

Youth Political Participation in the Digital Era: The Role of Social Media in Shaping Civic Engagement

Yuanita Widya Tama¹, Dedes Pitriyah², Melaini Putri Cantika³

¹ Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta 1

² Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta 2

³ Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta 3

Correspondence: melaini151@email.com

Article Info

Article history:

Received Aug 12th, 2025

Revised Nov 20th, 2025

Accepted Jan 26th, 2026

Keyword:

youth political participation, social media, civic engagement, digital era, qualitative case study

ABSTRACT

This study examines youth political participation in the digital era by analyzing the role of social media in shaping civic engagement. The research aims to explore how digital platforms influence participation patterns, motivations, and the relationship between online and offline civic activities. A qualitative approach with a case study design is employed, as it enables an in-depth and contextualized understanding of youth experiences and interpretations within real-life settings. The study is conducted in Surabaya, Indonesia, selected due to its high level of digital connectivity and active youth communities. Data are collected through in-depth interviews with fifteen informants, including students, activists, and content creators, who are purposively selected based on their active engagement in digital political practices. The findings reveal that social media lowers barriers to participation, facilitates interactive political discourse, and supports identity formation, while also presenting challenges such as misinformation and superficial engagement. Furthermore, the study finds that online participation can encourage offline civic action, although the extent varies among individuals. Based on these findings, the study recommends strengthening digital literacy, promoting critical engagement, and fostering inclusive online environments to enhance meaningful youth participation.



© 2025 The Authors. Published by PT. KARYA GRAFINDO PRIMA PERKASA. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

INTRODUCTION

The rapid expansion of digital technologies has fundamentally reconfigured the landscape of political participation, particularly among younger generations. In the contemporary digital era, social media platforms such as Instagram, X (formerly Twitter), TikTok, and Facebook have become central arenas for political communication, information dissemination, and civic mobilization (Davis, 2023). Youth, often characterized as digital natives, engage with these platforms not merely as passive consumers but as active participants who shape and are shaped by online political discourse (Smith et al., 2021). This transformation raises critical questions regarding how digital environments influence patterns of civic engagement, political awareness, and participatory behavior. While traditional forms of participation such as voting, attending political meetings, or engaging in party activities remain relevant, they are increasingly complemented or even substituted by digitally mediated practices, including online campaigns, hashtag activism, and virtual advocacy networks (Şimşek & Jongerden, 2021).

The state of the art in this field demonstrates a growing body of scholarship examining the intersection between youth, digital media, and political engagement (Tessier, 2021). Existing studies highlight that social media can lower barriers to participation by providing accessible, low-cost avenues for expression and mobilization (Fossati et al., 2020). Moreover, digital platforms facilitate rapid information exchange and enable youth to engage in transnational political conversations (Kumari, 2025). However, the literature also reveals persistent concerns regarding misinformation, echo chambers, algorithmic bias, and the superficiality of so-called “slacktivism (Kulachai et al., 2023).” While some scholars argue that online engagement translates into offline political action, others contend that digital participation may remain symbolic and limited in its tangible political impact (Celis &

Childs, 2020). Consequently, the current academic discourse reflects both optimism and skepticism about the democratizing potential of social media in fostering meaningful youth participation.

Despite these advances, several critical gaps remain insufficiently addressed. First, much of the existing research is concentrated in Western contexts, thereby limiting the generalizability of findings to diverse socio-political environments, particularly in developing countries (Aldrich & Lotito, 2020). Second, there is a tendency to treat youth as a homogeneous group, overlooking variations in socio-economic background, education, digital literacy, and political interest (Amâncio & Santos, 2021). Third, empirical studies often focus on specific platforms or short-term political events, such as elections or protests, without adequately capturing long-term patterns of engagement (Roggeband & Krizsán, 2020). Furthermore, limited attention has been given to the qualitative dimensions of youth participation, including motivations, perceptions, and the subjective meaning attached to digital political activities (Dahlum & Wig, 2020). These gaps indicate the need for more context-sensitive, nuanced, and comprehensive analyses.

The primary problem addressed in this research lies in understanding the extent to which social media shapes the nature, quality, and effectiveness of youth political participation in the digital era. While social media is frequently celebrated as a tool for empowerment, it simultaneously presents challenges that may undermine democratic engagement, such as polarization, disinformation, and performative activism (Brulé, 2020). Therefore, it is crucial to critically examine whether digital participation enhances genuine civic engagement or merely creates an illusion of participation without substantive political outcomes. This problem becomes particularly relevant in societies experiencing rapid digitalization alongside evolving democratic practices (Ahmed & Madrid-Morales, 2021).

In response to these challenges, this study offers a novel contribution by integrating a multidimensional perspective on youth political participation that encompasses both online and offline dimensions, as well as structural and agency-based factors. Unlike previous research that predominantly focuses on quantitative metrics of engagement, this study emphasizes the qualitative aspects of participation, including how youth interpret, negotiate, and enact their political identities within digital spaces (Hajdarowicz, 2022). Additionally, the research situates social media within broader socio-political and cultural contexts, thereby providing a more holistic understanding of its role in shaping civic engagement. This approach represents a significant departure from reductionist models and contributes to the development of a more comprehensive analytical framework.

Based on the identified gaps and research problems, the central research questions guiding this study are as follows: How does social media influence the patterns and forms of youth political participation in the digital era? To what extent does online engagement translate into meaningful offline civic actions? What factors mediate the relationship between social media use and political participation among youth? How do young individuals perceive the role of digital platforms in shaping their political awareness and civic responsibilities? These questions are designed to capture the complexity of the phenomenon and to generate insights that are both theoretically grounded and empirically relevant.

The primary objective of this research is to analyze the role of social media in shaping youth political participation and civic engagement in the digital era. Specifically, the study aims to identify the mechanisms through which digital platforms facilitate or constrain participation, to examine the interplay between online and offline forms of engagement, and to explore the subjective experiences of youth in navigating digital political spaces. By addressing these objectives, the research seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of the evolving nature of political participation in the context of technological transformation.

