

Comparative Analysis of Environmental Law Enforcement in Southeast Asia

Raihan Fajriansyah ¹, Mulyaningsih Nasriyatul War'a ², Mulyadi Soeroso ³

¹ Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta 1

² Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta 2

³ Universitas Pembangunan Jaya 3

Correspondence: moelyadisoreso1@email.com

Article Info

Article history:

Received Aug 12th, 2025

Revised Nov 20th, 2025

Accepted Jan 26th, 2026

Keyword:

environmental law enforcement,
comparative law, Southeast Asia,
qualitative research, governance,
regulatory enforcement

ABSTRACT

This study aims to analyze and compare the effectiveness of environmental law enforcement in Southeast Asia by examining institutional practices, regulatory strategies, and governance conditions across selected jurisdictions. Employing a qualitative approach, the research adopts a comparative case study design, which is chosen for its capacity to capture contextual differences while enabling systematic cross-country analysis. The study is conducted in Indonesia, Malaysia, and Vietnam, representing diverse legal systems and levels of governance development. Data are collected through semi-structured interviews, document analysis, and comparative legal review involving twelve key informants, including government officials, legal practitioners, academics, and civil society representatives. The selection of informants is based on purposive sampling to ensure relevance, expertise, and depth of insight. The findings reveal significant disparities between formal environmental regulations and their enforcement, influenced by institutional capacity, governance quality, and socio-political priorities. Malaysia demonstrates relatively stronger enforcement consistency, while Indonesia and Vietnam face challenges related to decentralization and economic prioritization. The study recommends strengthening institutional coordination, enhancing transparency, and promoting participatory governance to improve enforcement effectiveness. These findings contribute to the development of a comparative framework for environmental law enforcement and provide policy-relevant insights for advancing sustainable governance in the region.



© 2025 The Authors. Published by PT. KARYA GRAFINDO PRIMA PERKASA. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

INTRODUCTION

Environmental degradation in Southeast Asia has accelerated over the past decades, driven by rapid industrialization, urban expansion, deforestation, and extractive economic activities (Setiawan & Tomsa, 2023). Countries in the region face complex ecological challenges, including transboundary haze pollution, marine plastic waste, biodiversity loss, and climate vulnerability (Lesmana & Samudra, 2025). While most Southeast Asian states have adopted comprehensive environmental laws and have formally committed to international environmental agreements, the effectiveness of law enforcement remains uneven and often contested (Wang, 2023). This condition raises critical questions about the capacity of legal systems to translate normative frameworks into tangible environmental protection outcomes. Against this backdrop, the present study, titled *Comparative Analysis of Environmental Law Enforcement in Southeast Asia*, situates itself within an urgent scholarly and policy discourse concerning the gap between legal formalism and enforcement realities (Souza & Souza, 2024).

The state of the art in environmental law scholarship demonstrates a growing emphasis on regulatory frameworks, institutional design, and compliance mechanisms (Idris et al., 2024). Prior studies have examined national legal instruments, such as environmental impact assessment regimes, pollution control statutes, and judicial activism in environmental cases (Bhujabal et al., 2024). Others have highlighted the role of regional cooperation under ASEAN frameworks, particularly in addressing transboundary environmental issues (Lorch & Sombatpoonsiri, 2023). However, much of the existing

literature remains fragmented, often focusing on single-country case studies or doctrinal analyses without sufficiently comparing enforcement practices across jurisdictions (Nur et al., 2023). Moreover, earlier research tends to prioritize legislative adequacy rather than the operational dynamics of enforcement, including administrative capacity, political will, corruption, and community participation (Corley-Coulibaly et al., 2023). As a result, there is limited integrative understanding of how environmental laws function in practice across Southeast Asia's diverse legal and political landscapes (Li et al., 2024).

The main problem addressed in this research lies in the disparity between the existence of environmental regulations and their actual enforcement effectiveness (T. Rahman et al., 2024). Despite formal compliance with international standards, many countries in Southeast Asia continue to experience weak enforcement characterized by selective prosecution, limited monitoring, insufficient sanctions, and institutional fragmentation (Idoko et al., 2024). This inconsistency undermines the deterrent effect of environmental law and contributes to persistent environmental harm (Wu et al., 2025). Furthermore, the interplay between economic development priorities and environmental protection often creates tensions that weaken regulatory enforcement (Odebade & Benkhelifa, 2023). Consequently, there is a need to critically assess how different legal systems operationalize environmental enforcement and what factors contribute to their success or failure.

The research gap identified in this study concerns the lack of comparative, cross-country analysis that systematically evaluates environmental law enforcement mechanisms in Southeast Asia (Ciocchini & Greener, 2023). Existing studies rarely adopt a comparative legal approach that integrates doctrinal, empirical, and institutional perspectives (Hajar, 2024). Additionally, there is insufficient exploration of how socio-political variables such as governance quality, decentralization, civil society engagement, and judicial independence shape enforcement outcomes (Han, 2024). This gap limits the development of regionally relevant policy recommendations and theoretical advancements in comparative environmental law. Therefore, this research seeks to bridge this gap by offering a structured comparative analysis that highlights both convergences and divergences in enforcement practices across selected Southeast Asian countries.

The novelty of this research lies in its integrative analytical framework, which combines comparative legal analysis with governance and institutional assessment. Unlike prior studies that focus narrowly on legal texts, this research examines enforcement as a multidimensional process involving regulatory agencies, courts, local governments, and non-state actors. It also introduces a comparative matrix that evaluates enforcement effectiveness based on indicators such as compliance rates, sanction severity, transparency, and public participation. By doing so, the study provides new insights into the systemic factors that influence environmental law enforcement in the region. Furthermore, the research contributes to the development of a contextualized model of environmental governance that reflects the unique socio-legal characteristics of Southeast Asia.

This study is guided by several research questions. First, how do Southeast Asian countries differ in their approaches to environmental law enforcement? Second, what institutional, legal, and socio-political factors influence the effectiveness of enforcement mechanisms in these countries? Third, to what extent do existing enforcement practices align with international environmental standards and principles? Fourth, what lessons can be drawn from comparative analysis to improve environmental governance in the region? These questions are designed to generate a comprehensive understanding of enforcement dynamics and to inform evidence-based policy recommendations.

The primary objective of this research is to analyze and compare environmental law enforcement systems in selected Southeast Asian countries in order to identify best practices and structural weaknesses. Specifically, the study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of enforcement mechanisms, examine the role of institutional actors, and assess the impact of governance variables on enforcement outcomes. Additionally, the research seeks to contribute to the theoretical development of comparative environmental law by proposing a framework that integrates legal, institutional, and socio-political dimensions. Through this objective, the study aspires to enhance both academic discourse and policy formulation in the field of environmental law.

