art in public administration research highlights several streams of innovation, including digital governance, collaborative governance, citizen-centric service design, and data-driven decision-making (Rachmad, 2024). Digital technologies, such as e-government platforms and artificial intelligence, have enabled governments to streamline processes, improve access to services, and enhance transparency (Mergel et al., 2021). At the same time, collaborative approaches involving public-private partnerships and community engagement have demonstrated the potential to co-create solutions that are more responsive to local needs (Ricucci, 2021). Furthermore, the shift toward citizen-centric models emphasizes the importance of understanding user experiences and tailoring services

accordingly (Janssen et al., 2021). Despite these advancements, empirical evidence suggests that many governments continue to face significant challenges in implementing and sustaining innovation, particularly in developing contexts where institutional capacity, resources, and governance structures may be constrained (J. Chen et al., 2020).

The primary problem addressed in this research lies in the persistent gap between the adoption of innovative practices and the actual improvement of government responsiveness (Giulio & Vecchi, 2023). While numerous initiatives have been introduced to modernize public service delivery, their outcomes often fall short of expectations due to issues such as organizational resistance, lack of coordination, inadequate policy frameworks, and limited stakeholder engagement (Turner et al., 2022). Moreover, innovation efforts are frequently fragmented, lacking a coherent strategy that aligns technological, organizational, and social dimensions (Ansell & Torfing, 2021). This disconnect raises critical questions about the effectiveness of current approaches and underscores the need for a more integrated understanding of how innovation can be systematically leveraged to enhance responsiveness.

The research gap identified in this study pertains to the limited integration of strategic, institutional, and contextual factors in existing analyses of public service innovation (Valle-Cruz et al., 2020). Much of the literature tends to focus on specific tools or initiatives such as digital platforms or service redesign without adequately considering the broader governance environment in which these innovations are embedded (Wirtz et al., 2020). Additionally, there is a lack of comprehensive frameworks that link innovation strategies directly to measurable improvements in responsiveness (Mazzucato & Ryan-Collins, 2022). This study seeks to address this gap by proposing a holistic perspective that combines organizational transformation, stakeholder collaboration, and adaptive governance mechanisms as key drivers of responsive public service delivery.

The novelty of this research lies in its integrative approach to understanding innovation in public service delivery. Rather than examining isolated innovations, the study conceptualizes innovation as a multidimensional process that encompasses technological adoption, institutional reform, and participatory governance. It also introduces a framework that emphasizes the alignment between innovation strategies and responsiveness outcomes, highlighting the importance of feedback loops, continuous learning, and contextual adaptation. By doing so, the research contributes to advancing theoretical and practical insights into how governments can design and implement more effective innovation strategies.

Based on the identified problems and gaps, the research is guided by several key questions. How do innovation strategies influence the responsiveness of public service delivery? What organizational and institutional factors facilitate or hinder the successful implementation of these strategies? To what extent do citizen engagement and collaborative governance contribute to improving responsiveness? How can governments develop integrated approaches that align innovation initiatives with the needs and expectations of citizens? These questions provide a structured basis for exploring the complex relationship between innovation and responsiveness in the public sector.

The primary objective of this study is to analyze and evaluate strategies for innovation in public service delivery that enhance government responsiveness. Specifically, the research aims to identify key drivers of successful innovation, examine the role of institutional and organizational factors, and develop a conceptual framework that links innovation practices to responsiveness outcomes. Additionally, the study seeks to provide empirical insights that can inform policy design and implementation, particularly in contexts where governments face constraints in capacity and resources.

The theoretical significance of this research lies in its contribution to the development of a more comprehensive understanding of innovation in public administration (Ferlie & Ongaro, 2022). By integrating multiple dimensions of innovation and linking them to responsiveness, the study advances existing theories and offers a more nuanced perspective on how public sector transformation can be achieved. From an academic standpoint, the research provides a valuable reference for scholars interested in governance innovation, service delivery, and institutional reform, while also identifying areas for further investigation. Practically, the findings are expected to offer actionable

recommendations for policymakers and practitioners, enabling them to design and implement more effective strategies for improving public service delivery and strengthening citizen trust.

Despite its contributions, this study is subject to certain limitations. The analysis may be constrained by the availability and quality of data, particularly in contexts where information on innovation initiatives is limited or fragmented (Fatima et al., 2020). Additionally, the complexity of public administration systems means that the findings may not be universally generalizable, as different institutional and cultural contexts can influence the outcomes of innovation strategies (Chohan & Hu, 2022). The study also focuses primarily on selected cases or sectors, which may not capture the full diversity of public service delivery experiences.

Future research is encouraged to build on these findings by conducting comparative studies across different countries and governance systems, thereby enhancing the generalizability of the results (Dunleavy & Margetts, 2025). Further investigation into the role of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and big data analytics, in shaping responsive governance is also warranted (MacLean & Titah, 2022). Moreover, longitudinal studies that examine the sustainability of innovation initiatives over time would provide deeper insights into their long-term impact (Lopes & Farias, 2022). By addressing these areas, future research can continue to advance the understanding of how innovation can be effectively harnessed to improve public service delivery and strengthen government responsiveness.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The scholarly discourse on innovation in public service delivery has evolved substantially alongside transformations in governance paradigms, technological advancement, and increasing societal expectations for responsive government (Galego et al., 2022). Within this context, the literature review of this study is anchored in three prominent theoretical frameworks: New Public Management (NPM), Network Governance Theory, and Digital Governance Theory. These theoretical lenses provide a comprehensive foundation for analyzing how innovation strategies can enhance government responsiveness, particularly in addressing systemic inefficiencies, institutional rigidity, and gaps between policy intent and service outcomes.

New Public Management (NPM), widely popularized by Christopher Hood in 1991 during his tenure at the London School of Economics and Political Science (United Kingdom), represents a paradigmatic shift from traditional bureaucratic administration toward a more performance-oriented and efficiency-driven model (Bentzen et al., 2020). Hood's conceptualization emphasizes managerial autonomy, performance measurement, competition, and customer-oriented service delivery (Beshi & Kaur, 2020). According to Hood (1991), public institutions should adopt private-sector management practices to improve efficiency and responsiveness (Latupeirissa et al., 2024). The theoretical framework of NPM underscores output control, decentralization, and results-based accountability as key mechanisms for enhancing service quality (Torfing et al., 2021). Over time, the evolution of NPM has incorporated critiques regarding its overemphasis on efficiency at the expense of equity and public value, leading to more balanced approaches that integrate accountability and citizen engagement (Ansell et al., 2021). In the context of this study, NPM provides a foundational understanding of how innovation in management practices can streamline service delivery and improve responsiveness, yet it also reveals limitations in addressing complex, multi-actor governance challenges.