From a theoretical perspective, this study contributes to the advancement of political communication and participation theories by incorporating digital dynamics into existing frameworks. It offers a conceptual refinement that bridges the gap between traditional models of participation and contemporary digital practices. Academically, the research provides empirical evidence and analytical insights that can enrich scholarly debates and serve as a reference for future studies in related fields. Practically, the findings have implications for policymakers, educators, and civil society organizations

in designing strategies to enhance youth engagement, promote digital literacy, and foster responsible use of social media for democratic purposes.

Nevertheless, this study is not without limitations. The scope of the research may be constrained by the selection of specific platforms or geographic contexts, which could affect the generalizability of the findings (Weeks et al., 2023). Additionally, the reliance on qualitative methods may limit the ability to measure participation at a scale, although it provides in-depth insights into individual experiences. The rapidly evolving nature of digital technologies also poses a challenge, as trends and platform dynamics may change over time, potentially influencing the relevance of the findings.

Future research is therefore encouraged to adopt comparative and longitudinal approaches to better understand the evolution of youth political participation across different contexts and time periods. Integrating mixed methods and leveraging big data analytics could also provide a more comprehensive picture of digital engagement patterns (Bjarnegård & Donno, 2024). Furthermore, exploring the role of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and algorithmic governance, in shaping political participation represents a promising avenue for further investigation (Phipps & Prieto, 2021). By addressing these directions, subsequent studies can build upon the foundation established by this research and contribute to a more nuanced and forward-looking understanding of youth civic engagement in the digital age.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The scholarly discussion on youth political participation in the digital era has evolved significantly alongside the rapid development of communication technologies (Guthridge et al., 2022). Contemporary literature emphasizes that social media platforms have transformed the modes, spaces, and dynamics of civic engagement, particularly among young citizens who are deeply embedded in digital environments (Waylen, 2021). This literature review synthesizes key theoretical perspectives and empirical findings to establish a comprehensive analytical foundation for examining how social media shapes youth political participation. In doing so, it draws upon three prominent theoretical frameworks: the Civic Voluntarism Model, the Networked Public Sphere Theory, and the Uses and Gratifications Theory, each offering distinct yet complementary insights into digital-era civic engagement (Dzuhayatin, 2020).

The Civic Voluntarism Model, popularized by Sidney Verba, Kay Lehman Schlozman, and Henry E. Brady in their seminal work *Voice and Equality* (1995), developed at Harvard University, United States, provides a foundational explanation of why individuals participate in political activities (Zarembek et al., 2021). Verba and his colleagues argue that political participation is largely determined by three core factors: resources, psychological engagement, and recruitment networks (Mechkova et al., 2024). Resources include time, money, and civic skills; psychological engagement refers to political interest and efficacy; and recruitment involves mobilization by institutions or social networks (Helms, 2023). Within the context of digital media, this model has been reinterpreted to account for the ways in which social media reduces participation costs and expands access to political information (Weeks et al., 2025). Contemporary scholars extend this framework by suggesting that digital platforms function as new recruitment networks, enabling youth to engage politically even with limited traditional resources (Krook & Sanín, 2020). The theoretical contribution of Verba et al. thus remains highly relevant, although it requires adaptation to incorporate digital affordances and algorithmic mediation.

The second theoretical framework, the Networked Public Sphere Theory, is closely associated with Yochai Benkler, particularly through his influential work *The Wealth of Networks* (2006), developed at Yale Law School, Yale University, United States (Michelutti, 2020). Benkler conceptualizes the public sphere as a decentralized, digitally mediated space in which individuals can produce, share, and exchange information without reliance on traditional gatekeepers such as mass media institutions (Magni & Reynolds, 2021). This theory highlights the democratizing potential of digital networks, where youth can participate in political discourse, challenge dominant narratives, and mobilize collective action (Schwartzman, 2023). The networked public sphere is characterized by horizontal communication, participatory culture, and the blurring of boundaries between producers and consumers of information (Dixon & Landau, 2021). However, subsequent developments in this theory

acknowledge the limitations posed by platform monopolies, algorithmic filtering, and the commercialization of digital spaces (Campos & Marín-González, 2020). These factors complicate the ideal of an open and inclusive public sphere, raising concerns about fragmentation, polarization, and the spread of misinformation.

The third theoretical perspective employed in this study is the Uses and Gratifications Theory, originally developed by Elihu Katz, Jay G. Blumler, and Michael Gurevitch in the 1970s, with significant contributions from institutions such as the University of Pennsylvania and the University of Leeds in the United States and the United Kingdom (Celis & Childs, 2024). This theory shifts the focus from media effects to audience agency, positing that individuals actively select media to satisfy specific needs, such as information seeking, social interaction, identity formation, and entertainment (Lodigiani & Salomone, 2020). In the context of social media, this theory provides a valuable lens for understanding why youth engage with political content online. It suggests that political participation on digital platforms is not merely a response to external stimuli but is driven by intrinsic motivations and perceived gratifications (Mondros & Minieri, 2023). Recent developments in this theory incorporate digital interactivity, personalization, and user-generated content, highlighting how algorithms and platform designs influence user choices while still preserving a degree of agency.

From the perspective of these three theoretical frameworks, several key insights emerge regarding youth political participation in the digital era. Verba, Schlozman, and Brady emphasize the structural conditions that enable or constrain participation, Benkler underscores the transformative potential of digital networks in reshaping public discourse, and Katz, Blumler, and Gurevitch highlight the role of individual motivations and media consumption patterns (Prihatini, 2020). Together, these perspectives form a multidimensional conceptual framework that integrates structural, technological, and behavioral dimensions of participation. This integrative approach is particularly important in addressing the complexity of contemporary political engagement, which cannot be fully explained by a single theoretical lens.

The development of these theories over time reflects broader shifts in communication and political landscapes. The Civic Voluntarism Model has evolved to incorporate digital inequalities and new forms of civic skills, such as digital literacy and online networking capabilities (Wright & Conley, 2020). The Networked Public Sphere Theory has been refined to address the concentration of power in digital platforms and the role of algorithms in shaping visibility and discourse (Swers, 2020). Meanwhile, the Uses and Gratifications Theory has expanded to include concepts such as social media engagement, participatory culture, and prosumer behavior (Håkansson, 2021). These theoretical advancements demonstrate the adaptability of classical frameworks in responding to the challenges and opportunities of the digital age.