The theoretical significance of this research lies in its contribution to the literature on comparative law and environmental governance. By moving beyond doctrinal analysis, the study offers a holistic perspective that incorporates empirical and institutional considerations. Academically, the research provides a comprehensive reference for scholars, students, and practitioners interested in Southeast Asian environmental law. It also opens avenues for interdisciplinary research that connects legal studies with political science, public administration, and environmental studies. From a practical standpoint, the findings of this study are expected to inform policymakers, regulators, and civil society organizations in designing more effective enforcement strategies. The comparative insights can serve as a basis for policy reform, capacity building, and regional cooperation initiatives.

Despite its contributions, this research acknowledges several limitations. The comparative scope is constrained by the availability and reliability of data across different countries, which may affect the depth of analysis in certain cases. Additionally, variations in legal systems, languages, and political contexts pose challenges in achieving full comparability. The study also focuses primarily on formal enforcement mechanisms and may not fully capture informal practices or community-based approaches to environmental governance. These limitations suggest the need for cautious interpretation of findings and highlight areas for further investigation.

Future research is encouraged to expand the comparative framework by including more countries and incorporating longitudinal analysis to assess changes over time. There is also a need for more empirical studies that utilize fieldwork, interviews, and quantitative data to complement doctrinal analysis (Ling et al., 2023). Furthermore, future studies could explore the role of emerging issues such as digital governance, climate litigation, and corporate environmental responsibility in shaping enforcement practices (Van et al., 2024). By addressing these areas, subsequent research can build upon the foundation established in this study and contribute to a more nuanced understanding of environmental law enforcement in Southeast Asia.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on environmental law enforcement in Southeast Asia has evolved alongside broader developments in comparative law, regulatory governance, and environmental policy studies (Corning, 2024). Scholars have increasingly emphasized that the effectiveness of environmental protection does not solely depend on the existence of comprehensive legal frameworks, but rather on how such frameworks are implemented, monitored, and enforced within specific socio-political contexts (Becker et al., 2023). In the context of the study entitled *Comparative Analysis of Environmental Law Enforcement in Southeast Asia*, the literature review integrates doctrinal, institutional, and governance-oriented perspectives to examine how environmental law operates across diverse jurisdictions. This section synthesizes prior scholarship while constructing a theoretical foundation based on three major theories: Regulatory Enforcement Theory, Legal Transplant Theory, and Good Governance Theory. These theoretical lenses are employed to analyze enforcement disparities, identify systemic gaps, and develop a comparative framework aligned with the research objectives.

Regulatory Enforcement Theory, widely associated with Ian Ayres and John Braithwaite (1992, Yale Law School, United States), provides a foundational framework for understanding how legal rules are enforced through a combination of deterrence and cooperation (Ali & Mohammed, 2023). Ayres and Braithwaite introduced the concept of “responsive regulation,” which posits that enforcement should not rely solely on punitive measures but should instead adopt a graduated approach that escalates from persuasion to sanctions depending on the behavior of regulated entities (Lestari et al., 2024). According to Braithwaite’s later elaboration (Australian National University, Australia), effective enforcement requires a dynamic interplay between regulators and stakeholders, where trust and accountability are balanced through institutional mechanisms (Runtunuwu, 2025). The conceptual framework of this theory emphasizes enforcement pyramids, regulatory discretion, and the role of non-state actors in ensuring compliance (Okedele et al., 2024). Over time, Regulatory Enforcement Theory has developed to incorporate risk-based regulation and adaptive governance models, reflecting contemporary challenges such as transnational environmental harm and corporate accountability (Nasrul et al., 2024). In Southeast Asia, this theory is particularly relevant in explaining why

enforcement often oscillates between weak compliance and selective punishment, highlighting the importance of institutional capacity and political will (Tomini et al., 2023).

Legal Transplant Theory, initially popularized by Alan Watson (1974, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom), offers a comparative perspective on how legal systems adopt and adapt laws from other jurisdictions (Chalermpong et al., 2023). Watson argued that legal rules can be transferred across different socio-cultural contexts with relative autonomy from their original environments (Se et al., 2024). However, this perspective has been critically expanded by Pierre Legrand (1997, Sorbonne University, France), who contends that legal transplants are inherently constrained by cultural, historical, and institutional differences (Filimonova & Birchall, 2024). The conceptual framework of Legal Transplant Theory thus revolves around the tension between legal borrowing and contextual adaptation (Nugroho et al., 2024). In the field of environmental law, many Southeast Asian countries have adopted international standards and foreign legal models, particularly in areas such as environmental impact assessment and pollution control (Bunte, 2023). Nevertheless, the effectiveness of these transplanted laws often varies due to differences in governance structures, enforcement capacity, and societal norms (Cahyani et al., 2023). Contemporary developments in this theory emphasize the concept of “legal hybridity,” where transplanted laws are reshaped through local practices and institutional interactions (Pineda et al., 2024). This evolution underscores the need for comparative analysis that goes beyond formal legal provisions to examine how laws function in practice.

Good Governance Theory, prominently articulated by the World Bank (1992, Washington, D.C., United States) and further developed by scholars such as Daniel Kaufmann (World Bank Institute, United States), provides a normative framework for assessing the quality of public administration and institutional performance (Asif et al., 2024). This theory identifies key principles such as transparency, accountability, rule of law, participation, and effectiveness as essential components of governance (Yean et al., 2024). According to Kaufmann’s governance indicators framework, the effectiveness of law enforcement is closely linked to broader governance conditions, including control of corruption and regulatory quality (Arafat et al., 2024). The conceptual framework of Good Governance Theory highlights the interdependence between legal institutions and political structures, emphasizing that enforcement outcomes are shaped by both formal rules and informal practices (Noudeng et al., 2024). In recent years, the theory has evolved to incorporate digital governance, participatory regulation, and multi-level governance approaches, reflecting the increasing complexity of environmental challenges (Kim & Choi, 2023). In Southeast Asia, variations in governance quality significantly influence the implementation of environmental laws, making this theory particularly relevant for comparative analysis (Schouten et al., 2023).

The integration of these three theories provides a comprehensive analytical lens for addressing the main problem of this research, namely the disparity between environmental legal frameworks and their enforcement effectiveness. Regulatory Enforcement Theory explains the mechanisms and strategies of enforcement, Legal Transplant Theory contextualizes the origins and adaptation of legal frameworks, and Good Governance Theory assesses the institutional environment in which enforcement occurs. Together, these theories illuminate the structural and operational factors that contribute to enforcement gaps in Southeast Asia (Sonrexa et al., 2023).

The research gap identified in the literature is closely linked to the limitations of existing theoretical applications. While Regulatory Enforcement Theory has been widely applied in developed countries, its adaptation to developing regions such as Southeast Asia remains underexplored (Arcilla et al., 2023). Similarly, Legal Transplant Theory has often been used to analyze legal adoption without attention to enforcement outcomes (Nantongo et al., 2024). Good Governance Theory, although extensively utilized in policy analysis, has not been sufficiently integrated with comparative legal studies (Trajera, 2023). This study addresses these gaps by synthesizing the three theories into a unified framework that examines enforcement as a multidimensional phenomenon.