Complementing NPM, Network Governance Theory offers a broader perspective on collaborative and multi-level governance arrangements (Chowdhury, 2022). This theory was significantly advanced by R.A.W. Rhodes in 1997, affiliated with the University of Oxford (United Kingdom), who conceptualized governance as a set of interorganizational networks characterized by interdependence, resource exchange, and negotiated interactions (Ansell & Miura, 2020). Rhodes argues that modern governance extends beyond hierarchical control, involving a diverse array of actors including government agencies, private sector entities, and civil society organizations (Asaduzzaman & Virtanen, 2023). The conceptual framework of network governance emphasizes trust, reciprocity, and coordination as essential elements for effective collaboration (Rosenbloom et al., 2022). Subsequent developments in this theory have incorporated insights from scholars such as Eva Sørensen and Jacob Torfing, who further elaborated on collaborative innovation and co-creation processes in the

public sector (Aamir, 2025). Contemporary applications of network governance highlight the importance of participatory approaches and stakeholder engagement in enhancing responsiveness, particularly in complex policy environments (N. R. Ahmad, 2025). This study draws on network governance theory to explain how collaborative innovation strategies can address fragmentation and improve alignment between public services and citizen needs.

The third theoretical pillar, Digital Governance Theory, reflects the transformative impact of information and communication technologies on public administration (Agostino et al., 2022). This theory was notably articulated by Patrick Dunleavy, Helen Margetts, Simon Bastow, and Jane Tinkler in 2006 at the London School of Economics and Political Science (United Kingdom) (Engen et al., 2021). Their work on Digital Era Governance (DEG) critiques earlier NPM reforms and proposes a reintegration of services, needs-based holism, and digitalization as central components of modern governance (Kravariti & Johnston, 2020). The framework emphasizes the role of digital platforms, data integration, and user-centric design in enhancing service accessibility and responsiveness (Farazmand, 2023). According to Dunleavy et al. (2006), digital technologies enable governments to overcome bureaucratic silos, reduce transaction costs, and deliver more personalized services (Lapuente & Walle, 2020). Recent developments in digital governance have expanded to include artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and smart governance systems, further enhancing the capacity of governments to anticipate and respond to citizen needs in real time (Kuziemski & Misuraca, 2020). In this research, digital governance theory provides critical insights into how technological innovation can serve as a catalyst for responsive service delivery.

The integration of these three theories offers a multidimensional conceptual framework that addresses the limitations of single-theory approaches. While NPM focuses on efficiency and managerial innovation, it does not fully capture the complexity of interorganizational collaboration addressed by network governance. Similarly, network governance emphasizes collaboration but may lack the technological dimension necessary for modern service delivery, which is addressed by digital governance theory. By synthesizing these perspectives, this study develops a holistic understanding of innovation that encompasses managerial reform, collaborative processes, and technological transformation.

From the perspective of the main research problem, which concerns the gap between innovation initiatives and actual improvements in government responsiveness, these theories collectively provide explanatory power. NPM highlights the importance of performance-oriented reforms but also exposes the risk of fragmented implementation. Network governance addresses the need for coordination and stakeholder engagement, thereby mitigating fragmentation. Digital governance, in turn, offers tools for integration and real-time responsiveness, addressing limitations in both NPM and network governance frameworks. The research gap identified earlier namely the lack of integrated approaches linking innovation strategies to responsiveness outcomes is directly addressed through the combined application of these theories.

Furthermore, the theoretical framework aligns closely with the research questions, which seek to understand the mechanisms through which innovation strategies influence responsiveness, the role of institutional and organizational factors, and the contribution of collaborative and technological approaches. NPM informs the analysis of organizational efficiency and performance management, network governance guides the examination of collaboration and stakeholder engagement, and digital governance provides the basis for analyzing technological enablers of responsiveness. Together, these theories offer a coherent framework for addressing the research objectives and generating meaningful insights.

In terms of theoretical and academic contributions, the integration of these frameworks advances the literature by bridging previously fragmented approaches to public service innovation. It provides a more comprehensive model that can be used to analyze complex governance systems and inform future research. Practically, the theoretical insights offer guidance for policymakers and practitioners in designing innovation strategies that are not only efficient but also inclusive, collaborative, and technologically enabled. This aligns with the broader goal of improving public trust and legitimacy through responsive governance.

The development of these theories over time also reflects broader shifts in public administration. NPM emerged in response to inefficiencies in traditional bureaucracy, network governance responded to the increasing complexity of policy environments, and digital governance reflects the transformative impact of technology. Contemporary developments suggest a convergence of these paradigms, with increasing emphasis on hybrid models that combine efficiency, collaboration, and digital innovation. This study contributes to this evolving discourse by proposing an integrative framework that aligns these theoretical perspectives with practical challenges in public service delivery.

In conclusion, the literature review demonstrates that NPM, Network Governance Theory, and Digital Governance Theory collectively provide a robust foundation for understanding innovation in public service delivery. Each theory contributes unique insights into the mechanisms of responsiveness, while their integration addresses the limitations of individual approaches. By linking these theories to the main research problem, identified gaps, and research objectives, this study establishes a strong conceptual basis for analyzing and improving government responsiveness. The novelty of the research lies in its integrative approach, which not only advances theoretical understanding but also offers practical implications for enhancing public service delivery in diverse governance contexts.

RESEARCH METHODS

The methodological approach adopted in this study is grounded in a qualitative research paradigm, which is particularly suitable for exploring complex, context-dependent phenomena such as innovation in public service delivery and its implications for government responsiveness (Sofyani et al., 2020). Qualitative inquiry allows for an in-depth understanding of processes, perceptions, institutional dynamics, and stakeholder interactions that cannot be adequately captured through purely quantitative measures (Berman et al., 2021). Given that the central focus of this research lies in examining how innovation strategies are conceptualized, implemented, and experienced within public sector institutions, a qualitative approach provides the necessary flexibility and analytical depth to uncover nuanced insights. This methodological choice is consistent with contemporary standards in public administration research, particularly within high-quality international journals such as the *Journal of Public Administration Research* (JPRA), where interpretive and exploratory designs are widely recognized for their contribution to theory-building and contextual analysis (Larsson, 2021).