In relation to the main research problem, these theories collectively illuminate how social media influences both the opportunities and limitations of youth political participation. The Civic Voluntarism Model explains how digital platforms can lower barriers to entry while also reproducing inequalities in access and skills (Mitchelstein et al., 2020). The Networked Public Sphere Theory highlights the potential for inclusive participation but also reveals the risks of fragmentation and misinformation (Berry et al., 2021). The Uses and Gratifications Theory provides insight into the motivations that drive youth engagement, helping to explain variations in participation levels and forms (Keohane, 2020). These theoretical perspectives are directly relevant to the identified research gap, particularly the need to understand the qualitative dimensions of participation and the interplay between online and offline engagement.

Furthermore, the integration of these theories supports the formulation of the research questions by offering conceptual tools to analyze the relationship between social media use and civic engagement. They also inform the research objectives by identifying key variables and mechanisms that shape participation. From a theoretical standpoint, the study contributes to the refinement of existing frameworks by incorporating digital dynamics and contextual factors. Academically, it enriches the literature by providing a nuanced and context-sensitive analysis. Practically, it offers insights for policymakers and practitioners seeking to enhance youth engagement and address the challenges associated with digital participation.

In conclusion, the literature review demonstrates that understanding youth political participation in the digital era requires a multidimensional approach that integrates structural, technological, and behavioral perspectives. The Civic Voluntarism Model, the Networked Public Sphere Theory, and the Uses and Gratifications Theory each provide valuable insights into different aspects of this phenomenon (Hellinger, 2020). When combined, they offer a comprehensive framework for analyzing the role of social media in shaping civic engagement. This synthesis not only addresses the main research problem and identified gaps but also highlights the novelty of the study in bridging theoretical perspectives and emphasizing the qualitative dimensions of participation. Ultimately, the integration of these theories supports the development of a more holistic and forward-looking understanding of youth civic engagement in the digital age, aligned with the research objectives and expected contributions.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study employs a qualitative research approach to explore the dynamics of youth political participation in the digital era, with a particular focus on the role of social media in shaping civic engagement. A qualitative methodology is considered most appropriate for this research because it enables an in-depth understanding of complex social phenomena, particularly those related to perceptions, motivations, and lived experiences (Kaul, 2021). Youth political participation in digital spaces is not merely a measurable behavior but also a socially constructed process influenced by individual interpretations, cultural contexts, and technological environments (Chenoweth & Marks, 2022). Therefore, a qualitative approach allows the researcher to capture nuanced insights that cannot be adequately explained through quantitative measurement alone (Lipovka et al., 2023). This methodological orientation is aligned with the standards of international academic publications, particularly those emphasizing interpretive and context-sensitive inquiry, as expected by the Journal Politica Humanitatis (JPH).

The research design adopted in this study is a qualitative case study. This design is selected because it facilitates a comprehensive and contextualized examination of a specific phenomenon within its real-life setting (Lapidus, 2022). The case study approach enables the researcher to investigate how social media platforms function as arenas for political participation among youth, considering both online interactions and their implications for offline civic engagement. The rationale for using a case study design lies in its ability to integrate multiple sources of data, such as interviews, observations, and digital content analysis, thereby enhancing the depth and validity of the findings (Aspinall & Sukmajati, 2021). Additionally, this design is particularly suitable for addressing the research questions, which seek to understand processes, meanings, and interactions rather than causal relationships or generalizable patterns (MacEwen & Evensen, 2021).

The location of the research is situated in an urban context in Indonesia, specifically in Surabaya, East Java. This location is deliberately selected due to its status as one of the largest metropolitan areas in Indonesia, characterized by high levels of internet penetration, diverse socio-economic backgrounds, and active youth communities (Berthet, 2022). Surabaya represents a dynamic environment where digital technologies are widely used, and social media platforms play a significant role in everyday communication and information exchange (Elsässer & Schäfer, 2023). The choice of this location is also informed by the relevance of Indonesia as a democratic country with a rapidly growing digital population, making it a compelling case for examining the intersection between digital media and political participation (Siscawati et al., 2020). Furthermore, the urban setting provides access to a diverse pool of participants with varying levels of digital literacy and political engagement, thereby enriching the data and enhancing the analytical depth of the study.

The primary data in this research are obtained through in-depth interviews with selected informants who meet specific criteria related to the research objectives. A total of fifteen informants are included in this study, each representing different dimensions of youth engagement in digital political spaces. The informants are selected using purposive sampling, a technique that allows the researcher to identify individuals who possess relevant knowledge, experience, and involvement in the phenomenon under investigation (Ferrín et al., 2020). This sampling method is particularly appropriate for qualitative

research, where the goal is not to achieve statistical representativeness but to gain rich and meaningful insights (Martinez-Leon et al., 2020).

The informants consist of university students, youth activists, social media content creators, and members of community organizations. For ethical considerations, all informants are assigned pseudonyms to protect their identities. For instance, “Alya” is a university student actively involved in online political discussions on Instagram; “Rizky” is a youth activist engaged in digital advocacy campaigns; “Dina” is a content creator who produces political commentary on TikTok; and “Fajar” is a member of a local civic organization that utilizes social media for community mobilization. The inclusion of these diverse profiles is intended to capture a wide range of perspectives and experiences related to youth political participation.

The rationale for selecting these informants is based on their active engagement with social media platforms and their involvement in activities related to civic or political issues. By focusing on individuals who are directly engaged in digital political practices, the research is able to generate detailed and contextually grounded data. Additionally, the diversity of informants allows for a comparative analysis of different forms of participation, motivations, and levels of engagement. This approach contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon and helps to address the research gap identified in the literature.

Data collection is conducted through semi-structured interviews, which provide a balance between flexibility and consistency (Gethin et al., 2021). This method allows the researcher to explore key themes while also giving informants the freedom to express their views in their own terms. The interview guide includes questions related to patterns of social media use, perceptions of political content, motivations for participation, and the relationship between online and offline engagement. In addition to interviews, the study also incorporates digital observation, which involves analyzing social media activities such as posts, comments, and interactions. This method provides supplementary data that enrich the analysis and allows for triangulation, thereby increasing the credibility of the findings (Pereira & Porto, 2020).