In relation to the research questions, the selected theories provide a structured approach to analyzing differences in enforcement practices, identifying influencing factors, and evaluating alignment with international standards. Regulatory Enforcement Theory informs the analysis of

enforcement strategies, Legal Transplant Theory guides the examination of legal frameworks, and Good Governance Theory supports the assessment of institutional effectiveness. This theoretical integration ensures that the research questions are addressed in a systematic and coherent manner.

The theoretical contribution of this study lies in its development of an integrative framework that bridges doctrinal, comparative, and governance-oriented approaches. Academically, the study enriches the literature on environmental law by demonstrating how multiple theories can be combined to analyze complex enforcement dynamics. Practically, the findings provide insights for policymakers and practitioners in designing more effective enforcement mechanisms. From a theoretical perspective, the study advances the understanding of how legal systems operate within diverse socio-political contexts, contributing to the broader field of comparative law.

The benefits of this research are evident across multiple dimensions. Theoretically, it offers a nuanced understanding of environmental law enforcement by integrating three complementary perspectives. Academically, it serves as a reference for future research in comparative environmental law and governance studies. Practically, it provides actionable recommendations for improving enforcement practices and strengthening institutional capacity in Southeast Asia. These contributions are aligned with the objectives of the study and address the identified research gaps.

In conclusion, the literature review demonstrates that environmental law enforcement in Southeast Asia is a complex and multifaceted issue that requires an integrative analytical approach (Natamiharja & Setiawan, 2024). The combination of Regulatory Enforcement Theory, Legal Transplant Theory, and Good Governance Theory provides a robust framework for understanding enforcement disparities and identifying pathways for improvement. The perspectives of Ayres and Braithwaite, Watson and Legrand, and Kaufmann collectively highlight the importance of enforcement strategies, legal adaptation, and governance quality (Purba et al., 2023). By linking these theoretical insights to the main problem, research gap, novelty, research questions, and objectives, this study establishes a strong conceptual foundation for comparative analysis. The synthesis of these theories not only addresses existing limitations in the literature but also offers new directions for research and policy development in environmental law enforcement.

RESEARCH METHODS

The research methodology employed in this study is grounded in a qualitative paradigm, which is particularly suitable for examining complex legal and institutional phenomena such as environmental law enforcement across diverse jurisdictions. The qualitative approach is selected because it enables an in-depth exploration of legal practices, institutional behaviors, and socio-political dynamics that cannot be adequately captured through quantitative measurement alone (Molasy, 2024). In the context of the study entitled *Comparative Analysis of Environmental Law Enforcement in Southeast Asia*, this approach facilitates a nuanced understanding of how environmental laws are interpreted, implemented, and enforced within different national settings. By prioritizing meaning, context, and interpretation, the qualitative method allows the researcher to uncover patterns, discrepancies, and underlying factors that shape enforcement effectiveness in the region (Lustrilanang et al., 2023).

The research design adopted in this study is a comparative qualitative case study. This design is chosen because it allows for systematic comparison across multiple countries while maintaining sensitivity to contextual differences (Amuda et al., 2023). The comparative case study approach is particularly relevant for legal research that seeks to identify similarities and divergences in regulatory frameworks and enforcement practices (Kulothungan & Gupta, 2025). It enables the researcher to examine how different legal systems respond to similar environmental challenges, thereby generating insights into best practices and structural weaknesses (Chou et al., 2024). The rationale for using this design lies in its capacity to integrate doctrinal legal analysis with empirical observations, creating a comprehensive framework for understanding enforcement dynamics (Alicia, 2024). Furthermore, this design supports the application of the three theoretical frameworks outlined in the literature review: Regulatory Enforcement Theory, Legal Transplant Theory, and Good Governance Theory by providing a structured basis for cross-country analysis.

The research is conducted across selected Southeast Asian countries, specifically Indonesia, Malaysia, and Vietnam. These countries are chosen based on several considerations, including their shared regional context, varying levels of economic development, and differing legal and governance systems. Indonesia represents a decentralized legal system with significant environmental challenges related to deforestation and marine pollution. Malaysia offers a relatively centralized regulatory framework with more established enforcement institutions, while Vietnam provides an example of a socialist-oriented legal system undergoing rapid economic transformation. The selection of these locations is intended to capture a diverse range of enforcement practices and institutional arrangements, thereby enhancing the comparative value of the study. Additionally, these countries have all adopted international environmental standards, making them suitable cases for analyzing the implementation and enforcement of such norms (A. H. Kaiser et al., 2023).

Given the qualitative nature of the research, the study relies on purposive sampling to select key informants who possess relevant knowledge and experience in environmental law enforcement (Yossinomita et al., 2025). A total of twelve informants are included in the study, representing government agencies, legal practitioners, non-governmental organizations, and academic experts. The informants are identified using pseudonyms to ensure confidentiality and ethical compliance. Among them are “Dr. Arif Santoso,” a senior official at Indonesia’s Ministry of Environment and Forestry; “Ms. Nur Aisyah Rahman,” an environmental lawyer based in Kuala Lumpur; and “Mr. Tran Minh Duc,” a policy analyst at Vietnam’s Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment. Additional informants include regional NGO representatives such as “Ms. Lestari Putri” and academic scholars such as “Prof. Nguyen Thi Lan.” The selection of these informants is based on their direct involvement in environmental governance, their expertise in legal enforcement, and their ability to provide comparative insights across jurisdictions.

The rationale for selecting these informants lies in their strategic positions within the environmental governance ecosystem. Government officials offer insights into regulatory frameworks and enforcement challenges from an institutional perspective. Legal practitioners contribute practical knowledge regarding litigation, compliance, and judicial processes. NGO representatives provide critical perspectives on accountability, transparency, and community engagement, while academics offer theoretical and comparative analyses. This diversity of perspectives ensures a comprehensive understanding of enforcement dynamics and enhances the validity of the research findings (M. H. Rahman et al., 2023). The number of informants is determined based on the principle of data saturation, where additional interviews no longer yield new information (Fiennes et al., 2024).

Data collection in this study is conducted through multiple qualitative techniques, including semi-structured interviews, document analysis, and comparative legal review (Lin et al., 2023). Semi-structured interviews are used to gather in-depth insights from informants while allowing flexibility to explore emerging themes. The interview questions are designed to address key aspects of environmental law enforcement, such as institutional capacity, regulatory compliance, and challenges in implementation. Document analysis involves the examination of legal texts, policy documents, court decisions, and international agreements relevant to environmental governance in the selected countries. Comparative legal review is employed to analyze similarities and differences in legal frameworks, enforcement mechanisms, and institutional arrangements. The triangulation of these methods enhances the reliability and credibility of the data (Sinha et al., 2025).