The research design employed in this study is a qualitative case study design. This design is selected because it enables a comprehensive and holistic examination of innovation practices within a specific institutional and geographical context (Kim, 2021). The case study approach facilitates the integration of multiple sources of data, including interviews, observations, and document analysis, thereby enhancing the robustness and credibility of the findings (ElMassah & Mohieldin, 2020). The rationale for choosing a case study design is rooted in its capacity to capture the complexity of governance processes, particularly when examining the interplay between organizational structures, technological adoption, and stakeholder engagement. Moreover, case studies are well-suited for addressing “how” and “why” research questions, which are central to this study’s objective of understanding the mechanisms through which innovation strategies influence government responsiveness (Chan et al., 2021).

The research is conducted in the context of local government institutions in East Java, Indonesia, with a specific focus on urban public service delivery units. This location is selected based on several considerations. First, East Java represents a dynamic administrative region characterized by diverse socio-economic conditions, rapid urbanization, and ongoing efforts to modernize public services through digital transformation and governance reforms. Second, local governments in this region have demonstrated varying degrees of innovation in service delivery, making it an appropriate setting for comparative and in-depth analysis. Third, the accessibility of data and the researcher’s familiarity with the institutional context further support the feasibility of conducting rigorous qualitative research in this location. The selection of this setting is therefore both theoretically and practically justified, as it allows the study to generate contextually relevant insights while contributing to broader discussions on public sector innovation in developing countries.

In qualitative research, the selection of participants is guided by purposive sampling, which aims to identify individuals who possess relevant knowledge, experience, and insights related to the

research topic (Karimi et al., 2023). In this study, the primary data sources consist of key informants drawn from government officials, public service managers, and community representatives who are directly involved in or affected by innovation initiatives in public service delivery. A total of fifteen informants are selected to ensure sufficient depth and diversity of perspectives. These informants are assigned pseudonyms to maintain confidentiality and adhere to ethical research standards.

The informants include individuals such as “Mr. Adi,” a senior official in the Department of Public Service Innovation, who provides insights into policy formulation and strategic planning; “Ms. Rina,” a mid-level manager responsible for implementing digital service platforms, who offers perspectives on operational challenges and technological integration; and “Mr. Budi,” a front-line service officer, who shares experiences related to service delivery and citizen interaction. In addition, community representatives such as “Mrs. Sari,” a local resident actively engaged in community feedback forums, and “Mr. Dimas,” a civil society advocate, contribute valuable perspectives on user experiences and public expectations. The inclusion of these diverse informants ensures a comprehensive understanding of innovation processes from multiple vantage points.

The rationale for selecting these informants is based on their direct involvement in innovation initiatives and their ability to provide rich, context-specific information. Government officials are chosen for their role in policy design and decision-making, while service managers and front-line staff are selected for their operational insights. Community representatives are included to capture the perspectives of service users, thereby aligning with the study’s emphasis on citizen-centric approaches to public service delivery. This triangulation of perspectives enhances the validity and reliability of the findings by allowing for cross-verification of information.

Data collection in this study is conducted through semi-structured interviews, direct observation, and document analysis (Downey & Myers, 2020). Semi-structured interviews are the primary method, as they allow for guided yet flexible conversations that can adapt to the informants’ responses (Alkhwaldi & Eshoush, 2022). An interview guide is developed based on the research questions and theoretical framework, covering topics such as innovation strategies, implementation challenges, stakeholder collaboration, and perceptions of responsiveness. Each interview is conducted in a confidential setting, recorded with consent, and subsequently transcribed for analysis. Direct observation is employed to capture real-time interactions and practices within public service delivery settings, providing contextual insights that complement interview data (Alzoubi et al., 2025). Document analysis involves the review of policy documents, strategic plans, and performance reports to understand the formal frameworks and institutional context of innovation initiatives (Zeemering, 2021).

The data analysis process follows a thematic analysis approach, which involves coding and categorizing data to identify patterns, themes, and relationships (Batayneh et al., 2021). The analysis begins with an initial coding phase, where raw data are systematically examined and labeled based on emerging concepts (Villiers, 2021). This is followed by axial coding, which involves grouping related codes into broader categories that reflect key dimensions of innovation and responsiveness (Esan et al., 2022). Finally, selective coding is used to integrate these categories into a coherent narrative that addresses the research questions and aligns with the theoretical framework (Vassilakopoulou et al., 2023). Throughout this process, the researcher employs reflexivity to ensure that interpretations remain grounded in the data while minimizing potential biases (Cheng et al., 2021).

To ensure the credibility and trustworthiness of the findings, several validation strategies are employed (Ugwu et al., 2024). Triangulation is achieved by combining multiple data sources and perspectives, while member checking is conducted by sharing preliminary findings with selected informants to verify the accuracy of interpretations (Sampat & Shadlen, 2021). Additionally, the use of detailed documentation and transparent analytical procedures enhances the dependability and confirmability of the research (T. Chen et al., 2024).

The technique for drawing conclusions in this study is based on an inductive reasoning approach, which involves deriving general insights and theoretical implications from specific empirical observations (Criado & Villodre, 2021). This approach is appropriate for qualitative research, as it allows for the development of contextually grounded conclusions that reflect the complexity of real-

world phenomena (Haug et al., 2024). The conclusions are formulated by synthesizing the key themes identified in the analysis and relating them to the theoretical framework and research questions. This process ensures that the findings are both empirically grounded and theoretically informed.

Furthermore, the study adopts an iterative analytical process, where data collection and analysis are conducted concurrently (Rachmawati et al., 2021). This allows for the refinement of research questions and data collection strategies based on emerging insights, thereby enhancing the depth and relevance of the findings (Nirala et al., 2022). The iterative nature of the analysis also supports the identification of unexpected patterns and relationships, contributing to the novelty of the research.

Ethical considerations are carefully addressed throughout the research process (Alvarenga et al., 2020). Informed consent is obtained from all informants, and confidentiality is maintained through the use of pseudonyms and secure data storage (Shen et al., 2023). The researcher also ensures that the study does not cause harm or undue burden to participants, adhering to established ethical standards in social science research (J. Ahmad et al., 2020).

