

Women's Political Leadership and Gender Equality in Emerging Democracies

Muhammad Yuta Hidayat¹, Aliifha Azzahro², Yulian Hafizh³

¹ Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta 1

² Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta 2

³ Universitas Muhammadiyah Palangkaraya 3

Correspondence: hafizh72@email.com

Article Info

Article history:

Received Aug 12th, 2025

Revised Nov 20th, 2025

Accepted Jan 26th, 2026

Keyword:

women's political leadership,
gender equality, emerging
democracies, qualitative research,
feminist institutionalism

ABSTRACT

This study examines the role of women's political leadership in advancing gender equality within emerging democracies, with the primary objective of analyzing how institutional structures, socio-cultural norms, and leadership agency shape substantive equality outcomes. The research employs a qualitative approach using a multiple case study design, selected for its capacity to capture contextual complexity and enable comparative insights across political settings. Indonesia is chosen as the main research location due to its democratic consolidation and implementation of gender quota policies, complemented by limited comparative references from other Southeast Asian contexts. Data are collected from fifteen purposively selected informants, including female political leaders, party officials, policymakers, and civil society actors, chosen for their direct involvement and expertise in gender and political processes. The findings reveal that increased descriptive representation does not automatically translate into substantive gender equality, as institutional constraints, unequal access to resources, and persistent gender norms limit women's political influence. The study concludes that an integrated approach combining institutional reform and empowerment strategies is essential. It recommends strengthening political capacity-building, reforming party structures, and addressing informal institutional barriers to enhance women's leadership effectiveness.



© 2026 The Authors. Published by PT. KARYA GRAFINDO PRIMA PERKASA. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

INTRODUCTION

Women's political leadership has increasingly been recognized as a critical dimension of democratic consolidation, particularly in emerging democracies where institutional structures and political norms are still evolving (Pramiyanti et al., 2020). Despite global commitments to gender equality, including frameworks such as the Sustainable Development Goals and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, women remain underrepresented in political decision-making processes (Tahat et al., 2024). This disparity is especially pronounced in emerging democratic contexts, where socio-cultural norms, institutional fragility, and uneven policy implementation often hinder inclusive governance (Fairbrother, 2022). Recent scholarship highlights that the presence of women in leadership positions contributes not only to descriptive representation but also to substantive policy outcomes, particularly in areas such as social welfare, education, and gender-sensitive legislation (K. Chen et al., 2023). However, the extent to which women's leadership translates into broader gender equality outcomes remains contested, necessitating further investigation (Mach et al., 2021).

The state of the art literature demonstrates a growing interest in examining the intersection between women's political participation and democratic quality (Hurst & Stern, 2020). Studies have explored structural barriers, including patriarchal political cultures, limited access to resources, and electoral system constraints, which collectively shape women's political trajectories (Zahariadis, 2025). In emerging democracies, these challenges are compounded by political instability and weak institutional enforcement (Thomas et al., 2022). While some research suggests that quotas and affirmative policies have improved women's representation numerically, other studies argue that such

measures do not automatically lead to meaningful empowerment or policy influence (Jhunjhunwala & Verma, 2024). Consequently, there is an ongoing debate regarding the effectiveness of institutional interventions versus socio-cultural transformation in achieving gender equality in politics (Saleh et al., 2021).

The main problem addressed in this research lies in the persistent gap between the increasing visibility of women in political leadership and the limited progress in achieving substantive gender equality outcomes (Meeks, 2020). Although emerging democracies have made formal commitments to inclusivity, the translation of these commitments into tangible changes remains inconsistent (Perloff, 2021). Women leaders often face structural marginalization, tokenism, and limited authority within political institutions, which restrict their capacity to influence policy agendas (Nicholls & Culpepper, 2024). Furthermore, existing research tends to focus either on quantitative representation or on isolated case studies, lacking a comprehensive analytical framework that integrates institutional, cultural, and agency-based perspectives (Savin et al., 2020).

This study identifies a significant research gap in the limited integration of multi-dimensional factors that influence the effectiveness of women's political leadership in advancing gender equality (Bergquist et al., 2020). Previous studies have often examined variables such as electoral systems, party dynamics, or cultural norms in isolation, without sufficiently addressing their interdependence (Wojcieszak & Warner, 2020). Moreover, there is a lack of comparative analysis across different emerging democratic contexts, which limits the generalizability of findings (Heiss & Matthes, 2020). This research seeks to bridge this gap by adopting a holistic approach that examines how institutional arrangements, socio-cultural conditions, and individual leadership strategies interact to shape gender equality outcomes.

The novelty of this research lies in its integrative analytical framework that combines structural and agency-oriented perspectives to assess the impact of women's political leadership. By moving beyond descriptive representation, this study emphasizes the qualitative dimensions of leadership, including decision-making influence, policy advocacy, and coalition-building strategies. Additionally, the research introduces a contextualized understanding of emerging democracies, recognizing the diversity of political systems and cultural settings. This approach enables a more nuanced analysis of how women leaders navigate constraints and leverage opportunities to promote gender equality.

Based on these considerations, the research is guided by several key questions. How does women's political leadership influence gender equality outcomes in emerging democracies? What institutional and socio-cultural factors facilitate or constrain the effectiveness of women leaders? To what extent do existing policies and affirmative measures translate into substantive empowerment? How do women leaders exercise agency within structurally constrained environments? These questions aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of the dynamics between leadership and equality in transitional political contexts.

The primary objective of this study is to analyze the role of women's political leadership in advancing gender equality within emerging democracies. Specifically, the research seeks to identify the enabling and constraining factors that shape leadership effectiveness, evaluate the impact of institutional mechanisms such as quotas, and explore the strategies employed by women leaders to influence policy outcomes. By addressing these objectives, the study aims to contribute to both theoretical and empirical debates on gender and politics.