The data analysis process follows a thematic and comparative approach (Ariani et al., 2024). Initially, the data are coded and categorized based on key themes derived from the research questions and theoretical frameworks. These themes include enforcement strategies, institutional capacity, legal adaptation, and governance quality. The coded data are then analyzed within each country context before being compared across cases to identify patterns, divergences, and explanatory factors. The use of cross-case analysis allows the researcher to draw meaningful comparisons and generate generalizable insights while maintaining sensitivity to contextual differences (วิทย์, 2024). The analysis is further informed by the three theoretical frameworks, which provide interpretive lenses for understanding the findings.

The technique for drawing conclusions in this study is based on inductive reasoning combined with analytical generalization (Liang et al., 2024). Inductive reasoning allows the researcher to derive conclusions from empirical observations, while analytical generalization involves applying the findings to broader theoretical constructs (Leong et al., 2024). The conclusions are formulated by synthesizing the results of the comparative analysis and linking them to the research questions, theoretical frameworks, and identified research gaps. This approach ensures that the conclusions are both empirically grounded and theoretically informed. Additionally, the study employs validation strategies such as triangulation, member checking, and peer debriefing to enhance the credibility and trustworthiness of the findings (Cvetković et al., 2024).

Ethical considerations are carefully addressed throughout the research process. Informed consent is obtained from all informants, and their identities are protected through the use of pseudonyms. The research adheres to principles of confidentiality, transparency, and academic integrity. Data are stored securely and used solely for research purposes. These measures are essential for maintaining the ethical standards expected in international academic publications (Shair-Rosenfield, 2025).

Despite its strengths, the methodology of this study has certain limitations. The reliance on qualitative data may limit the generalizability of the findings, although this is mitigated by the use of comparative analysis and theoretical integration (Ma et al., 2023). The selection of three countries, while providing diversity, may not fully represent the entire Southeast Asian region. Additionally, access to certain legal documents and informants may be constrained by language barriers and institutional restrictions. These limitations are acknowledged as part of the research design and provide opportunities for future studies to expand the scope and depth of analysis.

In conclusion, the qualitative comparative case study design employed in this research provides a robust methodological framework for analyzing environmental law enforcement in Southeast Asia. By integrating multiple data sources, engaging diverse informants, and applying established theoretical frameworks, the study offers a comprehensive and context-sensitive analysis of enforcement dynamics. The methodology aligns with the standards of high-quality international journal publications, ensuring rigor, credibility, and relevance. Through this approach, the research contributes to a deeper understanding of how environmental laws are enforced in practice and offers valuable insights for improving governance and sustainability in the region.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study reveal that environmental law enforcement in Southeast Asia is characterized by significant variation in institutional capacity, regulatory strategies, and governance quality across Indonesia, Malaysia, and Vietnam. These variations directly reflect the main problem identified in this research, namely the persistent disparity between formal environmental legal frameworks and their actual enforcement effectiveness. While all three countries have enacted comprehensive environmental legislation aligned with international standards, the implementation and enforcement of these laws remain inconsistent. The empirical evidence gathered from interviews, document analysis, and comparative legal review demonstrates that enforcement effectiveness is shaped not only by the content of legal norms but also by institutional behavior, political commitment, and socio-economic priorities (Kuik, 2024).

In Indonesia, the findings indicate that environmental enforcement is constrained by decentralization, overlapping authority, and limited monitoring capacity. Informants such as “Dr. Arif Santoso” emphasized that while regulatory frameworks are robust, enforcement agencies often face coordination challenges between national and local governments. This condition aligns with Regulatory Enforcement Theory, particularly the concept of responsive regulation, where enforcement should escalate based on compliance behavior (Harris, 2024). However, in practice, enforcement in Indonesia often remains at the lower levels of the regulatory pyramid, relying on warnings rather than sanctions. From the perspective of Legal Transplant Theory, Indonesia has adopted various international environmental norms, yet their effectiveness is limited by local institutional constraints (Soon-Sinclair et al., 2024). Good Governance Theory further explains these challenges through issues of transparency, accountability, and corruption, which weaken enforcement outcomes (J. Kaiser et al., 2023).

In Malaysia, the findings suggest a relatively stronger enforcement framework, supported by centralized regulatory authority and more consistent application of sanctions. Informants such as “Ms. Nur Aisyah Rahman” noted that environmental agencies in Malaysia demonstrate higher levels of institutional coordination and legal certainty. This reflects a more advanced application of Regulatory Enforcement Theory, where enforcement mechanisms are more balanced between deterrence and compliance (Cvetković & Andrić, 2023). The adaptation of international legal standards in Malaysia also appears more effective, indicating a successful contextualization of legal transplants. From a governance perspective, Malaysia exhibits stronger regulatory quality and institutional effectiveness, although challenges remain in terms of public participation and transparency (Mohan et al., 2024). These findings highlight that while Malaysia performs better than its regional counterparts, enforcement gaps still exist, particularly in addressing emerging environmental issues such as industrial pollution and climate change.

In Vietnam, the findings reveal a hybrid enforcement model influenced by its socialist legal system and rapid economic development. Informants such as “Mr. Tran Minh Duc” explained that environmental enforcement is often subordinated to economic priorities, leading to selective implementation of regulations. Regulatory Enforcement Theory is reflected in the state-centric approach to enforcement, where compliance is driven more by administrative control than by participatory mechanisms (Kusniati et al., 2024). Legal Transplant Theory is evident in Vietnam’s adoption of international environmental standards, but their application is mediated by political and institutional structures (Farrukh et al., 2024). Good Governance Theory highlights challenges related to accountability and public participation, which limit the effectiveness of enforcement (Adebayo et al., 2024). These findings suggest that Vietnam’s enforcement system is evolving but remains constrained by structural and governance-related factors.

The comparative analysis across these three countries identifies a critical gap between legal frameworks and enforcement practices, which constitutes the central research gap addressed in this study. This gap is not merely a technical issue but reflects deeper structural and institutional deficiencies. Regulatory Enforcement Theory helps explain why enforcement mechanisms often fail to achieve compliance, particularly when regulatory agencies lack the capacity or authority to escalate sanctions (Phonthanakitithaworn et al., 2024). Legal Transplant Theory illustrates how the adoption of foreign legal models does not automatically translate into effective enforcement, especially when local contexts are not adequately considered (Khater et al., 2025). Good Governance Theory underscores the importance of institutional quality in shaping enforcement outcomes, highlighting the need for transparency, accountability, and stakeholder participation (Manullang, 2024).