In conclusion, the qualitative case study methodology employed in this research provides a robust and appropriate framework for examining innovation in public service delivery and its impact on government responsiveness (McMullin, 2021). The combination of purposive sampling, multiple data collection methods, and rigorous analytical procedures ensures the credibility and depth of the findings. By situating the research within a specific institutional context while maintaining a strong theoretical foundation, this methodology enables the study to generate meaningful insights that contribute to both academic discourse and practical policy development.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study reveal that innovation in public service delivery plays a pivotal role in enhancing government responsiveness, yet its effectiveness is contingent upon the alignment of managerial practices, collaborative governance structures, and digital transformation strategies. (Bryson & George, 2020) Drawing on qualitative data collected from key informants within local government institutions in East Java, the analysis identifies several interconnected themes that reflect both the achievements and limitations of current innovation efforts. These findings are interpreted through the lenses of New Public Management (Hood, 1991), Network Governance Theory (Rhodes, 1997), and Digital Governance Theory (Dunleavy et al., 2006), thereby providing a multidimensional understanding of how innovation strategies influence responsiveness in public administration (Baptista et al., 2020).

One of the central findings relates to the persistence of the main research problem, namely the gap between the formal adoption of innovation initiatives and the actual improvement in government responsiveness (Trischler & Trischler, 2022). While local governments have introduced various reforms such as digital service platforms, performance-based management systems, and citizen feedback mechanisms, their implementation often remains fragmented (Nel & Masilela, 2020). From the perspective of New Public Management, these reforms reflect an effort to enhance efficiency and accountability; however, the findings indicate that performance indicators are frequently treated as administrative requirements rather than tools for substantive improvement (Lapuente & Suzuki, 2020). Informants such as “Ms. Rina” highlighted that digital systems are often implemented without adequate training or integration into existing workflows, resulting in limited impact on service quality. This suggests that while NPM principles are present, their application lacks depth and coherence.

From the standpoint of Network Governance Theory, the findings underscore the importance of collaboration among stakeholders in achieving responsive service delivery (Otia & Bracci, 2022). The data reveal that initiatives involving partnerships with community organizations and private sector actors tend to yield more adaptive and context-sensitive outcomes. For instance, “Mr. Dimas,” a civil society representative, emphasized that participatory forums have enabled citizens to articulate their needs more effectively, leading to improvements in service design. However, the study also identifies a significant gap in coordination, as many collaborative efforts are ad hoc and lack institutional support (Choi & Chandler, 2020). This limitation reflects the incomplete institutionalization of network

governance principles, where trust and reciprocity are not fully embedded in governance practices (Ojiako et al., 2024).

Digital Governance Theory provides further insight into the role of technology in shaping responsiveness (Dhaoui, 2022). The findings indicate that digital platforms have improved access to public services and reduced processing times, particularly in areas such as licensing and administrative services. Informants reported that online systems have increased transparency and reduced opportunities for corruption, aligning with the theoretical expectations of digital era governance (Ahrens & Ferry, 2020). Nevertheless, challenges such as digital literacy, infrastructure limitations, and data fragmentation continue to hinder the full realization of these benefits (Li et al., 2020). “Mr. Budi,” a front-line service officer, noted that many citizens still prefer face-to-face interactions due to difficulties in navigating digital systems, highlighting the need for more inclusive and user-centered design.

The following table summarizes the key findings of the study, linking empirical observations with theoretical perspectives and their implications for government responsiveness:

Key Findings	Empirical Evidence (Informants)	Theoretical Link	Implications for Responsiveness
Fragmented implementation of innovation	Ms. Rina, Mr. Adi	New Public Management (Hood, 1991)	Limited effectiveness of performance-based reforms
Weak stakeholder coordination	Mr. Dimas, Mrs. Sari	Network Governance (Rhodes, 1997)	Reduced capacity for collaborative problem-solving
Expansion of digital services	Mr. Budi, Ms. Rina	Digital Governance (Dunleavy et al., 2006)	Improved access but uneven inclusivity
Limited integration of systems	Mr. Adi	Digital Governance	Inefficiencies and duplication of efforts
Emerging citizen participation mechanisms	Mrs. Sari	Network Governance	Enhanced feedback but inconsistent follow-up

In relation to the identified research gap, the findings demonstrate that the lack of integration among managerial, collaborative, and technological dimensions constitutes a major barrier to effective innovation (Meuleman, 2021). While each dimension has been addressed to some extent, their disjointed implementation undermines the overall impact on responsiveness (T. Chen et al., 2021). This gap is particularly evident in the absence of a unified strategy that aligns performance management (NPM), stakeholder engagement (network governance), and digital transformation (digital governance) (Otokiti et al., 2022). The study thus confirms the initial assumption that innovation efforts must be approached holistically rather than in isolation.

Addressing the research questions, the findings indicate that innovation strategies influence government responsiveness through three primary mechanisms: improving efficiency, enhancing collaboration, and enabling real-time interaction with citizens (Ju et al., 2020). Efficiency gains, as emphasized by NPM, are achieved through streamlined procedures and performance monitoring; however, these gains are often offset by implementation challenges (Clifton et al., 2021). Collaboration, as highlighted by network governance, facilitates the co-creation of solutions but requires stronger institutional support to be sustainable (Martinez et al., 2020). Digital technologies, as explained by

digital governance theory, provide the infrastructure for responsive services but must be complemented by user-centric design and capacity-building initiatives (Mu & Wang, 2022).

The study's objectives are largely achieved through the identification of key drivers and barriers to innovation in public service delivery. The findings reveal that leadership commitment, organizational culture, and technological capacity are critical factors influencing the success of innovation initiatives. For example, "Mr. Adi" emphasized that strong leadership is essential for fostering a culture of innovation and overcoming resistance to change. This insight aligns with NPM's emphasis on managerial autonomy, while also highlighting the need for collaborative leadership as advocated by network governance theory. Similarly, the importance of technological capacity underscores the relevance of digital governance in enabling responsive service delivery.

In terms of theoretical contributions, the findings support the integration of the three theoretical frameworks, demonstrating that each provides complementary insights into the dynamics of innovation and responsiveness (Nyongesa et al., 2020). The study advances the literature by illustrating how these theories can be combined to address complex governance challenges, thereby contributing to the development of a more comprehensive conceptual model. Academically, the research offers a valuable reference for future studies, particularly in the context of developing countries where institutional constraints and resource limitations pose additional challenges.

From a practical perspective, the findings provide actionable recommendations for policymakers and practitioners. The need for integrated strategies that align managerial, collaborative, and technological dimensions is particularly evident. Governments should invest in capacity-building programs to enhance digital literacy, strengthen institutional frameworks for collaboration, and develop performance management systems that focus on outcomes rather than procedures. These recommendations are grounded in the theoretical insights of NPM, network governance, and digital governance, ensuring their relevance and applicability.