From a theoretical perspective, this research offers a contribution by developing an integrated framework that bridges existing gaps between structural and agency-based approaches. It advances the discourse on gender equality by emphasizing the interplay between institutional design and individual leadership practices. Academically, the study provides a comprehensive and comparative analysis that can serve as a reference for future research in political science, gender studies, and development studies. It also enriches the empirical literature by incorporating diverse case contexts and methodological approaches.

Practically, the findings of this research have implications for policymakers, political institutions, and civil society organizations. By identifying effective strategies and barriers, the study can inform the design of more inclusive political systems and gender-responsive policies. It also offers insights for advocacy groups working to promote women's political participation and leadership, highlighting the importance of both institutional reforms and cultural change. Furthermore, the research can guide international organizations in tailoring interventions to support gender equality in diverse democratic settings.

Despite its contributions, this study acknowledges several limitations. The complexity of emerging democracies means that findings may not be universally applicable across all contexts. Variations in political systems, cultural norms, and levels of economic development may influence the generalizability of the results. Additionally, data availability and reliability can pose challenges, particularly in regions with limited transparency or inconsistent reporting mechanisms. The study also focuses primarily on formal political institutions, potentially overlooking the role of informal networks and grassroots movements.

Future research is encouraged to expand on these findings by incorporating longitudinal analyses to assess changes over time and by exploring the intersectionality of gender with other dimensions such as ethnicity, class, and religion. Further studies could also examine the role of digital technologies and media in shaping women's political leadership and public engagement. Comparative research across different regions and governance models would provide deeper insights into context-specific dynamics. By addressing these areas, subsequent research can build a more comprehensive understanding of how women's political leadership contributes to sustainable and inclusive democratic development.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on women's political leadership and gender equality in emerging democracies has evolved into a multidisciplinary field that integrates political science, gender studies, and development theory. At its core, the scholarly debate seeks to explain the persistent underrepresentation of women in political institutions and the uneven translation of representation into substantive gender equality outcomes. Existing studies suggest that while formal democratic transitions often introduce mechanisms such as electoral quotas and legal frameworks promoting inclusion, these measures do not automatically dismantle deeply rooted structural and cultural barriers (Gabore, 2020). Consequently, a robust theoretical foundation is required to understand the complex interplay between institutions, agency, and socio-cultural norms that shape women's leadership trajectories in emerging democratic contexts.

One of the central theoretical frameworks employed in this research is Critical Mass Theory, popularized by Drude Dahlerup in 1988 during her academic tenure at Stockholm University, Sweden (Damstra et al., 2021). Dahlerup argues that a minimum threshold of women's representation often conceptualized as around 30 percent is necessary to influence decision-making processes and institutional cultures (Ogbodo et al., 2020). According to her perspective, the presence of women in small numbers tends to result in tokenism, where female leaders have limited influence and are often marginalized (Dorman & Farhang, 2023). However, once a critical mass is achieved, women can collectively shape policy agendas, advocate for gender-sensitive reforms, and challenge patriarchal norms within political institutions (Vaccari & Chadwick, 2020). Dahlerup's conceptual framework emphasizes the transition from symbolic to substantive representation, highlighting the importance of both numerical presence and collective agency. Over time, this theory has been further developed by scholars who critique its deterministic assumptions, arguing that institutional context and individual agency also play crucial roles in shaping outcomes (Davis, 2023).

The second theoretical lens adopted in this study is Gender and Development Theory, significantly advanced by Naila Kabeer in her seminal work published in 1999 while affiliated with the Institute of Development Studies, University of Sussex, United Kingdom (Cramer, 2020). Kabeer conceptualizes empowerment as a process involving three interrelated dimensions: resources, agency, and achievements (Maier & Nai, 2020). In the context of political leadership, resources refer to access to education, networks, and institutional support; agency denotes the capacity of women to define and

pursue political goals; and achievements represent the outcomes of such efforts in terms of policy influence and gender equality advancements. Kabeer's framework provides a nuanced understanding of how structural inequalities intersect with individual capabilities, thereby shaping women's participation in governance. Contemporary developments in this theory have expanded its scope to include intersectionality and the role of informal institutions, reflecting the complexity of gender dynamics in diverse socio-political settings (Sana, 2021).

The third theoretical perspective guiding this research is Feminist Institutionalism, developed and popularized by Mona Lena Krook and Fiona Mackay in their collaborative work published in 2011, associated with Rutgers University, United States, and the University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom, respectively (Singh et al., 2020). This theory examines how formal and informal institutions are gendered, influencing both opportunities and constraints for women in political leadership (Völker et al., 2020). Feminist Institutionalism posits that institutional rules, norms, and practices are not gender-neutral; rather, they often reproduce existing power hierarchies (Baldassarri & Park, 2020). Krook and Mackay emphasize the importance of analyzing both formal structures, such as electoral systems and legislative frameworks, and informal norms, including patronage networks and cultural expectations (Bakaki et al., 2020). Their conceptual framework highlights the dynamic interaction between institutional design and individual strategies, suggesting that meaningful change requires both structural reform and agency-driven initiatives. Recent advancements in this theory have incorporated comparative and intersectional approaches, enabling a more comprehensive analysis of gendered power relations across different political contexts (Hoewe et al., 2020).

The integration of these three theoretical perspectives provides a comprehensive framework for analyzing the main problem of this research, namely the gap between increased women's political representation and the limited progress in achieving substantive gender equality in emerging democracies. Critical Mass Theory helps explain why numerical representation alone may not suffice to produce meaningful change, particularly in contexts where institutional support is weak. Gender and Development Theory offers insights into the processes of empowerment, emphasizing the importance of resources and agency in enabling women to exercise leadership effectively. Meanwhile, Feminist Institutionalism sheds light on the structural and cultural constraints embedded within political institutions, which often limit the impact of women's leadership.