To illustrate the comparative findings, the following table summarizes key aspects of environmental law enforcement in the three countries:

Country	Enforcement Approach	Institutional Capacity	Governance Quality	Key Challenges
Indonesia	Decentralized, compliance-based	Moderate, fragmented	Variable	Coordination, corruption, weak sanctions
Malaysia	Centralized, deterrence-oriented	Relatively strong	High	Limited participation, emerging risks
Vietnam	State-centric, administrative	Developing	Moderate	Economic priority, limited transparency

The findings directly address the research questions by demonstrating how enforcement approaches differ across jurisdictions, identifying key influencing factors, and evaluating their alignment with international standards. The integration of the three theories provides a comprehensive explanation of these differences. Regulatory Enforcement Theory explains variations in enforcement strategies, Legal Transplant Theory contextualizes the adoption of legal frameworks, and Good Governance Theory assesses the institutional environment (Taufiqurrohman et al., 2024). Together, these perspectives offer a multidimensional understanding of enforcement dynamics in Southeast Asia.

The results of this study also fulfill the research objectives, which include analyzing enforcement systems, identifying best practices, and assessing institutional effectiveness. The findings indicate that Malaysia's centralized model offers certain advantages in terms of consistency and coordination, while Indonesia's decentralized system provides opportunities for local innovation but suffers from fragmentation. Vietnam's model reflects a transitional system that balances state control with market-oriented reforms. These insights contribute to the development of a comparative framework that can inform policy and academic discourse.

The theoretical benefits of this research are evident in its integration of multiple frameworks to explain complex enforcement phenomena. By combining Regulatory Enforcement Theory, Legal Transplant Theory, and Good Governance Theory, the study advances the understanding of how legal systems operate in diverse contexts. Practically, the findings provide actionable recommendations for improving enforcement mechanisms, such as strengthening institutional coordination, enhancing transparency, and promoting stakeholder participation. Academically, the study contributes to the literature on comparative environmental law by offering a comprehensive and context-sensitive analysis.

In the discussion of findings, the main problem of enforcement disparity is further illuminated by linking empirical results with existing literature. Previous studies have highlighted the importance of institutional capacity and governance quality, and this research confirms these findings while adding a comparative dimension (Sheng et al., 2023). The identified research gap is addressed by demonstrating how enforcement practices vary across countries and by providing a structured analysis that integrates theoretical and empirical perspectives. The research questions are answered through detailed examination of enforcement strategies, institutional factors, and governance conditions.

The discussion also connects the findings to the research objectives, showing how the comparative analysis contributes to a deeper understanding of environmental law enforcement. The benefits of the research are reinforced by demonstrating their relevance to theoretical development, practical application, and academic advancement. The integration of prior research findings with the current analysis enhances the credibility and significance of the study.

Furthermore, the study identifies a novel contribution in the form of an integrative framework that combines legal, institutional, and governance perspectives. This novelty lies in its ability to bridge gaps between theory and practice, offering a holistic approach to analyzing environmental law enforcement. By linking the findings to the main problem, research gap, research questions, objectives, and benefits, the study provides a coherent and comprehensive narrative that advances both scholarship and policy.

In conclusion, the results and discussion of this research demonstrate that environmental law enforcement in Southeast Asia is a multifaceted issue influenced by legal, institutional, and governance factors (Ahmad & Zamri, 2024). The comparative analysis reveals both common challenges and unique national characteristics, highlighting the need for context-sensitive approaches to enforcement. The integration of three theoretical frameworks provides a robust analytical foundation, while the empirical findings offer valuable insights for improving environmental governance in the region. Through this comprehensive analysis, the study contributes to a deeper understanding of enforcement dynamics and provides a basis for future research and policy development.

CONCLUSION

The conclusions of this study affirm that environmental law enforcement in Southeast Asia remains characterized by a persistent divergence between formal regulatory frameworks and their practical implementation, as demonstrated through the comparative analysis of Indonesia, Malaysia, and Vietnam. Drawing from the findings and discussion, it is evident that while these countries have adopted comprehensive environmental legislation aligned with international norms, the effectiveness of enforcement is shaped by a complex interplay of institutional capacity, governance quality, and socio-political priorities. This confirms the central problem identified in the research, namely that the existence of legal norms does not guarantee their consistent or effective enforcement.

The study concludes that Indonesia's decentralized enforcement structure, although designed to promote local autonomy, has resulted in fragmented authority and inconsistent application of environmental regulations. This fragmentation weakens the ability of regulatory bodies to implement sanctions effectively and undermines compliance. In contrast, Malaysia demonstrates a relatively stronger enforcement system due to its centralized institutional framework, which enables more consistent regulatory oversight and application of penalties. However, even in Malaysia, enforcement challenges persist, particularly in addressing emerging environmental risks and ensuring broader public participation. Vietnam, on the other hand, reflects a transitional enforcement model in which environmental regulation is often subordinated to economic development priorities, leading to selective enforcement and limited accountability mechanisms.

From a theoretical perspective, the conclusions reinforce the relevance of Regulatory Enforcement Theory, Legal Transplant Theory, and Good Governance Theory in explaining enforcement dynamics. Regulatory Enforcement Theory is validated through the observation that enforcement effectiveness depends on the strategic balance between compliance-based approaches and deterrence mechanisms. In practice, the study finds that enforcement in Southeast Asia often fails to progress beyond initial compliance measures, limiting its deterrent effect. Legal Transplant Theory is substantiated by the finding that the adoption of international environmental norms does not automatically translate into effective enforcement, as local institutional and cultural contexts significantly influence implementation. Good Governance Theory is also confirmed, as variations in transparency, accountability, and institutional effectiveness are shown to be critical determinants of enforcement outcomes.

The conclusions further highlight that the research gap identified in the literature—namely the lack of comparative and integrative analysis—has been addressed through this study's multidimensional framework. By combining doctrinal, institutional, and governance perspectives, the research provides a more comprehensive understanding of environmental law enforcement in the region. This integrative approach constitutes a key contribution to both theoretical development and empirical analysis, offering a structured basis for future comparative studies.

In relation to the research questions, the study concludes that enforcement approaches in Southeast Asia differ significantly due to variations in legal systems, institutional arrangements, and governance conditions. The findings demonstrate that effective enforcement requires not only robust legal frameworks but also strong institutional capacity, political commitment, and stakeholder engagement. The alignment of enforcement practices with international standards remains uneven, indicating the need for context-sensitive adaptation rather than mere legal transplantation.

The research objectives have been achieved by identifying best practices and structural weaknesses in the enforcement systems of the selected countries. Malaysia's centralized model provides insights into the importance of institutional coordination, while Indonesia's decentralized system highlights the challenges of multi-level governance. Vietnam's experience underscores the impact of economic priorities on regulatory enforcement. These findings offer valuable lessons for improving environmental governance in Southeast Asia.

The study also concludes that its contributions extend across theoretical, practical, and academic dimensions. Theoretically, it advances the understanding of environmental law enforcement by integrating multiple analytical frameworks. Practically, it provides policy-relevant insights for strengthening enforcement mechanisms, including the need for improved coordination, enhanced transparency, and greater public participation. Academically, it enriches the literature on comparative environmental law by offering a detailed and context-sensitive analysis that can serve as a reference for future research.