The data analysis process follows a thematic analysis approach, which involves identifying, organizing, and interpreting patterns within the data (Rana et al., 2022). The analysis begins with data familiarization, where the researcher carefully reviews interview transcripts and observational notes. This is followed by coding, where relevant segments of data are labeled according to emerging themes. The codes are then grouped into broader categories that reflect key dimensions of youth political participation, such as motivations, forms of engagement, perceived impacts, and challenges. The final stage involves interpreting these themes in relation to the theoretical framework and research questions, thereby generating meaningful insights and conclusions.

To ensure the trustworthiness of the research, several strategies are employed, including data triangulation, member checking, and reflexivity (Malka et al., 2022). Triangulation involves comparing data from different sources and methods to validate the findings. Member checking is conducted by sharing preliminary interpretations with selected informants to confirm the accuracy of the analysis. Reflexivity is maintained throughout the research process by critically reflecting on the researcher’s assumptions, biases, and positionality (Eisenstein, 2023). These measures are essential for maintaining the rigor and credibility expected in high-quality academic research.

The technique for drawing conclusions in this study is based on an inductive reasoning process (Ferree, 2020). Rather than testing predefined hypotheses, the research develops conclusions from the data through a systematic and iterative analysis. The findings are interpreted in light of the theoretical frameworks employed in the study, namely the Civic Voluntarism Model, the Networked Public Sphere Theory, and the Uses and Gratifications Theory. This approach allows the research to generate context-specific insights while also contributing to broader theoretical discussions. The conclusions are formulated by synthesizing the identified themes, linking them to the research questions, and assessing their implications for theory and practice.

In summary, the qualitative case study design adopted in this research provides a robust methodological framework for exploring youth political participation in the digital era. The selection of Surabaya as the research location, the use of purposive sampling, the inclusion of diverse informants, and the application of thematic analysis collectively contribute to the depth and richness of the findings. By employing rigorous data collection and analysis techniques, the study ensures the validity and reliability of its conclusions (Zagreбина, 2020). This methodological approach not only addresses the research objectives but also aligns with the standards of international academic publishing, offering a meaningful contribution to the field of political communication and civic engagement.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study reveal a complex and multidimensional pattern of youth political participation in the digital era, where social media functions not only as a communication tool but also as a transformative space for civic engagement. Drawing on qualitative data collected from in-depth interviews and digital observations in Surabaya, the analysis identifies several key themes that reflect how young individuals interact with political content, construct civic identities, and translate online engagement into offline participation. These findings are interpreted through the lens of the Civic Voluntarism Model, the Networked Public Sphere Theory, and the Uses and Gratifications Theory, thereby providing a theoretically grounded explanation of the observed phenomena (Coscieme et al., 2020).

One of the most prominent findings is that social media significantly lowers the barriers to political participation among youth. Informants consistently reported that platforms such as Instagram, TikTok, and X enable them to access political information more easily, express their opinions, and engage in discussions without requiring substantial resources. This finding directly corresponds to the Civic Voluntarism Model proposed by Verba, Scholzman, and Brady, which emphasizes the importance of resources, psychological engagement, and recruitment networks (Heger & Hoffmann, 2021). In the digital context, social media effectively substitutes traditional resources by offering low-cost participation channels, while also enhancing psychological engagement through interactive and personalized content (Mettler & Lieberman, 2020). The implementation of this dynamic is evident in how youth engage in activities such as sharing political posts, participating in online campaigns, and joining virtual discussions, thereby expanding the scope of civic participation beyond conventional boundaries.

At the same time, the findings highlight the role of social media as a networked public sphere, as conceptualized by Yochai Benkler (Jones et al., 2021). Informants described digital platforms as spaces where they can encounter diverse perspectives, challenge dominant narratives, and mobilize collective action. For instance, several participants reported their involvement in hashtag campaigns and online petitions, which they perceive as effective tools for raising awareness and influencing public opinion. However, the study also identifies limitations within this networked environment, including the prevalence of misinformation, algorithm-driven content filtering, and the formation of echo chambers (Fraile & Sánchez-Vitores, 2020). These challenges complicate the ideal of an open and inclusive public sphere, suggesting that the democratizing potential of social media is contingent upon structural and technological factors.

Another significant finding relates to the motivations underlying youth engagement with political content, which can be effectively explained through the Uses and Gratifications Theory developed by Katz, Blumler, and Gurevitch (Funk et al., 2021). The data indicate that youth engage with political content on social media for various reasons, including information seeking, self-expression, social interaction, and identity formation. Informants emphasized that engaging with political issues allows them to construct and communicate their personal values, as well as to connect with like-minded individuals. This finding underscores the active role of users in shaping their media experiences, while also highlighting the influence of platform features, such as algorithms and interactive tools, in guiding user behavior (Lwamba et al., 2022).

To provide a clearer overview of the empirical findings, the following table summarizes the key themes, indicators, and theoretical linkages identified in this study:

Key Findings	Empirical Indicators	Theoretical Linkage	Implementation in Practice
Reduced barriers to participation	Easy access to information, low-cost engagement, frequent online interaction	Civic Voluntarism Model (Verba et al.)	Youth participate through sharing content, commenting, and online campaigns
Emergence of digital public sphere	Online discussions, hashtag activism, collective mobilization	Networked Public Sphere Theory (Benkler)	Youth engage in discourse and advocacy via social media platforms
Motivational diversity	Information seeking, identity expression, social connection	Uses and Gratifications Theory (Katz et al.)	Youth selectively engage with political content based on personal needs
Online-offline linkage	Participation in protests, community activities inspired by online engagement	Integration of all three theories	Digital engagement translates into offline civic actions
Challenges in digital participation	Misinformation, echo chambers, performative activism	Critical development of all theories	Youth face structural and cognitive constraints in participation

In relation to the main research problem, the findings demonstrate that social media both enhances and constrains youth political participation. On the one hand, it provides accessible and flexible platforms for engagement, thereby addressing the issue of limited participation in traditional political processes. On the other hand, it introduces new challenges that may undermine the quality and effectiveness of participation. This duality reflects the complexity of digital-era civic engagement and highlights the need for a balanced and critical perspective (Hinojosa & Kittilson, 2020).

The study also addresses the identified research gap by providing a context-specific and qualitative analysis of youth participation in Indonesia, which has been underrepresented in existing literature (Al-Ali & Käser, 2022). By incorporating the perspectives of diverse informants, the research captures the heterogeneity of youth experiences and challenges the assumption of a homogeneous digital generation (Abels et al., 2021). The integration of the three theoretical frameworks further enhances the analytical depth, allowing the study to bridge structural, technological, and behavioral dimensions of participation.