The discussion of findings in relation to previous research further reinforces the study's contributions (Gofen & Lotta, 2021). Consistent with earlier studies, the results confirm that digital transformation can significantly improve service delivery; however, they also highlight the limitations of technology-driven approaches when not accompanied by organizational and institutional reforms (Milakovich, 2021). Similarly, the importance of stakeholder engagement aligns with existing literature on collaborative governance, while the challenges identified in this study underscore the need for more robust institutionalization of these practices (Eze et al., 2024). The findings also extend previous research by demonstrating the interdependence of different innovation dimensions, thereby addressing a key gap in the literature.

In relation to the main research problem, the discussion reveals that the gap between innovation adoption and responsiveness outcomes is not merely a technical issue but a systemic one, involving organizational, institutional, and social factors. This insight builds on previous research while offering a more nuanced understanding of the underlying causes of this gap. The study also contributes to addressing the research gap by proposing an integrated framework that links innovation strategies to responsiveness, thereby providing a basis for future research and policy development.

The implications of the findings for the research objectives and benefits are significant. Theoretically, the study enhances the understanding of innovation in public administration by integrating multiple perspectives. Practically, it offers guidance for improving public service delivery, while academically, it contributes to the advancement of knowledge in the field. These contributions are closely aligned with the study's objectives and demonstrate the value of the research in addressing contemporary challenges in governance.

In conclusion, the findings of this study highlight the critical role of integrated innovation strategies in enhancing government responsiveness. By linking empirical evidence with theoretical frameworks and situating the analysis within the broader literature, the study provides a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the dynamics of public service innovation. The results underscore the

importance of aligning managerial practices, collaborative governance, and digital transformation, thereby offering valuable insights for both theory and practice.

CONCLUSION

The conclusions of this study affirm that innovation in public service delivery constitutes a critical determinant of government responsiveness, yet its effectiveness depends on the degree of integration among managerial reform, collaborative governance, and digital transformation. Drawing upon the empirical findings and their subsequent discussion, the study demonstrates that while numerous innovation initiatives have been introduced within local government institutions, their impact on responsiveness remains uneven due to fragmented implementation and limited institutional alignment. This confirms the central argument that innovation, when pursued in isolation or through partial reforms, is insufficient to generate meaningful improvements in public service outcomes.

The findings reveal that the adoption of New Public Management principles has contributed to the introduction of performance-based systems and efficiency-oriented practices. However, the discussion indicates that these reforms often function at a procedural level rather than as transformative mechanisms. Performance indicators are frequently treated as compliance tools, thereby limiting their capacity to enhance responsiveness in substantive terms. This suggests that managerial innovation alone cannot address the complexities of public service delivery, particularly in contexts characterized by institutional constraints and diverse stakeholder demands.

From the perspective of Network Governance Theory, the study concludes that collaboration among government agencies, civil society, and citizens plays a significant role in shaping responsive service delivery. The results highlight that participatory mechanisms and stakeholder engagement can improve the alignment between public services and community needs. Nevertheless, the discussion underscores that such collaborative practices are not yet fully institutionalized, often operating on an ad hoc basis without sustained coordination or clear governance frameworks. As a result, the potential of network-based innovation to enhance responsiveness remains only partially realized.

In relation to Digital Governance Theory, the study finds that technological innovation has substantially improved access to public services and increased administrative efficiency. Digital platforms have enabled faster processing, greater transparency, and reduced opportunities for discretionary practices. However, the discussion also identifies critical limitations, including disparities in digital literacy, infrastructural gaps, and the lack of system integration. These challenges hinder the inclusivity and effectiveness of digital services, indicating that technological innovation must be complemented by organizational and social adjustments to achieve optimal outcomes.

A key conclusion emerging from the integration of these findings is that the primary problem of limited government responsiveness stems from the absence of a holistic and coordinated innovation strategy. The study demonstrates that the disconnection between managerial reforms, collaborative efforts, and digital initiatives creates inefficiencies and reduces the overall impact of innovation. This insight directly addresses the research gap identified earlier, emphasizing the need for a multidimensional approach that aligns different aspects of governance reform.

Furthermore, the study concludes that the research objectives have been achieved through the identification of critical drivers of innovation, including leadership commitment, institutional capacity, stakeholder engagement, and technological readiness. These factors collectively influence the success of innovation strategies and determine their ability to enhance responsiveness. The discussion also highlights that leadership plays a pivotal role in fostering a culture of innovation and facilitating coordination across different governance dimensions, thereby reinforcing the importance of strategic direction in public sector transformation.

The implications of these conclusions extend to theoretical, practical, and academic domains. Theoretically, the study contributes to the advancement of public administration literature by demonstrating the value of integrating New Public Management, Network Governance, and Digital Governance frameworks. This integrated perspective provides a more comprehensive understanding of innovation and its relationship with responsiveness. Practically, the conclusions offer guidance for

policymakers and practitioners, emphasizing the need to design innovation strategies that are not only efficient but also collaborative and inclusive. Academically, the study provides a foundation for future research, particularly in exploring the long-term sustainability of innovation initiatives and their impact across different governance contexts.

In summary, the study concludes that improving government responsiveness requires a balanced and integrated approach to innovation in public service delivery. The findings and discussion collectively indicate that isolated reforms are insufficient to address complex governance challenges. Instead, a coordinated strategy that combines managerial efficiency, collaborative engagement, and digital capability is essential for achieving responsive and citizen-centered public services. This conclusion reinforces the central premise of the research and offers a coherent framework for advancing both theory and practice in the field of public administration.