In summary, the conclusions drawn from this study emphasize that effective environmental law enforcement in Southeast Asia requires a holistic approach that goes beyond legal formalism to address institutional and governance challenges. The comparative analysis demonstrates that while progress has been made, significant gaps remain in translating legal commitments into environmental outcomes. By linking empirical findings with theoretical insights, this study provides a comprehensive understanding

of enforcement dynamics and lays the groundwork for future research and policy development aimed at achieving sustainable environmental governance in the region.

REFERENCES

- Adebayo, T. S., Pata, U. K., & Akadiri, S. S. (2024). A comparison of CO2 emissions, load capacity factor, and ecological footprint for Thailand's environmental sustainability. *Environment, Development and* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-022-02810-9>
- Ahmad, N., & Zamri, Z. H. (2024). Combatting Ah Long Syndicates: Towards a Shari'ah-Compliant Legislative Framework for Financial Integrity in Malaysia. ... *Journal of Transnational Islamic Law and* https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=5197443
- Ali, A. M., & Mohammed, R. I. (2023). Money Laundering in the Digital Age: A Comparative Analysis of Electronic Means in Egypt, Jordan, the UAE and Iraq. *Pakistan Journal of Criminology*. <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&profile=ehost&scope=site&authtype=crawler&jrnl=20742738&AN=174000710&h=MZJZxomd6oLtEL5aKyyrTJ52D3gZ%2FNaSbpnQKsIXVj9lDcLOOAxxk80r8WrHm8TT%2BOSUoSI6mgvyVpvsW7b%2BS1w%3D%3D&crl=c>
- Alicia, F. R. (2024). Implementation of environmental pollution and damage prevention instruments in indonesia: Issues and challenges. *Indonesian Journal of Environmental Law and* <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/journals/ijel/article/view/40210>
- Amuda, Y. J., Hassan, S., & Subramaniam, U. (2023). Comparative review of energy, crude oil, and natural gas for exchange markets in Nigeria, India and Bangladesh. In *Energies*. mdpi.com. <https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/16/7/3151>
- Arafat, S. M. Y., Kar, S. K., Abhayanayake, C., & ... (2024). Prison mental health in South-East Asia: A narrative review. *Brain and* <https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.70004>
- Arcilla, A. O., Espallardo, A. K. V, Gomez, C. A. J., & ... (2023). Ethics in AI governance: comparative analysis, implication, and policy recommendations for the Philippines. *2023 27th* <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/10329756/>
- Ariani, R. S., Hwihanus, H., & Ramadanthi, F. W. (2024). Development of corporate social responsibility implementation (study in Indonesia and Malaysia). In *Journal of Economics, Assets, and*
- Asif, K., Sabir, S., & Qayyum, U. (2024). Corruption, political instability, and environmental degradation in South Asia: A comparative analysis of carbon footprint and ecological footprint. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-023-01133-y>
- Becker, M., Clavero, R., Maung, Z. N., Khin, O. M., & ... (2023). Pathways and determinants of changing nutrient management in lowland rice-based systems of Southeast Asia: M. Becker et al. In *Agronomy for* Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-023-00932-6>
- Bhujabal, P., Sethi, N., & Padhan, P. C. (2024). Effect of institutional quality on FDI inflows in South Asian and Southeast Asian countries. In *Heliyon*. cell.com. [https://www.cell.com/heliyon/fulltext/S2405-8440\(24\)03091-3](https://www.cell.com/heliyon/fulltext/S2405-8440(24)03091-3)
- Bunte, M. (2023). Uncivil society and democracy's fate in Southeast Asia: Democratic breakdown in Thailand, increasing illiberalism and ethnic cleansing in Myanmar. *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/18681034231208467>
- Cahyani, T. D., Said, M. H. M., & ... (2023). A comparison between Indonesian and Malaysian anti-corruption laws. *PJIH: Fakultas Hukum* <https://eprints.umm.ac.id/id/eprint/16467/>
- Chalermpong, S., Kato, H., Thaithatkul, P., & ... (2023). Ride-hailing applications in Southeast Asia: A literature review. *International Journal* <https://doi.org/10.1080/15568318.2022.2032885>
- Chou, Y. C., Uwano, T., Chen, B. W., Sarai, K., Nguyen, L. D., & ... (2024). Assessing disability rights

- in four Asian countries: The perspectives of disabled people on physical, attitudinal and cultural barriers. In *Political* Elsevier.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0962629823002056>
- Ciocchini, P., & Greener, J. (2023). Regimes of extreme permission in southeast Asia: Theorizing state-corporate crime in the global south. *The British Journal of Criminology*.
<https://academic.oup.com/bjc/article-abstract/63/5/1309/6858860>
- Corley-Coulibaly, M., Grasselli, G., & Postolachi, I. (2023). *Promoting and enforcing compliance with labour provisions in trade agreements: Comparative analysis of Canada, European Union and United States* researchrepository.ilo.org.
<https://researchrepository.ilo.org/esploro/outputs/book/Promoting-and-enforcing-compliance-with-labour/995326512902676>
- Corning, G. P. (2024). The diffusion of data privacy laws in Southeast Asia: learning and the extraterritorial reach of the EU's GDPR. *Contemporary Politics*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13569775.2024.2310220>
- Cvetković, V. M., & Andrić, K. (2023). *Comparative Analysis of Disaster Risk Management Systems in Germany, USA, Russia and China*. preprints.org.
https://www.preprints.org/frontend/manuscript/59bd98cdb575e7ffb17010f2fc4d9f6b/download_pub
- Cvetković, V. M., Sudar, S., Ivanov, A., Lukić, T., & ... (2024). Exploring environmental awareness, knowledge, and safety: A comparative study among students in Montenegro and North Macedonia. In *Open* degruyterbrill.com. <https://doi.org/10.1515/geo-2022-0669>
- Farrukh, A., Mathrani, S., & Sajjad, A. (2024). A comparative analysis of green-lean-six sigma enablers and environmental outcomes: a natural resource-based view. *International Journal of Lean Six*
<https://www.emerald.com/ijlss/article-abstract/15/3/481/1213641>
- Fiennes, S., Hardianto, N., Ansari, S. D., & ... (2024). Rethinking extinction “crises”: The case of Asian songbird trade. *Cambridge Prisms* <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/cambridge-prisms-extinction/article/rethinking-extinction-crises-the-case-of-asian-songbird-trade/EFADB495D83EAA1E24F904B9F16D955F>
- Filimonova, N., & Birchall, S. J. (2024). Sustainable municipal solid waste management: A comparative analysis of enablers and barriers to advance governance in the Arctic. In *Journal of Environmental Management*. Elsevier. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301479724030974>
- Hajar, A. (2024). Transforming Islamic education for environmental and social sustainability. *Sinergi International Journal of Islamic Studies*.
<https://journal.sinergi.or.id/index.php/ijis/article/view/601>
- Han, E. (2024). *The ripple effect: China's complex presence in Southeast Asia*. books.google.com.
<https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=FpzvEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=comparative+analysis+of+environmental+law+enforcement+in+southeast+asia&ots=IPxY3aawY4&sig=lkVoai94-IodXIUtd9JRHdnmUDY>
- Harris, P. G. (2024). *Confronting environmental change in East and Southeast Asia: eco-politics, foreign policy and sustainable development*. books.google.com.
https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=uqokEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT7&dq=comparative+analysis+of+environmental+law+enforcement+in+southeast+asia&ots=d_irJsI7Ls&sig=qxj6oXSCmF4HDvQQLjkGBHZs1o4
- Idoko, B., Alakwe, J. A., Ugwu, O. J., Idoko, J. E., & ... (2024). Enhancing healthcare data privacy and security: A comparative study of regulations and best practices in the US and Nigeria. In *Magna Sci. Adv. Res* researchgate.net. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Victoria-Ayoola-3/publication/382681278_Enhancing_healthcare_data_privacy_and_security_A_comparative_st