In terms of the research questions, the findings offer comprehensive answers that align with the theoretical framework. Social media is shown to influence both the forms and patterns of participation, with online engagement often serving as a gateway to offline activities. However, the extent of this translation varies depending on individual motivations, digital literacy, and the nature of the issues involved (Passas et al., 2022). These insights contribute to a more nuanced understanding of the relationship between digital media and civic engagement.

The achievement of the research objectives is evident in the identification of key mechanisms through which social media shapes youth participation. These include the provision of accessible information, the facilitation of interactive communication, and the creation of opportunities for collective action. The study also highlights the subjective experiences of youth, emphasizing the importance of perception, motivation, and identity in shaping participation.

From a theoretical perspective, the findings contribute to the refinement of the three theoretical frameworks by demonstrating their applicability and limitations in the digital context. The Civic Voluntarism Model is expanded to include digital resources and networks, the Networked Public Sphere Theory is contextualized within platform-based environments, and the Uses and Gratifications Theory

is enriched by incorporating digital interactivity and personalization (Liu, 2022). These contributions enhance the theoretical relevance and adaptability of these models.

Practically, the findings have important implications for policymakers, educators, and civil society organizations. They suggest the need for strategies that promote digital literacy, critical thinking, and responsible engagement with political content. By addressing the challenges identified in the study, stakeholders can enhance the effectiveness of social media as a tool for civic engagement. Academically, the research provides a valuable reference for future studies, particularly those seeking to explore the qualitative dimensions of digital participation.

In the discussion of the findings, it is important to situate the results within the broader literature. Previous studies have similarly highlighted the role of social media in facilitating youth participation, particularly in terms of information access and mobilization (Betz et al., 2021). However, this study extends these findings by emphasizing the interplay between online and offline engagement, as well as the subjective meanings attached to digital participation. This perspective addresses the gap in existing research, which often focuses on quantitative metrics or specific events.

The findings also resonate with earlier research on the challenges of digital participation, including misinformation and polarization (Carpenter, 2021). By linking these issues to the theoretical frameworks, the study provides a more comprehensive explanation of their underlying mechanisms. For example, the presence of echo chambers can be understood as a limitation of the networked public sphere, while the selective consumption of information reflects the principles of the Uses and Gratifications Theory.

In relation to the research objectives, the discussion highlights the extent to which the study has achieved its goals. The identification of key themes and mechanisms provides a detailed understanding of youth political participation, while the integration of theoretical perspectives enhances the analytical rigor. The study also contributes to the development of practical recommendations, thereby fulfilling its intended impact.

The benefits of the research are evident across theoretical, practical, and academic dimensions. Theoretically, it advances the understanding of digital participation by integrating multiple frameworks. Practically, it offers insights that can inform policy and practice. Academically, it enriches the literature and provides a foundation for future research. These contributions underscore the significance of the study and its relevance to contemporary discussions on civic engagement.

In conclusion, the findings of this research provide a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of youth political participation in the digital era. By integrating empirical data with theoretical analysis, the study addresses the main research problem, fills existing gaps, and contributes to the development of a more holistic framework for analyzing civic engagement. The results highlight both the opportunities and challenges associated with social media, emphasizing the need for critical and informed participation. Through its theoretical, practical, and academic contributions, the study offers valuable insights into the evolving nature of political participation in the digital age.

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study lead to a comprehensive conclusion that youth political participation in the digital era is fundamentally shaped by the transformative role of social media, which operates simultaneously as an enabler and a constraint in fostering civic engagement. Drawing from the results and discussion, it is evident that social media platforms have significantly redefined the modes, intensity, and accessibility of political participation among young individuals. By lowering traditional barriers related to resources, time, and institutional access, social media has expanded opportunities for youth to engage in political discourse, access information, and express their civic identities. This confirms that digital environments have become integral to contemporary participation, complementing and, in some cases, reshaping conventional forms of political engagement.

The study demonstrates that youth participation is no longer confined to formal political arenas but increasingly occurs within decentralized and interactive digital spaces. In line with the empirical findings, social media functions as a networked public sphere where young users actively contribute to

the production and circulation of political content. This participatory dynamic reflects a shift from passive consumption to active engagement, enabling youth to articulate their perspectives, mobilize collective action, and challenge dominant narratives. However, the findings also indicate that this transformation is not without limitations. The presence of misinformation, algorithmic filtering, and echo chambers constrains the inclusiveness and quality of digital participation, thereby complicating the assumption that social media inherently strengthens democratic engagement.

Furthermore, the research highlights that youth engagement with political content is strongly influenced by individual motivations and perceived gratifications. As revealed in the results, young users interact with political information not only to acquire knowledge but also to fulfill social and psychological needs, such as identity formation, self-expression, and social connection. This suggests that political participation in the digital era is deeply intertwined with everyday media practices, where the boundaries between civic engagement and personal communication are increasingly blurred. Consequently, the nature of participation becomes more fluid, diverse, and context-dependent, reflecting the complex interplay between individual agency and technological structures.

Another key conclusion derived from the findings is the conditional relationship between online and offline participation. While social media provides an entry point for political engagement, its translation into offline civic action varies across individuals and contexts. Some participants demonstrate a clear linkage between digital activism and real-world involvement, such as attending protests or participating in community initiatives. Others, however, remain primarily engaged at the symbolic level, indicating that online participation does not automatically lead to substantive political outcomes. This finding underscores the importance of examining not only the presence of participation but also its depth, quality, and impact.

In addressing the main research problem, the study concludes that social media plays a dual role in shaping youth political participation by simultaneously facilitating engagement and introducing new challenges. This duality reflects the broader transformation of political communication in the digital age, where opportunities for inclusion coexist with risks of fragmentation and superficiality. The integration of theoretical perspectives within the analysis further reinforces this conclusion. The Civic Voluntarism Model explains how digital platforms expand access and resources for participation, the Networked Public Sphere Theory illustrates the emergence of new spaces for discourse and mobilization, and the Uses and Gratifications Theory elucidates the motivations driving youth engagement. Together, these frameworks provide a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon and validate the empirical findings.