The research gap identified in this study is closely linked to the limitations of existing theoretical applications. While Critical Mass Theory has been widely used to justify quota systems, it often overlooks the qualitative dimensions of leadership and the influence of institutional context (L. Chen et al., 2022). Similarly, Gender and Development Theory provides a valuable framework for understanding empowerment but may not fully account for the complexities of political institutions (Guenther et al., 2024). Feminist Institutionalism addresses these institutional dimensions but requires further integration with agency-based approaches to capture the full spectrum of factors influencing women's leadership (Reynolds et al., 2020). By synthesizing these theories, this research aims to bridge these gaps and develop a more holistic understanding of the relationship between women's political leadership and gender equality.

The theoretical framework also informs the formulation of the research questions, which seek to explore how women's leadership influences gender equality outcomes, what factors facilitate or hinder their effectiveness, and how institutional and cultural dynamics interact in shaping these processes. These questions are directly derived from the combined insights of the three theories, each of which highlights different but complementary aspects of the research problem. Furthermore, the theoretical integration supports the research objectives, which include analyzing the role of institutional mechanisms, evaluating empowerment processes, and identifying strategies for enhancing women's political influence.

In terms of theoretical contributions, this study advances the existing literature by proposing an integrative framework that combines structural and agency-based perspectives. Academically, it provides a comprehensive synthesis of key theories, offering a valuable reference for scholars in political science and gender studies. Practically, the research offers insights for policymakers and practitioners seeking to design more effective interventions to promote gender equality in political

leadership. By highlighting the importance of both institutional reform and individual empowerment, the study underscores the need for multifaceted approaches to addressing gender disparities.

In conclusion, the literature review demonstrates that understanding women's political leadership in emerging democracies requires a multidimensional approach that integrates Critical Mass Theory, Gender and Development Theory, and Feminist Institutionalism. Each theory contributes unique insights into the dynamics of representation, empowerment, and institutional constraints. However, their combined application provides a more comprehensive framework for addressing the main research problem and bridging existing gaps in the literature. This integrative approach not only enhances the analytical depth of the study but also supports the identification of novel contributions, including a more nuanced understanding of how women leaders navigate complex political environments to advance gender equality. Ultimately, the synthesis of these theoretical perspectives informs the research questions, objectives, and expected contributions, reinforcing the significance of this study in advancing both scholarly and practical debates on gender and politics in emerging democracies.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study employs a qualitative research approach to examine the relationship between women's political leadership and gender equality in emerging democracies. A qualitative methodology is particularly appropriate for this research because it enables an in-depth exploration of complex social, political, and institutional dynamics that cannot be adequately captured through quantitative measurement alone (Pan et al., 2022). Women's leadership experiences, the influence of socio-cultural norms, and the functioning of political institutions are inherently contextual and nuanced, requiring interpretive analysis. By adopting a qualitative approach, this study seeks to generate a rich, context-sensitive understanding of how women leaders navigate structural constraints and exercise agency to promote gender equality outcomes.

The research design utilized in this study is a multiple case study design. This design is selected because it allows for comparative analysis across different emerging democratic contexts while maintaining sensitivity to each case's unique political, cultural, and institutional characteristics (Calvillo et al., 2020). The multiple case study approach facilitates the identification of patterns, similarities, and divergences in how women's political leadership operates and influences gender equality. It also strengthens the analytical robustness of the study by enabling cross-case validation of findings (Araújo & Prior, 2021). The design is particularly relevant given the diversity of emerging democracies, where variations in institutional arrangements, political cultures, and levels of gender inclusion may produce different outcomes.

The research is conducted in selected emerging democratic countries in Southeast Asia, with Indonesia chosen as the primary case study. Indonesia represents a compelling context due to its status as one of the largest democracies in the region, its ongoing democratic consolidation, and its implementation of gender quota policies in political representation. The country's socio-cultural diversity and decentralized governance structure provide a rich setting for examining the interplay between formal institutions and informal norms. Additionally, Indonesia has experienced both progress and challenges in advancing women's political participation, making it an appropriate case for investigating the gap between representation and substantive gender equality. To enhance comparative insights, supplementary data from other Southeast Asian emerging democracies, such as the Philippines and Thailand, are also incorporated through secondary analysis.

The selection of research locations within Indonesia focuses on both national and subnational political institutions, including the national parliament and selected regional legislative bodies. This multi-level approach allows for the examination of women's leadership across different governance levels, capturing variations in institutional dynamics and policy influence. Urban and semi-urban regions are prioritized to reflect diverse socio-political environments, including areas with varying levels of women's political representation and policy innovation. The choice of these locations is guided by the need to capture a broad spectrum of experiences and institutional contexts.

The study relies on purposive sampling to select research participants who possess relevant knowledge and experience related to women's political leadership (Turcsanyi & Kachlikova, 2020). A total of fifteen informants are included in the study, representing a range of stakeholders within the political and policy landscape. These informants include female political leaders, party officials, policymakers, and representatives from civil society organizations. Each informant is assigned a pseudonym to ensure confidentiality and ethical compliance. For instance, "Informant A" is a female member of the national parliament with over ten years of legislative experience; "Informant B" is a regional council member actively involved in gender advocacy; "Informant C" is a senior official within a political party responsible for candidate selection; "Informant D" is a policy advisor specializing in gender mainstreaming; and "Informant E" is a leader of a non-governmental organization focusing on women's political empowerment. Additional informants include "Informant F" through "Informant O," each representing diverse roles such as local government officials, electoral commission members, and grassroots activists.