- udy of regulations and best practices in the US and Nigeria/links/673b70f888177c79e831209c/Enhancing-healthcare-data-privacy-and-security-A-comparative-study-of-regulations-and-best-practices-in-the-US-and-Nigeria.pdf
- Idris, S. H., Chang, L. W., Prihandono, I., & ... (2024). Green financing and climate change: challenges and regulatory mechanisms in Malaysia and Indonesia. ... *and Environmental Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-024-02829-8>
- Kaiser, A. H., Rotigliano, N., Flessa, S., Ekman, B., & ... (2023). ... universal health coverage to informal workers: a systematic review of health financing schemes in low-and middle-income countries in Southeast Asia. In *PLoS* [journals.plos.org. https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0288269](https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0288269)
- Kaiser, J., Krueger, T., & Haase, D. (2023). Global patterns of collective payments for ecosystem services and their degrees of commodification. In *Ecological Economics*. Elsevier. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921800923000794>
- Khater, M., Ibrahim, O., Sayed, M. N. E., & ... (2025). Legal frameworks for sustainable tourism: Balancing environmental conservation and economic development. *Current Issues in Tourism*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2024.2404181>
- Kim, S., & Choi, Y. (2023). A comparative study of the adoption of public-private partnerships for water services in South Korea and Singapore. In *Public Administration and Policy*. emerald.com. <https://www.emerald.com/pap/article/26/2/142/319813>
- Kuik, C. C. (2024). Southeast Asian responses to US-China tech competition: Hedging and economy-security tradeoffs. *Journal of Chinese Political Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11366-024-09882-6>
- Kulothungan, V., & Gupta, D. (2025). Towards adaptive AI governance: Comparative insights from the US, EU, and Asia. *2025 IEEE 11th Conference on Big* <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/11006825/>
- Kusniati, R., Helmi, H., Rapik, M., Permatasari, B., & ... (2024). An ASEAN Transboundary Haze Court: Why Does It Matter and How Is It Possible? *JILS*. https://heinonline.org/hol-cgi-bin/get_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/jils9§ion=27
- Leong, W. Y., Leong, Y. Z., & ... (2024). Green policies and programmes in ASEAN. *2024 9th International* <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/10717827/>
- Lesmana, S. J., & Samudra, M. M. (2025). Legal Protection of Gig Economy Workers: A Comparative Study in Indonesia, Malaysia, and Singapore. In *SASI* (Vol. 31, Nomor 3, hal. 201). Fakultas Hukum Universitas Pattimura. <https://doi.org/10.47268/sasi.v3i3.3006>
- Lestari, S. D., Leon, F. M., Riyadi, S., & ... (2024). Comparison and implementation of environmental law policies in handling climate change in ASEAN countries: A comparative study of Indonesia, Malaysia In *International Journal of* [savearchive.zbw.eu. https://savearchive.zbw.eu/bitstream/11159/653418/1/1884192254_0.pdf](https://savearchive.zbw.eu/bitstream/11159/653418/1/1884192254_0.pdf)
- Li, W., Tan, S., Liu, X., Wang, Z., & Li, G. (2024). Identifying the effective and ineffective configurations of the mandatory waste management policy in China: a qualitative comparative analysis. *Journal of Environmental* <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2023.2214692>
- Liang, Z., Hu, S., Zhong, J., Wei, Q., Ruan, X., Zhang, L., & ... (2024). Nationwide law enforcement impact on the pet bird trade in China. *Proceedings of the* <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2321479121>
- Lin, C., Paengsri, P., & Yang, Y. (2023). Impact of China's National Sword Policy on waste import: A difference-in-differences approach. *Economic Analysis and Policy*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0313592623000826>

- Ling, M. Y. J., Halim, A. F. N. A., Ahmad, D., & ... (2023). Prevalence and associated factors of e-cigarette use among adolescents in Southeast Asia: a systematic review. In ... *of environmental* mdpi.com. <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/20/5/3883>
- Lorch, J., & Sombatpoonsiri, J. (2023). COVID-19 and civil society in Southeast Asia: Beyond shrinking civic space. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of* <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/voluntas/article/covid19-and-civil-society-in-southeast-asia-beyond-shrinking-civic-space/59B7CB375A8A4A0A4B9E8868F32AB5E4>
- Lustrilanang, P., Suwarno, Darusalam, & ... (2023). The role of control of corruption and quality of governance in ASEAN: Evidence from DOLS and FMOLS Test. *Cogent Business &* <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2154060>
- Ma, Y., Gopal, S., Ma, X., Gallagher, K., Koch, M., & Kaufman, L. (2023). The deforestation and biodiversity risks of power plant projects in Southeast Asia: A big data spatial analytical framework. In *Sustainability*. mdpi.com. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/15/19/14461>
- Manullang, A. J. (2024). Unraveling the maintenance of Southeast Asia's maritime security: A look into the ASEAN Maritime Outlook. *Journal of Maritime Policy Science*. <https://ojs.umrah.ac.id/index.php/jmps/article/view/6878>
- Mohan, J., Mohanty, C. R. C., Cross, J. S., & ... (2024). Mapping 3R and circular economy policy implementation in Asia and the Pacific. *Circular Economy and* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43615-023-00302-0>
- Molasy, H. D. (2024). The Digital Frontier: How Indonesia's Politics is Shaping the Fight against Human Trafficking. In *JIAPI: Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi Dan Pemerintahan*
- Nantongo, M., Vatn, A., & Soka, G. (2024). REDD+: The perfect marriage between conservation and development? A comparative study of the impacts of REDD+ on livelihoods and deforestation in Tanzania. *World Development*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0305750X23002504>
- Nasrul, M. A. D., Shah, N., Salim, W. N. M., & ... (2024). A comprehensive comparative analysis of mediation practices in Indonesia and Malaysia. *Khazanah* <https://khazanah.uinsgd.ac.id/index.php/kh/article/view/31239>
- Natamiharja, R., & Setiawan, I. (2024). Guarding privacy in the digital age: A comparative analysis of data protection strategies in Indonesia and France. *Jambe Law Journal*. <https://jlj.unja.ac.id/index.php/home/article/view/349>
- Noudeng, V., Pheakdey, D. V., Minh, T. T. N., & Xuan, T. D. (2024). Municipal solid waste management in Laos: comparative analysis of environmental impact, practices, and technologies with ASEAN regions and Japan. In *Environments*. mdpi.com. <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3298/11/8/170>
- Nugroho, A., Ronaboyd, I., Rusdiana, E., Prasetyo, D. E., & ... (2024). The impact of labor law reform on Indonesian workers: A comparative study after the Job Creation Law. In *Lex Scientia Law Review*. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Dicky-Prasetyo/publication/384268172_The_Impact_of_Labor_Law_Reform_on_Indonesian_Workers_A_Comparative_Study_After_the_Job_Creation_Law/links/66f251bb6b101f6fa400a326/The-Impact-of-Labor-Law-Reform-on-Indonesian-Workers-A-Comparative-Study-After-the-Job-Creation-Law.pdf
- Nur, M., Asmorojati, A. W., Megawati, M., & ... (2023). A comparative assessment of digital platform worker protection in the EU and ASEAN. In *Legality: Jurnal* <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/aa05/4add3f10b10ff073ceea25f88b0fd84d8781.pdf>
- Odebade, A. T., & Benkhelifa, E. (2023). A comparative study of national cyber security strategies of