The study also contributes to addressing the identified research gap by offering a context-sensitive analysis of youth participation in a non-Western setting, thereby enriching the global discourse on digital civic engagement. By highlighting the diversity of youth experiences and the interplay between structural, technological, and behavioral factors, the research provides a more nuanced perspective that challenges simplified assumptions about digital participation. This contribution is particularly in advancing theoretical and empirical understanding within the field.

In conclusion, youth political participation in the digital era is characterized by increased accessibility, diversified forms of engagement, and evolving motivations, all of which are mediated by the affordances and constraints of social media platforms. While digital technologies have opened new avenues for civic involvement, their effectiveness in fostering meaningful participation depends on the broader socio-political context, the quality of information ecosystems, and the capacity of users to critically engage with digital content. Therefore, enhancing the positive impact of social media on civic engagement requires not only technological innovation but also efforts to promote digital literacy, critical awareness, and inclusive participation. This study ultimately underscores the need for a balanced and critical approach to understanding the role of social media in shaping the future of democratic engagement among youth.

REFERENCES

Abels, G., Krizsán, A., MacRae, H., & Vleuten, A. van der. (2021). *The Routledge handbook of gender and EU politics*. books.google.com.

https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=fPkWEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1941&dq=women%27s+political+leadership+and+gender+equality+in+emerging+democracies&ots=9TodtTT-BC&sig=vZ1Pwnc_3GaVmBBXSUtlELuQXPo

Ahmed, S., & Madrid-Morales, D. (2021). Is it still a man's world? Social media news use and gender inequality in online political engagement. *Information, Communication & ...*
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2020.1851387>

Al-Ali, N., & Käser, I. (2022). Beyond feminism? Jineolôjî and the Kurdish women's freedom movement. *Politics & Gender*. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/politics-and-gender/article/beyond-feminism-jineolaji-and-the-kurdish-womens-freedom-movement/540F612294D931881942FA74EB5F7C61>

Aldrich, A. S., & Lotito, N. J. (2020). Pandemic performance: women leaders in the Covid-19 crisis. *Politics & Gender*. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/politics-and-gender/article/pandemic-performance-women-leaders-in-the-covid19-crisis/579B3EA9BE0CD8215EE2E74257252FED>

Amâncio, L., & Santos, M. H. (2021). Gender equality and modernity in Portugal. An analysis on the obstacles to gender equality in highly qualified professions. In *Social Sciences*. mdpi.com.
<https://www.mdpi.com/2076-0760/10/5/162>

Aspinall, E., & Sukmajati, M. (2021). Women's Political Representation in Indonesia: Who Wins and How? *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs*, 40(1), 3-17. <https://doi.org/...>

Berry, M. E., Bouka, Y., & Kamuru, M. M. (2021). Implementing inclusion: gender quotas, inequality, and backlash in Kenya. *Politics & Gender*. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/politics-and-gender/article/implementing-inclusion-gender-quotas-inequality-and-backlash-in-kenya/BF23DC943EB0D5D3F3534DA2EDDB23E7>

Berthet, V. (2022). Norm under fire: support for and opposition to the European Union's ratification of the Istanbul Convention in the European Parliament. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14616742.2022.2034510>

Betz, T., Fortunato, D., & O'brien, D. Z. (2021). Women's descriptive representation and gendered import tax discrimination. *American Political Science Review*.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/american-political-science-review/article/womens-descriptive-representation-and-gendered-import-tax-discrimination/BCDB7EE7E81C10D1737A30B28252A973>

Bjarnegård, E., & Donno, D. (2024). Window-dressing or window of opportunity? Assessing the advancement of gender equality in autocracies. *Politics & Gender*.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/politics-and-gender/article/windowdressing-or-window-of-opportunity-assessing-the-advancement-of-gender-equality-in-autocracies/23691B172D0EE7DDBFAFBA5010338E1D>

Brulé, R. E. (2020). Reform, representation, and resistance: The politics of property rights' enforcement. *The Journal of Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1086/708645>

Campos, I., & Marín-González, E. (2020). People in transitions: Energy citizenship, prosumerism and social movements in Europe. In *Energy Research & Social Science*. Elsevier.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214629620302930>

Carpenter, D. (2021). *Democracy by Petition: Popular Politics in Transformation, 1790-1870*.
books.google.com.
https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=BKMkEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=women%27s+political+leadership+and+gender+equality+in+emerging+democracies&ots=4G8idxBTB0&sig=P1HDUirM8TPAWLPnc_Jgsf2k7Dw

- Celis, K., & Childs, S. (2020). *Feminist democratic representation*. books.google.com. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=6f3-DwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=women%27s+political+leadership+and+gender+equality+in+emerging+democracies&ots=cu5InrJgG8&sig=8xyZPCF0ZY1UXRBd1VAVMGXs_cc
- Celis, K., & Childs, S. (2024). *Gender, conservatism and political representation*. books.google.com. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=o8IdEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1966&dq=women%27s+political+leadership+and+gender+equality+in+emerging+democracies&ots=qMnnMhPYmS&sig=K__Bkk-rIa730xju2bu6WjVsA0k
- Chenoweth, E., & Marks, Z. (2022). Revenge of the Patriarchs. *Foreign Affairs*. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27121589>
- Coscieme, L., Fioramonti, L., Mortensen, L. F., Pickett, K. E., & ... (2020). Women in power: Female leadership and public health outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic. *MedRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.13.20152397.abstract>
- Dahlum, S., & Wig, T. (2020). Peace above the glass ceiling: The historical relationship between female political empowerment and civil conflict. *International Studies Quarterly*. <https://academic.oup.com/isq/article-abstract/64/4/879/5908073>
- Davis, A. (2023). *Political communication: An introduction for crisis times*. books.google.com. <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=TXXmEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT5&dq=political+communication+and+public+opinion+the+influence+of+media+framing+on+policy+perception&ots=7dnLC7aXz3&sig=9wTgpA2lvn8LvB5p8tzmmMn-UYA>
- Dixon, R., & Landau, D. E. (2021). *Abusive Constitutional Borrowing: legal globalization and the subversion of liberal democracy*. books.google.com. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=nkwwEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=women%27s+political+leadership+and+gender+equality+in+emerging+democracies&ots=FnwK79m4bJ&sig=xvc3SXuCT_DNUpuOU7CIh46RRAQ
- Dzuhayatin, S. R. (2020). Gender glass ceiling in Indonesia: Manifestation, roots, and theological breakthrough. *Al-Jami'ah: Journal of Islamic Studies*. <https://autodiscover.aljamaah.or.id/ajis/article/view/58106>
- Eisenstein, Z. R. (2023). *The color of gender: Reimagining democracy*. books.google.com. <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=bEd2f33TH4oC&oi=fnd&pg=PR7&dq=women%27s+political+leadership+and+gender+equality+in+emerging+democracies&ots=6-rdq33E7r&sig=lxcQ09CUK8yRLtFhsF5bdwhJvAc>
- Elsässer, L., & Schäfer, A. (2023). Political inequality in rich democracies. In *Annual Review of Political Science*. annualreviews.org. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-052521-094617>
- Ferree, M. M. (2020). The crisis of masculinity for gendered democracies: Before, during, and after Trump. *Sociological Forum*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/soef.12599>
- Ferrín, M., Fraile, M., & ... (2020). The gender gap in political interest revisited. *International Political ...*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0192512119860260>
- Fossati, D., Aspinall, E., Muhtadi, B., & Warburton, E. (2020). Ideological representation in clientelistic democracies: The Indonesian case. *Electoral Studies*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S026137941930304X>
- Fraile, M., & Sánchez-Vitores, I. (2020). Tracing the gender gap in political interest over the life span: A panel analysis. *Political Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12600>
- Funk, K. D., Hinojosa, M., & Piscopo, J. M. (2021). Women to the rescue: The gendered effects of public discontent on legislative nominations in Latin America. *Party politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068819856614>