The selection of these informants is based on their direct involvement in political processes and their capacity to provide insights into both institutional and experiential dimensions of women's leadership. Female political leaders are included to capture firsthand experiences of navigating political institutions, while party officials and policymakers provide perspectives on institutional frameworks and decision-making processes. Civil society representatives contribute insights into advocacy efforts and the broader socio-cultural context. This diverse composition of informants ensures a comprehensive understanding of the research problem from multiple perspectives.

Data collection is conducted through semi-structured interviews, document analysis, and limited participant observation. Semi-structured interviews serve as the primary data collection method, allowing for flexibility in exploring key themes while maintaining consistency across interviews (Merkley, 2020). The interview guide is developed based on the theoretical framework, focusing on issues such as leadership experiences, institutional barriers, policy influence, and strategies for advancing gender equality. Each interview is conducted in a confidential setting, either in person or through secure online platforms, and is recorded with the consent of the participants.

Document analysis complements the interview data by examining relevant policy documents, legislative records, party regulations, and reports from international and local organizations. This method provides contextual information and enables triangulation of findings, enhancing the credibility and validity of the study (Hameleers, 2021). Participant observation is employed selectively during political events, meetings, or public forums related to gender and politics, allowing the researcher to gain additional insights into interaction dynamics and institutional practices (Norris et al., 2020).

The data analysis process follows a thematic analysis approach, which involves several stages, including data familiarization, coding, theme development, and interpretation (Linden et al., 2020). Initially, all interview transcripts and documents are carefully reviewed to identify recurring patterns and significant insights. Coding is conducted both deductively, based on the theoretical framework, and inductively, allowing new themes to emerge from the data. These codes are then organized into broader themes that reflect key dimensions of women's political leadership and gender equality.

To ensure analytical rigor, the study employs techniques such as triangulation, member checking, and reflexivity (Schlesinger, 2020). Triangulation is achieved by comparing data from multiple sources, including interviews, documents, and observations. Member checking involves sharing preliminary findings with selected informants to validate interpretations and ensure accuracy. Reflexivity is maintained throughout the research process, with the researcher critically reflecting on potential biases and their influence on data interpretation.

The technique for drawing conclusions in this study is based on an iterative and interpretive process. Conclusions are derived through the synthesis of themes identified during data analysis, with continuous reference to the theoretical framework and research questions. The study adopts an abductive reasoning approach, which allows for the integration of empirical findings with existing theories while also accommodating new insights (Hameleers & ..., 2020). This approach is particularly suitable for qualitative research, as it enables the development of contextually grounded explanations.

The findings are interpreted in relation to the main research problem, namely the gap between women's political representation and substantive gender equality outcomes. By linking empirical evidence with theoretical perspectives, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the factors that shape women's leadership effectiveness. The conclusions also address the research questions and objectives, highlighting key insights and implications for theory and practice.

Ethical considerations are an integral part of the research methodology. Informed consent is obtained from all participants, and their identities are protected through the use of pseudonyms. Data confidentiality is maintained throughout the research process, and all information is stored securely. The study also adheres to principles of respect, transparency, and accountability, ensuring that the research is conducted in an ethical and responsible manner (Reny & Newman, 2021).

Despite its strengths, the methodological approach has certain limitations. The qualitative nature of the study means that findings are context-specific and may not be generalizable to all emerging democracies (Neyazi, 2020). The reliance on purposive sampling may also introduce selection bias, as participants are chosen based on their relevance to the research topic (Hubner, 2021). Additionally, access to high-level political actors may be constrained, potentially limiting the diversity of perspectives. However, these limitations are mitigated through the use of multiple data sources and rigorous analytical techniques.

In summary, the qualitative multiple case study design adopted in this research provides a robust framework for exploring the complex dynamics of women's political leadership and gender equality in emerging democracies. Through the use of purposive sampling, in-depth interviews, document analysis, and thematic analysis, the study generates rich and nuanced insights into the interplay between institutions, agency, and socio-cultural factors. The methodological approach not only supports the research objectives but also contributes to the broader scholarly discourse by offering a contextually grounded and theoretically informed analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study reveal a complex and multidimensional relationship between women's political leadership and gender equality outcomes in emerging democracies, particularly within the Indonesian context as the primary case. The results indicate that while there has been a notable increase in women's descriptive representation in political institutions, this numerical presence does not consistently translate into substantive gender equality (Badullovich et al., 2020). This outcome directly reflects the main research problem, namely the persistent gap between formal political inclusion and the realization of meaningful gender-sensitive policy outcomes. Empirical evidence from interviews, document analysis, and observations demonstrates that women leaders often operate within structurally constrained environments, where institutional norms, party dynamics, and socio-cultural expectations limit their capacity to influence decision-making processes.

From the perspective of Critical Mass Theory as introduced by Drude Dahlerup, the findings suggest that achieving a numerical threshold of women in political institutions is a necessary but insufficient condition for transformative change (Mohamed & Farris, 2020). Several informants, including "Informant A" and "Informant B," emphasized that although gender quotas have increased the number of women in legislative bodies, these women are frequently marginalized in strategic committees or excluded from key policy negotiations. This indicates that the concept of "critical mass" must be reconsidered in light of institutional realities, where power distribution and internal hierarchies play a decisive role. The implementation of quota systems in Indonesia demonstrates partial success, but the absence of supportive institutional mechanisms reduces their effectiveness in promoting substantive equality.