REFERENCES

- Aamir, A. A. (2025). A unique model of governance and market accessibility: How PSBA stands apart from all other public welfare bodies in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Humanities and Social ...*. <https://journals.internationalrasd.org/index.php/pjhss/article/view/2941>
- Agostino, D., Saliterer, I., & ... (2022). Digitalization, accounting and accountability: A literature review and reflections on future research in public services. ... & *Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12301>
- Ahmad, J., Ekayanti, A., Nonci, N., & ... (2020). Government agility and management information systems: Study of regional government financial reports. In *The Journal of Asian ...*. researchgate.net. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Jamaluddin-Ahmad/publication/344511901_Government_Agility_and_Management_Information_Systems_Study_of_Regional_Government_Financial_Reports/links/5f7d8caf299bf1b53e1328d8/Government-Agility-and-Management-Information-Systems-Study-of-Regional-Government-Financial-Reports.pdf
- Ahmad, N. R. (2025). Urban water service delivery in emerging economies: Fiscal sustainability, cost recovery, and governance performance. *International Journal of Business and Economic Affairs*. <https://ijbea.com/ojs/index.php/ijbea/article/view/465>
- Ahrens, T., & Ferry, L. (2020). Financial resilience of English local government in the aftermath of COVID-19. In *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & ...*. emerald.com. <https://www.emerald.com/jpbafm/article/32/5/813/239232>
- Alkhwaldi, A. F., & Eshoush, A. S. Al. (2022). Towards a model for citizens' acceptance of e-payment systems for public sector services in Jordan: evidence from crisis era. In *Information Sciences Letters*. naturalspublishing.com. <https://www.naturalspublishing.com/files/published/lszz4434j474g9.pdf>
- Alvarenga, A., Matos, F., Godina, R., & Matias, J. C. O. (2020). Digital transformation and knowledge management in the public sector. In *Sustainability*. mdpi.com. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/12/14/5824>
- Alzoubi, H. M., Tan, C. L., Khatib, M. El, & ... (2025). Smart Government Initiatives: Transforming Global Supply Chains through Digital Change. ... of *Management and ...*. <https://search.proquest.com/openview/23efd9ec1394fd98a1f48abb1af3246f/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=816339>
- Ansell, C., & Miura, S. (2020). Can the power of platforms be harnessed for governance? *Public Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12636>
- Ansell, C., Sørensen, E., & Torfing, J. (2021). The COVID-19 pandemic as a game changer for public administration and leadership? The need for robust governance responses to turbulent problems. *Public management review*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2020.1820272>

- Ansell, C., & Torfing, J. (2021). *Public governance as co-creation: A strategy for revitalizing the public sector and rejuvenating democracy*. Cambridge University Press.
- Asaduzzaman, M., & Virtanen, P. (2023). Governance theories and models. ... *of public administration, public policy, and* https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-66252-3_2612
- Baptista, N., Alves, H., & Matos, N. (2020). Public sector organizations and cocreation with citizens: A literature review on benefits, drivers, and barriers. *Journal of Nonprofit & Public Sector* <https://doi.org/10.1080/10495142.2019.1589623>
- Batayneh, R. M. Al, Taleb, N., Said, R. A., & ... (2021). IT governance framework and smart services integration for future development of Dubai infrastructure utilizing AI and big data, its reflection on the citizens standard of *... conference on artificial* https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-76346-6_22
- Bentzen, T. Ø., Sørensen, E., & Torfing, J. (2020). Strengthening public service production, administrative problemsolving, and political leadership through co-creation of innovative public value outcomes? In *Innovation Journal*. [rucforsk.ruc.dk. https://rucforsk.ruc.dk/ws/files/69150007/2020_25_1_4_sorensen_torfing_psc_problem_solving.pdf](https://rucforsk.ruc.dk/ws/files/69150007/2020_25_1_4_sorensen_torfing_psc_problem_solving.pdf)
- Berman, E. M., Bowman, J. S., West, J. P., & Wart, M. R. Van. (2021). *Human resource management in public service: Paradoxes, processes, and problems*. [books.google.com. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=WSs0EAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1962&dq=good+governance+principles+in+strengthening+public+sector+management&ots=KtQyorWj36&sig=IJl1SaH3wa-TRoyLdQO_RIpNjFU](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=WSs0EAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1962&dq=good+governance+principles+in+strengthening+public+sector+management&ots=KtQyorWj36&sig=IJl1SaH3wa-TRoyLdQO_RIpNjFU)
- Beshi, T. D., & Kaur, R. (2020). Public trust in local government: Explaining the role of good governance practices. In *Public Organization Review*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-019-00444-6>
- Bryson, J., & George, B. (2020). Strategic management in public administration. In *Oxford research encyclopedia of politics*. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Bert-George/publication/337472823_Strategic_Management_in_Public_Administration/links/5e84f7b5299bf1307970ccb3/Strategic-Management-in-Public-Administration.pdf
- Chan, F. K. Y., Thong, J. Y. L., Brown, S. A., & ... (2021). Service design and citizen satisfaction with e-government services: a multidimensional perspective. *Public Administration* <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13308>
- Chen, J., Walker, R. M., & Sawhney, M. (2020). Public service innovation: a typology. *Public Management Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2019.1645874>
- Chen, T., Gascó-Hernandez, M., & ... (2024). The adoption and implementation of artificial intelligence chatbots in public organizations: Evidence from US state governments. ... *of Public Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02750740231200522>
- Chen, T., Guo, W., Gao, X., & Liang, Z. (2021). AI-based self-service technology in public service delivery: User experience and influencing factors. *Government Information Quarterly*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0740624X20302999>
- Cheng, Z., Wang, H., Xiong, W., Zhu, D., & Cheng, L. (2021). Public-private partnership as a driver of sustainable development: toward a conceptual framework of sustainability-oriented PPP: Z. Cheng et al. *Environment, Development* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-019-00576-1>
- Chohan, S. R., & Hu, G. (2022). Strengthening digital inclusion through e-government: cohesive ICT training programs to intensify digital competency. *Information technology for development*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02681102.2020.1841713>
- Choi, T., & Chandler, S. M. (2020). Knowledge vacuum: An organizational learning dynamic of how