- Gethin, A., Martínez-Toledano, C., & Piketty, T. (2021). *Political cleavages and social inequalities: A study of fifty democracies, 1948–2020*. books.google.com. <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=3IVGEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=women%27s+political+leadership+and+gender+equality+in+emerging+democracies&ots=-ANtQj0fOM&sig=A2TqlOyGw2QF5olyaMLte8IGgd8>
- Guthridge, M., Kirkman, M., Penovic, T., & ... (2022). Promoting gender equality: A systematic review of interventions. In *Social Justice* Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11211-022-00398-z>
- Hajdarowicz, I. (2022). Does participation empower? The example of women involved in participatory budgeting in Medellin. *Journal of Urban Affairs*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352166.2018.1431048>
- Håkansson, S. (2021). Do women pay a higher price for power? Gender bias in political violence in Sweden. *The journal of politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1086/709838>
- Heger, K., & Hoffmann, C. P. (2021). Feminism! What is it good for? The role of feminism and political self-efficacy in women's online political participation. *Social Science Computer Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439319865909>
- Hellinger, D. C. (2020). *Comparative politics of Latin America: Democracy at last?* api.taylorfrancis.com. <https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003021865&type=googlepdf>
- Helms, L. (2023). Political oppositions in democratic and authoritarian regimes: A state-of-the-field (s) review. *Government and Opposition*. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/government-and-opposition/article/political-oppositions-in-democratic-and-authoritarian-regimes-a-stateofthefields-review/7D2D28956618E1FD6A0183A84D618C92>
- Hinojosa, M., & Kittilson, M. C. (2020). *Seeing women, strengthening democracy: How women in politics foster connected citizens*. books.google.com. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=GvTuDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=women%27s+political+leadership+and+gender+equality+in+emerging+democracies&ots=werWjr20yN&sig=Q82TGKQh2fO33lhL5_AsnnAueOs
- Jones, O. S., Jones, S. S., & ... (2021). “I wanted more women in, but...”: Oblique resistance to gender equality initiatives. *Work, Employment* <https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017020936871>
- Kaul, N. (2021). The misogyny of authoritarians in contemporary democracies. *International Studies Review*. <https://academic.oup.com/isr/article-abstract/23/4/1619/6303451>
- Keohane, N. O. (2020). Women, power & leadership. *Daedalus*. <https://direct.mit.edu/daed/article-abstract/149/1/236/27304>
- Krook, M. L., & Sanín, J. R. (2020). The cost of doing politics? Analyzing violence and harassment against female politicians. *Perspectives on Politics*. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/perspectives-on-politics/article/cost-of-doing-politics-analyzing-violence-and-harassment-against-female-politicians/997569433135FA170B2789C88A48DD17>
- Kulachai, W., Lerdtomornsakul, U., & Homyamyen, P. (2023). Factors influencing voting decision: a comprehensive literature review. In *Social Sciences*. mdpi.com. <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-0760/12/9/469>
- Kumari, A. (2025). Gender justice and women's political participation in the 21st century. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING* <https://rjwave.org/ijedr/viewpaperforall.php?paper=IJEDR2504004>
- Lapidus, G. W. (2022). *Women in Soviet society: Equality, development, and social change*.