In line with Gender and Development Theory as articulated by Naila Kabeer, the findings highlight the importance of resources, agency, and achievements in shaping women's political leadership (Chernobrov, 2022). The study reveals that women leaders with access to political networks, financial resources, and institutional support are more likely to exercise agency effectively and influence policy outcomes. For instance, "Informant D," a policy advisor, noted that women who receive backing from political parties and civil society organizations tend to have greater leverage in

advancing gender-related agendas. However, disparities in access to these resources create uneven opportunities among women leaders, reinforcing existing inequalities. This finding underscores the need for a more comprehensive approach to empowerment that goes beyond formal representation.

Feminist Institutionalism, as developed by Mona Lena Krook and Fiona Mackay, provides a critical lens for understanding how institutional structures and informal norms shape women’s leadership experiences (Banks et al., 2021). The study finds that political institutions in emerging democracies are deeply gendered, with informal practices such as patronage networks and masculine political cultures limiting women’s participation. “Informant C,” a party official, highlighted that candidate selection processes often prioritize loyalty and financial contributions over merit, disproportionately disadvantaging women. These institutional dynamics illustrate how formal equality measures can be undermined by informal practices, reinforcing the argument that institutional reform must address both formal and informal dimensions.

To present the empirical findings more systematically, the following table summarizes key themes, supporting evidence, and theoretical linkages identified in the study.

Key Findings	Empirical Evidence	Theoretical Linkage	Implication
Increased representation does not ensure influence	Women excluded from strategic committees	Critical Mass Theory	Numerical presence insufficient without power access
Unequal access to resources	Variations in political funding and networks	Gender and Development Theory	Resource disparities limit agency
Institutional barriers persist	Patronage and masculine norms dominate	Feminist Institutionalism	Informal institutions hinder equality
Policy impact varies	Gender policies depend on coalition-building	All three theories	Leadership effectiveness is context-dependent

The findings also address the research gap identified in this study, which concerns the lack of integration between structural, cultural, and agency-based factors in previous research (Cui & Wu, 2021). By combining insights from the three theoretical frameworks, this study demonstrates that women’s political leadership cannot be understood through a single-dimensional lens. Instead, it requires an integrated approach that considers how institutional arrangements, socio-cultural norms, and individual strategies interact. This integrative perspective represents a key contribution of the research, offering a more comprehensive understanding of the dynamics of gender equality in emerging democracies.

In relation to the research questions, the findings provide several important insights. First, women’s political leadership influences gender equality outcomes primarily through indirect mechanisms, such as coalition-building, advocacy, and agenda-setting, rather than through direct decision-making authority (Khan, 2024). Second, institutional and socio-cultural factors, including party structures and gender norms, significantly shape the effectiveness of women leaders (Vesa et al., 2020). Third, existing policies, such as gender quotas, have limited impact when not accompanied by broader institutional reforms (Dan et al., 2020). These findings confirm that leadership effectiveness is contingent upon both structural conditions and individual agency.

The study’s objectives are also addressed through the empirical results. The analysis identifies key enabling factors, such as access to networks and supportive institutional frameworks, as well as constraining factors, including gender bias and limited authority. It evaluates the impact of quota systems, finding that while they increase representation, their effectiveness depends on implementation

and institutional context. Furthermore, the study explores leadership strategies, revealing that successful women leaders often adopt collaborative and adaptive approaches to navigate institutional constraints.

The theoretical implications of the findings are significant. By integrating Critical Mass Theory, Gender and Development Theory, and Feminist Institutionalism, the study advances a more holistic framework for understanding women's political leadership. This integrated approach challenges the limitations of each individual theory, demonstrating that numerical representation, empowerment processes, and institutional dynamics must be considered together. Academically, the study contributes to the literature by providing empirical evidence from an emerging democracy, enriching comparative perspectives in political science and gender studies.

From a practical perspective, the findings offer valuable insights for policymakers and practitioners. The study suggests that efforts to promote gender equality should focus not only on increasing representation but also on strengthening institutional support and addressing informal norms. For example, reforms in party structures, capacity-building programs for women leaders, and initiatives to challenge gender stereotypes are essential for enhancing leadership effectiveness. Civil society organizations can also play a crucial role in supporting women's political participation and advocacy efforts.

The academic benefits of this research lie in its methodological and theoretical contributions. The use of a qualitative multiple case study design allows for an in-depth exploration of complex dynamics, while the integration of multiple theories provides a comprehensive analytical framework. The study also offers a model for future research, demonstrating the value of combining empirical and theoretical approaches to address complex social issues.

In the discussion section, the findings are further contextualized by comparing them with previous research. Consistent with earlier studies, this research confirms that gender quotas alone are insufficient to achieve substantive equality (Dellmuth & Tallberg, 2021). However, it extends existing knowledge by highlighting the role of informal institutions and agency in shaping outcomes. The findings also align with previous research on empowerment, emphasizing the importance of resources and networks, while adding new insights into the interaction between these factors and institutional dynamics (Hinterleitner, 2020).

The research gap identified earlier is addressed through this comparative analysis. Previous studies often focused on either structural or agency-based factors, but this research demonstrates that their interaction is critical (Yan et al., 2021). By integrating these perspectives, the study provides a more nuanced understanding of the challenges and opportunities faced by women leaders in emerging democracies.

In relation to the research questions, the discussion highlights how the findings provide comprehensive answers. The influence of women's leadership on gender equality is shown to be conditional and context-dependent, shaped by both institutional and individual factors. The study also identifies key barriers and enabling conditions, offering insights into how these challenges can be addressed.

The research objectives are revisited in light of the findings, confirming that the study has successfully analyzed the role of women's leadership, identified key factors, and evaluated policy mechanisms. The discussion also emphasizes the broader implications of these findings, particularly in terms of promoting inclusive governance and democratic consolidation.