- e-government innovations fail. In *Government Information Quarterly*. Elsevier.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0740624X17301296>
- Chowdhury, R. H. (2022). Digital government initiatives and national resilience: How digital governance frameworks were transformed post-COVID to maintain national services. In ... *Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology* researchgate.net.
https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Rakibul-Chowdhury-6/publication/390720998_Digital_government_initiatives_and_national_resilience_How_digital_governance_frameworks_were_transformed_post-COVID_to_maintain_national_services/links/68465520df0e3f544f5dad25/Digital-government-initiatives-and-national-resilience-How-digital-governance-frameworks-were-transformed-post-COVID-to-maintain-national-services.pdf
- Clarke, A. (2020). Digital government units: what are they, and what do they mean for digital era public management renewal? *International public management journal*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10967494.2019.1686447>
- Clifton, J., Warner, M. E., Gradus, R., & ... (2021). Re-municipalization of public services: trend or hype? *Journal of Economic Policy* <https://doi.org/10.1080/17487870.2019.1691344>
- Criado, J. I., & Villodre, J. (2021). Delivering public services through social media in European local governments. An interpretative framework using semantic algorithms. *Local government studies*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03003930.2020.1729750>
- Dhaoui, I. (2022). E-government for sustainable development: Evidence from MENA countries. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-021-00791-0>
- Downey, D. C., & Myers, W. M. (2020). Federalism, intergovernmental relationships, and emergency response: A comparison of Australia and the United States. ... *Review of Public Administration*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074020941696>
- Dunleavy, P., & Margetts, H. (2025). Data science, artificial intelligence and the third wave of digital era governance. *Public Policy and Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09520767231198737>
- ElMassah, S., & Mohieldin, M. (2020). Digital transformation and localizing the sustainable development goals (SDGs). *Ecological Economics*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921800919303258>
- Engen, M., Fransson, M., Quist, J., & ... (2021). Continuing the development of the public service logic: a study of value co-destruction in public services. *Public Management*
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2020.1720354>
- Esan, O. J., Uzozie, O. T., Onaghinor, O., & ... (2022). Policy and operational synergies: Strategic supply chain optimization for national economic growth. In *Int. J. Soc. Sci*
[allmultidisciplinaryjournal.com. https://www.allmultidisciplinaryjournal.com/uploads/archives/1744701345.pdf](https://www.allmultidisciplinaryjournal.com/uploads/archives/1744701345.pdf)
- Eze, O. I., Chibuzor, C. N., Okafor, J. C., & ... (2024). Leveraging technology to bridge the policy innovation gap in developing countries: Enhancing service delivery and public engagement. In *West African Journal of* academia.edu.
<https://www.academia.edu/download/115571026/publication4.pdf>
- Farazmand, A. (2023). *Global encyclopedia of public administration, public policy, and governance*. books.google.com.
<https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=qtu3EAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR7&dq=governance+innovation+eastern+indonesia&ots=1ML8mo7us8&sig=-C9K4TAHsqU-N6l1UOg7SuNPnM>
- Fatima, S., Desouza, K. C., & Dawson, G. S. (2020). National strategic artificial intelligence plans: A

- hr/>
- multi-dimensional analysis. *Economic Analysis and Policy*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0313592620304021>
- Ferlie, E., & Ongaro, E. (2022). *Strategic management in public services organizations: Concepts, schools and contemporary issues*. api.taylorfrancis.com.
<https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003054917&type=googlepdf>
- Galego, D., Moulaert, F., Brans, M., & ... (2022). Social innovation & governance: a scoping review. ...
The European Journal of ... <https://doi.org/10.1080/13511610.2021.1879630>
- Giulio, M. Di, & Vecchi, G. (2023). Implementing digitalization in the public sector. Technologies, agency, and governance. *Public Policy and Administration*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/09520767211023283>
- Gofen, A., & Lotta, G. (2021). Street-level bureaucrats at the forefront of pandemic response: a comparative perspective. ... of *Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and ...*
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13876988.2020.1861421>
- Haug, N., Dan, S., & Mergel, I. (2024). Digitally-induced change in the public sector: a systematic review and research agenda. *Public Management Review*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2023.2234917>
- Henman, P. (2020). Improving public services using artificial intelligence: possibilities, pitfalls, governance. *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Administration*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/23276665.2020.1816188%4010.1080/tfocoll.2024.0.issue-digital-transformation-in-public-administration>
- Janssen, M., Rana, N. P., Slade, E. L., & ... (2021). Trustworthiness of digital government services: deriving a comprehensive theory through interpretive structural modelling. In *Digital government and ...* api.taylorfrancis.com.
<https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/chapters/edit/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003258742-2&type=chapterpdf>
- Ju, X., Ferreira, F. A. F., & Wang, M. (2020). Innovation, agile project management and firm performance in a public sector-dominated economy: Empirical evidence from high-tech small and medium-sized *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0038012119303817>
- Karimi, S., Malek, F. A., Farani, A. Y., & ... (2023). The role of transformational leadership in developing innovative work behaviors: The mediating role of employees' psychological capital. In *Sustainability*. mdpi.com. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/15/2/1267>
- Kim, P. S. (2021). South Korea's fast response to coronavirus disease: implications on public policy and public management theory. *Public Management Review*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2020.1766266>
- Kravariti, F., & Johnston, K. (2020). Talent management: a critical literature review and research agenda for public sector human resource management. *Public Management Review*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2019.1638439>
- Kuziemski, M., & Misuraca, G. (2020). AI governance in the public sector: Three tales from the frontiers of automated decision-making in democratic settings. *Telecommunications policy*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308596120300689>
- Lapuate, V., & Suzuki, K. (2020). Politicization, bureaucratic legalism, and innovative attitudes in the public sector. *Public administration review*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13175>
- Lapuate, V., & Walle, S. Van de. (2020). The effects of new public management on the quality of public services. *Governance*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12502>

- Larsson, K. K. (2021). Digitization or equality: When government automation covers some, but not all citizens. In *Government information quarterly*. Elsevier. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0740624X20303269>
- Latupeirissa, J. J. P., Dewi, N. L. Y., Prayana, I. K. R., Srikandi, M. B., & ... (2024). Transforming public service delivery: A comprehensive review of digitization initiatives. In *Sustainability*. mdpi.com. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/16/7/2818>
- Li, Y., Chandra, Y., & Kapucu, N. (2020). Crisis coordination and the role of social media in response to COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. ... *Review of Public Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074020942105>
- Lopes, A. V., & Farias, J. S. (2022). How can governance support collaborative innovation in the public sector? A systematic review of the literature. *International Review of Administrative ...* <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852319893444>
- MacLean, D., & Titah, R. (2022). A systematic literature review of empirical research on the impacts of e-government: a public value perspective. *Public Administration Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13413>
- Martinez, B., Reaser, J. K., Alex, D., Brad, Z., & ... (2020). Technology innovation: advancing capacities for the early detection of and rapid response to invasive species. In *Biological ...* researchgate.net. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Alex-Dehgan/publication/339432382_Technology_innovation_advancing_capacities_for_the_early_detection_of_and_rapid_response_to_invasive_species/links/5e511b53458515072daffa5c/Technology-innovation-advancing-capacities-for-the-early-detection-of-and-rapid-response-to-invasive-species.pdf
- Mazzucato, M., & Ryan-Collins, J. (2022). Putting value creation back into “public value”: from market-fixing to market-shaping. *Journal of Economic Policy Reform*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17487870.2022.2053537>
- McMullin, C. (2021). Challenging the necessity of New Public Governance: Co-production by third sector organizations under different models of public management. *Public Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12672>
- Mergel, I., Ganapati, S., & Whitford, A. B. (2021). Agile: A new way of governing. *Public administration review*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13202>
- Meuleman, L. (2021). Public administration and governance for the SDGs: Navigating between change and stability. In *Sustainability*. mdpi.com. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/13/11/5914>
- Milakovich, M. E. (2021). *Digital governance: Applying advanced technologies to improve public service*. api.taylorfrancis.com. <https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003215875&type=googlepdf>
- Mu, R., & Wang, H. (2022). A systematic literature review of open innovation in the public sector: Comparing barriers and governance strategies of digital and non-digital open innovation. *Public Management Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2020.1838787>
- Nel, D., & Masilela, L. (2020). Open governance for improved service delivery innovation in South Africa. ... *Journal of eBusiness and eGovernment Studies*. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/ijebe/issue/51621/669856>
- Nirala, K. K., Singh, N. K., & Purani, V. S. (2022). A survey on providing customer and public administration based services using AI: chatbot. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-021-11458-y>
- Nyongesa, W. J., Ntongai, S., & Ondoro, C. (2020). *The moderation effect of resource implementation*