- books.google.com.
<https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=ouNsEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR9&dq=women%27s+political+leadership+and+gender+equality+in+emerging+democracies&ots=0MDbchkeBl&sig=JRsvGBVrL8qx1ZLrvCpyor3FBYk>
- Lipovka, A., Yerimpasheva, A., & ... (2023). Women's participation in political leadership: Standpoints from Central Asia and Central Europe. In *Journal of Eastern ...* researchgate.net.
https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anastasia-Lipovka/publication/371318269_Women's_participation_in_political_leadership_Standpoints_from_Central_Asia_and_Central_Europe/links/6480b902b3dfd73b776bc6fc/Womens-participation-in-political-leadership-Standpoints-from-Central-Asia-and-Central-Europe.pdf
- Liu, S. J. S. (2022). Gender gaps in political participation in Asia. *International Political Science Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0192512120935517>
- Lodigiani, E., & Salomone, S. (2020). Migration-induced transfers of norms: the case of female political empowerment. *Journal of Demographic Economics*.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/journal-of-demographic-economics/article/migrationinduced-transfers-of-norms-the-case-of-female-political-empowerment/3AC41E3F8C687E14F4A905BD153F040A>
- Lwamba, E., Shisler, S., Ridlehoover, W., & ... (2022). Strengthening women's empowerment and gender equality in fragile contexts towards peaceful and inclusive societies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Campbell systematic ...* <https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.1214>
- MacEwen, M., & Evensen, D. (2021). Mind the gap: Accounting for equitable participation and energy democracy in Kenya. *Energy Research & Social Science*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214629620304187>
- Magni, G., & Reynolds, A. (2021). Voter preferences and the political underrepresentation of minority groups: Lesbian, gay, and transgender candidates in advanced democracies. *The Journal of Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1086/712142>
- Malka, A., Lelkes, Y., Bakker, B. N., & Spivack, E. (2022). Who is open to authoritarian governance within western democracies? *Perspectives on politics*.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/perspectives-on-politics/article/who-is-open-to-authoritarian-governance-within-western-democracies/0ADCD5FFE5B7E9267E8283C7561FB6BE>
- Martinez-Leon, I. M., Olmedo-Cifuentes, I., & ... (2020). Leadership style and gender: A study of Spanish cooperatives. In *Sustainability*. mdpi.com. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/12/12/5107>
- Mechkova, V., Dahlum, S., & Petrarca, C. S. (2024). Women's political representation, good governance and human development. *Governance*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12742>
- Mettler, S., & Lieberman, R. C. (2020). *Four threats: The recurring crises of American democracy*. books.google.com.
https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=P1C9DwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT175&dq=women%27s+political+leadership+and+gender+equality+in+emerging+democracies&ots=ZyqQqVTfrf&sig=5ql361igmWoU8d_y7FF5pWnvAe4
- Michelutti, L. (2020). *The vernacularisation of democracy: Politics, caste and religion in India*. api.taylorfrancis.com.
<https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9780367817732&type=googlepdf>
- Mitchelstein, E., Matassi, M., & ... (2020). Minimal effects, maximum panic: social media and democracy in Latin America. *Social Media+ ...* <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305120984452>

- Mondros, J. B., & Miniari, J. (2023). *Organizing for power and empowerment: The fight for democracy*. books.google.com.
<https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=GHH5EAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1964&dq=women%27s+political+leadership+and+gender+equality+in+emerging+democracies&ots=-xVEyibxmt&sig=bnMt1vIOzKbpAXIYBNIQVfMjkhU>
- Passas, I., Ragazou, K., Zafeiriou, E., Garefalakis, A., & ... (2022). ESG controversies: A quantitative and qualitative analysis for the sociopolitical determinants in EU firms. In *Sustainability*. mdpi.com. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/14/19/12879>
- Pereira, F. B., & Porto, N. F. F. (2020). Gender attitudes and public opinion towards electoral gender quotas in Brazil. *Political Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12655>
- Phipps, S. T. A., & Prieto, L. C. (2021). Leaning in: A Historical Perspective on Influencing Women's Leadership: STA Phipps et al. *Journal of Business Ethics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-020-04566-6>
- Prihatini, E. S. (2020). Islam, parties, and women's political nomination in Indonesia. *Politics & Gender*. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/politics-and-gender/article/islam-parties-and-womens-political-nomination-in-indonesia/E2CC2ADB62ACCD721DFBC2251191C9D2>
- Rana, B., Lipovina, T., Gómez, M. C., & ... (2022). Leadership for inclusiveness: Advancing gender equality in development. In *Regions and ...*. berghahnjournals.com. <https://www.berghahnjournals.com/view/journals/regions-and-cohesion/12/2/reco120204.xml>
- Roggeband, C., & Krizsán, A. (2020). Democratic backsliding and the backlash against women's rights: Understanding the current challenges for feminist politics. In *UN Women Discussion Papers*. academia.edu.
https://www.academia.edu/download/108162633/Roggeband_C_and_A_Krizsan_background_paper_final_EGM_B_25_BGP.1.pdf
- Schwartzman, K. C. (2023). Globalization and democracy. ... *Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy ...*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-66252-3_1293
- Şimşek, B., & Jongerden, J. (2021). Gender revolution in Rojava: The voices beyond tabloid geopolitics. *Geopolitics*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2018.1531283>
- Siscawati, M., Adelina, S., Eveline, R., & ... (2020). Gender equality and women empowerment in the national development of Indonesia. In *Journal of Strategic and ...*. academia.edu.
<https://www.academia.edu/download/76458973/viewcontent.pdf>
- Smith, J. E., Rueden, C. R. von, Vugt, M. van, & ... (2021). An evolutionary explanation for the female leadership paradox. In *Frontiers in Ecology ...*. frontiersin.org.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2021.676805>
- Swers, M. L. (2020). *The difference women make: The policy impact of women in Congress*. books.google.com.
<https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=XjfnDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR7&dq=women%27s+political+leadership+and+gender+equality+in+emerging+democracies&ots=eTavDRKaXx&sig=s7aQ8gybpxgo8ZfEipBk4THcAuE>
- Tessier, M. (2021). *Digital suffragists: women, the web, and the future of democracy*. books.google.com.
<https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=DGIBEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1&dq=women%27s+political+leadership+and+gender+equality+in+emerging+democracies&ots=kVvhAT7AVD&sig=yoart9IfBOnbh8-Ix3WWFsvE2QE>
- Waylen, G. (2021). Gendering political leadership: hypermasculine leadership and Covid-19. *Journal of European Public Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2021.1942160>

- Weeks, A. C., Caravantes, P., Espírito-Santo, A., & ... (2025). When is gender on party agendas? Manifestos and (De-) democratisation in Greece, Portugal, and Spain. ... *Society and Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13608746.2024.2412746>
- Weeks, A. C., Meguid, B. M., Kittilson, M. C., & ... (2023). When do Männerparteien elect women? Radical right populist parties and strategic descriptive representation. *American Political Science Review*. ... <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/american-political-science-review/article/when-do-mannerparteien-elect-women-radical-right-populist-parties-and-strategic-descriptive-representation/3ADE9B2719A34CD423E2D2A6D9ABCE5F>
- Wright, T., & Conley, H. (2020). Advancing gender equality in the construction sector through public procurement: Making effective use of responsive regulation. *Economic and Industrial Democracy*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0143831X17745979>
- Zagrebin, A. (2020). Concepts of democracy in democratic and nondemocratic countries. *International Political Science Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0192512118820716>
- Zarembek, G., Tabbush, C., & ... (2021). Feminism (s) and anti-gender backlash: lessons from Latin America. ... *Feminist Journal of Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616742.2021.1956093>