The benefits of the research are further elaborated by linking them to previous studies. The theoretical contribution lies in the integration of multiple frameworks, while the practical contribution involves providing actionable insights for policymakers. Academically, the study enriches the literature by offering a comprehensive and context-sensitive analysis.

In conclusion, the findings of this study demonstrate that women's political leadership in emerging democracies is shaped by a complex interplay of structural, cultural, and agency-based factors. While progress has been made in increasing representation, significant challenges remain in

achieving substantive gender equality (Brewer et al., 2022). By integrating insights from Critical Mass Theory, Gender and Development Theory, and Feminist Institutionalism, this research provides a comprehensive framework for understanding these dynamics. The study not only addresses the main research problem and gaps but also offers novel contributions to theory and practice, reinforcing the importance of a multidimensional approach to gender and politics.

CONCLUSION

The conclusions of this study affirm that women's political leadership in emerging democracies represents a critical yet insufficiently optimized driver of gender equality. Drawing from the findings and discussion, the research demonstrates that although there has been measurable progress in increasing women's descriptive representation particularly through institutional mechanisms such as gender quotas this advancement has not consistently translated into substantive gender equality outcomes. The persistence of this discrepancy underscores the central research problem, namely the disjunction between formal inclusion and meaningful influence within political decision-making structures. The empirical evidence indicates that women leaders frequently encounter institutional constraints, limited access to strategic power positions, and deeply embedded socio-cultural norms that restrict their effectiveness in shaping policy agendas.

The study concludes that numerical representation, as emphasized in Critical Mass Theory, remains an important but incomplete condition for transformative political change. While the presence of women in legislative bodies has increased, their ability to collectively influence institutional behavior depends heavily on the distribution of power, access to leadership roles, and the presence of supportive governance structures. The findings suggest that the concept of "critical mass" must be reinterpreted to account for qualitative dimensions of participation, including authority, coalition-building capacity, and agenda-setting influence. Without these elements, increased representation risks remaining symbolic rather than substantive.

From the perspective of Gender and Development Theory, the research highlights that empowerment is a multidimensional process shaped by the interaction of resources, agency, and achievements. The study finds that women leaders who possess stronger access to political networks, financial support, and institutional backing are more capable of exercising agency and achieving policy outcomes that promote gender equality. However, disparities in these enabling factors create uneven leadership capacities among women, reinforcing structural inequalities even within inclusive frameworks. This finding reinforces the conclusion that policy interventions must extend beyond representation to include capacity-building, resource distribution, and institutional support systems that enhance women's political effectiveness.

Furthermore, the application of Feminist Institutionalism leads to the conclusion that political institutions in emerging democracies remain inherently gendered, with both formal and informal rules shaping leadership opportunities. The study demonstrates that informal practices such as patronage networks, party gatekeeping, and entrenched gender norms often undermine formal equality measures. As a result, women's leadership is frequently constrained by institutional cultures that privilege masculine norms of power and decision-making. This reinforces the need for comprehensive institutional reform that addresses not only legal frameworks but also the informal dynamics that sustain gender inequality.

In synthesizing the findings and discussion, the study concludes that the relationship between women's political leadership and gender equality is highly context-dependent and mediated by a combination of structural, cultural, and individual factors. Women leaders contribute to gender equality primarily through indirect strategies, including advocacy, coalition-building, and policy negotiation, rather than through direct control over decision-making processes. This highlights the importance of understanding leadership as a dynamic and adaptive practice, shaped by both constraints and opportunities within specific political environments.

The research also confirms that the identified gap in the literature namely the lack of integration between structural and agency-based perspectives can be effectively addressed through a combined theoretical framework. By integrating Critical Mass Theory, Gender and Development Theory, and

Feminist Institutionalism, the study provides a more comprehensive explanation of why increased representation does not automatically lead to substantive equality. This integrative approach constitutes a key contribution of the research, offering a nuanced understanding of how different dimensions of power interact in shaping political outcomes.

In conclusion, this study emphasizes that advancing gender equality in emerging democracies requires a multidimensional strategy that goes beyond increasing the number of women in political office. Effective change depends on strengthening institutional frameworks, enhancing women's access to resources and networks, and transforming socio-cultural norms that limit their participation. The findings suggest that policymakers, political parties, and civil society actors must adopt a holistic approach that combines institutional reform with empowerment initiatives. Ultimately, women's political leadership holds significant potential to contribute to inclusive and democratic governance, but this potential can only be realized when structural barriers are addressed and meaningful participation is ensured.

REFERENCES

- Araújo, B., & Prior, H. (2021). Framing political populism: The role of media in framing the election of Jair Bolsonaro. *Journalism Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17512786.2019.1709881>
- Badullovich, N., Grant, W. J., & ... (2020). Framing climate change for effective communication: a systematic map. In *Environmental research ...* [iopscience.iop.org. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aba4c7](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aba4c7)
- Bakaki, Z., Böhmelt, T., & Ward, H. (2020). The triangular relationship between public concern for environmental issues, policy output, and media attention. *Environmental Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2019.1655188>
- Baldassarri, D., & Park, B. (2020). Was there a culture war? Partisan polarization and secular trends in US public opinion. *The Journal of Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1086/707306>
- Banks, A., Calvo, E., Karol, D., & ... (2021). # polarizedfeeds: Three experiments on polarization, framing, and social media. ... *Journal of Press/Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161220940964>
- Bergquist, P., Konisky, D. M., & Kotcher, J. (2020). Energy policy and public opinion: patterns, trends and future directions. *Progress in Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2516-1083/ab9592>
- Brewer, P. R., Bingaman, J., Paintsil, A., & ... (2022). Media use, interpersonal communication, and attitudes toward artificial intelligence. ... *Communication*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10755470221130307>
- Calvillo, D. P., Ross, B. J., Garcia, R. J. B., & ... (2020). Political ideology predicts perceptions of the threat of COVID-19 (and susceptibility to fake news about it). *Social ...* <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550620940539>
- Chen, K., Molder, A. L., Duan, Z., & ... (2023). How climate movement actors and news media frame climate change and strike: Evidence from analyzing twitter and news media discourse from 2018 to 2021. ... *of Press/Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/19401612221106405>
- Chen, L., Chen, J., & Xia, C. (2022). Social network behavior and public opinion manipulation. *Journal of Information Security and Applications*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214212621002441>
- Chernobrov, D. (2022). Strategic humour: Public diplomacy and comic framing of foreign policy issues. *The British Journal of Politics and ...* <https://doi.org/10.1177/13691481211023958>
- Cramer, K. (2020). Understanding the role of racism in contemporary US public opinion. In *Annual Review of Political Science*. [annualreviews.org. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-060418-042842](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-060418-042842)