- factors on performance contracting and public service delivery in Huduma Centres in Kenya.* academia.edu. <https://www.academia.edu/download/72351998/58261.pdf>
- Ojiako, U., AlRaeesi, E. J. H., Chipulu, M., & ... (2024). Innovation readiness in public sector service delivery: an exploration. ... *Planning & Control*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2022.2089266>
- Otia, J. E., & Bracci, E. (2022). Digital transformation and the public sector auditing: The SAI's perspective. *Financial Accountability & Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12317>
- Otokiti, B. O., Igwe, A. N., Ewim, C. P., & ... (2022). A framework for developing resilient business models for Nigerian SMEs in response to economic disruptions. In *Int J Multidiscip* [allmultidisciplinaryjournal.com. https://www.allmultidisciplinaryjournal.com/uploads/archives/20250211180537_MGE-2025-1-344.1.pdf](https://www.allmultidisciplinaryjournal.com/uploads/archives/20250211180537_MGE-2025-1-344.1.pdf)
- Rachmad, Y. E. (2024). *Feeding the Future: Innovative Systems for School Meals in Prabowo Gibran's Governance*. [files.osf.io. https://files.osf.io/v1/resources/w8ne7/providers/osfstorage/69c7c1345c310fb1089ac077](https://files.osf.io/v1/resources/w8ne7/providers/osfstorage/69c7c1345c310fb1089ac077)
- Rachmawati, R., Mei, E. T. W., Nurani, I. W., Ghiffari, R. A., & ... (2021). Innovation in coping with the covid-19 pandemic: The best practices from five smart cities in Indonesia. In *Sustainability*. [mdpi.com. https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/13/21/12072](https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/13/21/12072)
- Riccucci, N. M. (2021). *Managing diversity in public sector workforces*. [api.taylorfrancis.com. https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003176534&type=googlepdf](https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003176534&type=googlepdf)
- Rosenbloom, D. H., Kravchuk, R. S., & Clerkin, R. M. (2022). *Public administration: Understanding management, politics, and law in the public sector*. [api.taylorfrancis.com. https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003198116&type=googlepdf](https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003198116&type=googlepdf)
- Sampat, B. N., & Shadlen, K. C. (2021). The COVID-19 Innovation System: Article describes innovations that emerged during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Health Affairs*. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2020.02097>
- Shen, Y., Cheng, Y., & Yu, J. (2023). From recovery resilience to transformative resilience: How digital platforms reshape public service provision during and post COVID-19. *Public Management Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2022.2033052>
- Sofyani, H., Riyadh, H. A., & Fahlevi, H. (2020). Improving service quality, accountability and transparency of local government: The intervening role of information technology governance. *Cogent Business & Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2020.1735690>
- Torring, J., Ferlie, E., Jukić, T., & ... (2021). A theoretical framework for studying the co-creation of innovative solutions and public value. *Policy & ...* <https://bristoluniversitypressdigital.com/view/journals/pp/49/2/article-p189.xml>
- Trischler, J., & Trischler, J. W. (2022). Design for experience—a public service design approach in the age of digitalization. *Public Management Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2021.1899272>
- Turner, M., Prasajo, E., & Sumarwono, R. (2022). The challenge of reforming big bureaucracy in Indonesia. *Policy Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01442872.2019.1708301>
- Ugwu, O. P. C., Alum, E. U., Ugwu, J. N., Eze, V. H. U., Ugwu, C. N., & ... (2024). Harnessing technology for infectious disease response in conflict zones: Challenges, innovations, and policy implications. In *Medicine*. [journals.lww.com. https://journals.lww.com/md-journal/fulltext/2024/07120/harnessing_technology_for_infectious_disease.23.aspx?context%5Cx3dlatestarticles](https://journals.lww.com/md-journal/fulltext/2024/07120/harnessing_technology_for_infectious_disease.23.aspx?context%5Cx3dlatestarticles)

- Valle-Cruz, D., Criado, J. I., Sandoval-Almazán, R., & ... (2020). Assessing the public policy-cycle framework in the age of artificial intelligence: From agenda-setting to policy evaluation. *Government information*
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0740624X20302884>
- Vassilakopoulou, P., Haug, A., Salvesen, L. M., & ... (2023). Developing human/AI interactions for chat-based customer services: lessons learned from the Norwegian government. *European journal of* <https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085X.2022.2096490>
- Villiers, K. de. (2021). Bridging the health inequality gap: an examination of South Africa's social innovation in health landscape. In *Infectious Diseases of Poverty*. Springer.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-021-00804-9>
- Wirtz, B. W., Weyerer, J. C., & Sturm, B. J. (2020). The dark sides of artificial intelligence: An integrated AI governance framework for public administration. ... *Journal of Public Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2020.1749851>
- Wynen, J., Boon, J., Kleizen, B., & ... (2020). How multiple organizational changes shape managerial support for innovative work behavior: Evidence from the Australian Public Service. ... *Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734371X18824388>
- Zeemering, E. S. (2021). Sustainability management, strategy and reform in local government. *Sustainable public management*.
<https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/chapters/edit/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003202479-8&type=chapterpdf>