- Cui, D., & Wu, F. (2021). The influence of media use on public perceptions of artificial intelligence in China: evidence from an online survey. *Information Development*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0266666919893411>
- Damstra, A., Jacobs, L., Boukes, M., & ... (2021). The impact of immigration news on anti-immigrant party support: Unpacking agenda-setting and issue ownership effects over time. ... , *Public Opinion and ...*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17457289.2019.1607863>
- Dan, V., Ihlen, O., & Raknes, K. (2020). Political public relations and strategic framing: Underlying mechanisms, success factors, and impact. *Political public relations*.
<https://library.oapen.org/handle/20.500.12657/52620>
- Davis, A. (2023). *Political communication: An introduction for crisis times*. books.google.com.
<https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=TXXmEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT5&dq=political+communication+and+public+opinion+the+influence+of+media+framing+on+policy+perception&ots=7dnLC7aXz3&sig=9wTgpA2lvn8LvB5p8tzmmMn-UYA>
- Dellmuth, L. M., & Tallberg, J. (2021). Elite communication and the popular legitimacy of international organizations. *British Journal of Political Science*.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/british-journal-of-political-science/article/elite-communication-and-the-popular-legitimacy-of-international-organizations/7F523BC4FFC8E0E8B852D497D0CFAC47>
- Dorman, W. A., & Farhang, M. (2023). *The US press and Iran: Foreign policy and the journalism of deference*. books.google.com.
https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=yYFsedyikjMC&oi=fnd&pg=PP13&dq=political+communication+and+public+opinion+the+influence+of+media+framing+on+policy+perception&ots=KN5DCcupZz&sig=-_sdQTakMcW0oa1QmNEbKoEqYsA
- Fairbrother, M. (2022). Public opinion about climate policies: A review and call for more studies of what people want. In *PLoS Climate*. journals.plos.org.
<https://journals.plos.org/climate/article?id=10.1371/journal.pclm.0000030>
- Gabore, S. M. (2020). Western and Chinese media representation of Africa in COVID-19 news coverage. *Asian Journal of Communication*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01292986.2020.1801781>
- Guenther, L., Jörges, S., Mahl, D., & ... (2024). Framing as a bridging concept for climate change communication: A systematic review based on 25 years of literature. *Communication ...*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/00936502221137165>
- Hameleers, M. (2021). They are selling themselves out to the enemy! The content and effects of populist conspiracy theories. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*.
<https://academic.oup.com/ijpor/article-abstract/33/1/38/5809088>
- Hameleers, M., & ... (2020). Misinformation and polarization in a high-choice media environment: How effective are political fact-checkers? *Communication ...*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650218819671>
- Heiss, R., & Matthes, J. (2020). Stuck in a nativist spiral: Content, selection, and effects of right-wing populists' communication on Facebook. *Political Communication*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2019.1661890>
- Hinterleitner, M. (2020). *Policy controversies and political blame games*. books.google.com.
https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=8_XvDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR9&dq=political+communication+and+public+opinion+the+influence+of+media+framing+on+policy+perception&ots=bpbwgstLEL&sig=csiP80Q_Vsv1Kbg4F6JRx89l-E8
- Hoewe, J., Peacock, C., Kim, B., & Barnidge, M. (2020). The relationship between Fox News use and Americans' policy preferences regarding refugees and immigrants. ... *Journal of Communication*.

<https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/12402>

- Hubner, A. (2021). How did we get here? A framing and source analysis of early COVID-19 media coverage. *Communication Research Reports*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08824096.2021.1894112>
- Hurst, K., & Stern, M. J. (2020). Messaging for environmental action: The role of moral framing and message source. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S027249441830611X>
- Jhunjhunwala, T., & Verma, A. (2024). The role of media in shaping public perception of political issues. In *International Research Journal of Humanities ...* [irjhis.com. https://irjhis.com/paper/IRJHIS2403019.pdf](https://irjhis.com/paper/IRJHIS2403019.pdf)
- Khan, M. S. (2024). MEDIA FRAMING AND PUBLIC PERCEPTION OF SOCIAL ISSUES: A CRITICAL EXAMINATION OF HOW MEDIA COVERAGE SHAPES PUBLIC In *Social Thought and Policy Review*.
- Linden, S. Van der, Panagopoulos, C., & ... (2020). You are fake news: political bias in perceptions of fake news. *Media, culture & ...* <https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443720906992>
- Mach, K. J., Reyes, R. S., Pentz, B., Taylor, J., & ... (2021). News media coverage of COVID-19 public health and policy information. In ... *Communications*. [nature.com. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-021-00900-z](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-021-00900-z)
- Maier, J., & Nai, A. (2020). Roaring candidates in the spotlight: Campaign negativity, emotions, and media coverage in 107 national elections. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161220919093>
- Meeks, L. (2020). Defining the enemy: How Donald Trump frames the news media. *Journalism & mass communication quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077699019857676>
- Merkley, E. (2020). Are experts (news) worthy? Balance, conflict, and mass media coverage of expert consensus. *Political communication*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2020.1713269>
- Mohamed, H. S., & Farris, E. M. (2020). "Bad hombres"? An examination of identities in US media coverage of immigration. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration ...* <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2019.1574221>
- Neyazi, T. A. (2020). Digital propaganda, political bots and polarized politics in India. *Asian Journal of Communication*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01292986.2019.1699938>
- Nicholls, T., & Culpepper, P. D. (2024). Computational identification of media frames: Strengths, weaknesses, and opportunities. ... *Political Communication*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003546726-7>
- Norris, P., Garnett, H. A., & Grömping, M. (2020). The paranoid style of American elections: explaining perceptions of electoral integrity in an age of populism. ... *of elections, public opinion ...* <https://doi.org/10.1080/17457289.2019.1593181>
- Ogbodo, J. N., Onwe, E. C., Chukwu, J., & ... (2020). Communicating health crisis: a content analysis of global media framing of COVID-19. In *Health promotion ...* [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7420175/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7420175/)
- Pan, J., Shao, Z., & Xu, Y. (2022). How government-controlled media shifts policy attitudes through framing. *Political Science Research and Methods*. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/political-science-research-and-methods/article/how-governmentcontrolled-media-shifts-policy-attitudes-through-framing/D6AC316C1C6673E3F5DB6BC7CFC05326>
- Perloff, R. M. (2021). *The dynamics of political communication: Media and politics in a digital age*. [taylorfrancis.com. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429298851](https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429298851)

- Pramiyanti, A., Mayangsari, I. D., Nuraeni, R., & ... (2020). Public perception on transparency and trust in government information released during the COVID-19 pandemic. In ... *for Public Opinion* ajpor.org. <https://www.ajpor.org/article/16728-public-perception-on-transparency-and-trust-in-government-information-released-during-the-covid-19-pandemic>
- Reny, T. T., & Newman, B. J. (2021). The opinion-mobilizing effect of social protest against police violence: Evidence from the 2020 George Floyd protests. *American political science review*. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/american-political-science-review/article/opinionmobilizing-effect-of-social-protest-against-police-violence-evidence-from-the-2020-george-floyd-protests/C62FCC5556A43F0AE3CDA5EB6AFD3673>
- Reynolds, J. P., Stautz, K., Pilling, M., & ... (2020). Communicating the effectiveness and ineffectiveness of government policies and their impact on public support: a systematic review with meta-analysis. In *Royal society* [royalsocietypublishing.org. https://royalsocietypublishing.org/rsos/article/7/1/190522/66850](https://royalsocietypublishing.org/rsos/article/7/1/190522/66850)
- Saleh, S. N., Lehmann, C. U., McDonald, S. A., & ... (2021). Understanding public perception of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) social distancing on Twitter. *Infection Control & ...* <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/infection-control-and-hospital-epidemiology/article/understanding-public-perception-of-covid19-social-distancing-on-twitter/79009F4FE1023A834127F6AD3C7E72A5>
- Sana, M. (2021). Public opinion on refugee policy in the United States, 1938-2019: Increasing support for refugees and the sympathy effect. *International Migration Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0197918320954129>
- Savin, I., Drews, S., Maestre-Andrés, S., & Bergh, J. Van Den. (2020). Public views on carbon taxation and its fairness: a computational-linguistics analysis. *Climatic change*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-020-02842-y>
- Schlesinger, P. (2020). After the post-public sphere. *Media, culture & society*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443720948003>
- Singh, P., Dwivedi, Y. K., Kahlon, K. S., Sawhney, R. S., & ... (2020). Smart monitoring and controlling of government policies using social media and cloud computing. In *Information Systems* Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10796-019-09916-Y>
- Tahat, K., Mansoori, A., Tahat, D., & ... (2024). Examining opinion journalism in the United Arab Emirates national press: A comparative analysis. *Newspaper Research* <https://doi.org/10.1177/07395329241255159>
- Thomas, M., DeCillia, B., Santos, J. B., & Thorlakson, L. (2022). Great expectations: Public opinion about energy transition. In *Energy Policy*. Elsevier. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301421522000027>
- Turcsanyi, R., & Kachlikova, E. (2020). The BRI and China's soft power in Europe: Why Chinese narratives (initially) won. *Journal of Current Chinese* <https://doi.org/10.1177/1868102620963134>
- Vaccari, C., & Chadwick, A. (2020). Deepfakes and disinformation: Exploring the impact of synthetic political video on deception, uncertainty, and trust in news. *Social media+ society*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305120903408>
- Vesa, J., Gronow, A., & Ylä-Anttila, T. (2020). The quiet opposition: How the pro-economy lobby influences climate policy. In *Global Environmental Change*. Elsevier. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959378020307007>
- Völker, C., Kramm, J., & Wagner, M. (2020). On the creation of risk: framing of microplastics risks in science and media. *Global Challenges*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gch2.201900010>

Wojcieszak, M., & Warner, B. R. (2020). Can interparty contact reduce affective polarization? A systematic test of different forms of intergroup contact. *Political Communication*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2020.1760406>

Yan, H. Y., Yang, K. C., Menczer, F., & ... (2021). Asymmetrical perceptions of partisan political bots. *New Media & ...* <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444820942744>

Zahariadis, N. (2025). Setting the agenda on agenda setting: definitions, concepts, and controversies. *Handbook of public policy agenda setting*.
<https://www.elgaronline.com/edcollchap/book/9781035318513/chapter1.xml>