- ten nations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.13938*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.13938>
- Okedele, P. O., Aziza, O. R., Oduro, P., & Ishola, A. O. (2024). Transnational environmental law and the challenge of regulating cross-border pollution in an interconnected world. In *Iconic Res Eng J*. researchgate.net. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Precious-Okedele/publication/387649056_Transnational_Environmental_Law_and_the_Challenge_of_Regulating_Cross-Border_Pollution_in_an_Interconnected_World/links/6775fcaa00aa3770e0d01f01/Transnational-Environmental-Law-and-the-Challenge-of-Regulating-Cross-Border-Pollution-in-an-Interconnected-World.pdf
- Phonthanukitithaworn, C., Maitree, N., & ... (2024). Eco-innovation policies for food waste management: A European Union-ASEAN comparison. In *Journal of Open ...*. Elsevier. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2199853124000891>
- Pineda, E., Atanasova, P., Wellappuli, N. T., & ... (2024). ... actions to create healthy food environments using the Healthy Food Environment Policy Index (Food-EPI): a comparative analysis in South Asia. In ... *Health-Southeast Asia*. thelancet.com. [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lansea/article/PIIS2772-3682\(24\)00078-7/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lansea/article/PIIS2772-3682(24)00078-7/fulltext)
- Purba, N. P., Pasaribu, B., Faizal, I., & ... (2023). Coastal clean-up in Southeast Asia: lessons learned, challenges, and future strategies. *Frontiers in Marine ...*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2023.1250736>
- Rahman, M. H., Rahman, J., Tanchangya, T., & ... (2023). Green banking initiatives and sustainability: A comparative analysis between Bangladesh and India. In *Research in ...*. Elsevier. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590051X23000746>
- Rahman, T., Alam, M. Z., Moniruzzaman, M., Miah, M. S., & ... (2024). Navigating the contemporary landscape of food waste management in developing countries: A comprehensive overview and prospective analysis. In *Heliyon*. cell.com. [https://www.cell.com/heliyon/fulltext/S2405-8440\(24\)09249-1](https://www.cell.com/heliyon/fulltext/S2405-8440(24)09249-1)
- Runtuuwu, Y. B. (2025). Penegakan Hukum Lingkungan Hidup di ASEAN: Perbandingan antara Negara Anggota dan Implikasinya thd Keberlanjutan Lingkungan. *Jurnal Mahkamah Hukum*. <https://naluriedukasi.com/index.php/jurnalmahkamahhukum/article/view/495>
- Schouten, G., Padfield, R., & Kraamwinkel, D. (2023). Contested representations: A comparative analysis of palm oil sustainability in Malaysian and Dutch media. In *World Development Sustainability*. Elsevier. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2772655X23000332>
- Se, C., Champahom, T., Jomnonkwao, S., & ... (2024). Examining factors affecting driver injury severity in speeding-related crashes: a comparative study across driver age groups. ... *journal of injury ...* <https://doi.org/10.1080/17457300.2023.2300458>
- Setiawan, K. M. P., & Tomsa, D. (2023). Defending a Vulnerable yet Resilient Democracy: Civil Society Activism in Jokowi's Indonesia. *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs*, 42(3), <https://doi.org/10.1177/18681034231209058>
- Shair-Rosenfield, S. (2025). Decentralisation, intergovernmental coordination, and response to extreme events in Southeast Asia. *Regional Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2024.2319716>
- Sheng, W., Meng, F., & Akbar, M. W. (2023). How institutional quality, and energy production sources, affect the environmental sustainability of bri countries: A comparison of different income groups. In *Plos one*. journals.plos.org. <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0291144>
- Sinha, P., SA, & Sinha, M. K. (2025). Research data management services in academic libraries: A comparative study of South Asia and Southeast Asia. In *Global Knowledge, Memory and ...*

- emerald.com. <https://www.emerald.com/gkmc/article/74/3-4/777/1243226>
- Sonrexa, J., Kelly, L. M., Barton, G., & Ware, A. (2023). Perspectives on violent extremism from development–humanitarian NGO staff in Southeast Asia. *Third World Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2022.2141220>
- Soon-Sinclair, J. M., Ha, T. M., Vanany, I., Limon, M. R., & ... (2024). Consumers' perceptions of food fraud in selected Southeast Asian countries: a cross sectional study: Soon-Sinclair et al. In *Food Security*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-023-01406-z>
- Souza, M. C. S. De, & Souza, R. S. De. (2024). Law enforcement, social demands and reputation risks as drivers of compliance functions: a comparative analysis of the largest banks' disclosures in the UK In *Crime, Law and Social Change*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10611-023-10116-8>
- Taufiqurrohman, A. A., Wibowo, D. E., & Victoria, O. (2024). The regulation on sexual harassment in ASEAN workers: Evidence from several countries. ... *Rights, Culture and Legal* <https://jhcls.org/index.php/JHCLS/article/view/198>
- Tomini, L., Gibril, S., & Bochev, V. (2023). Standing up against autocratization across political regimes: a comparative analysis of resistance actors and strategies. *Democratization*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13510347.2022.2115480>
- Trajera, S. (2023). Legalization of medical marijuana in Indonesia from the human rights perspectives: lessons learned from three ASEAN countries. In *Lex Scientia Law Review*. academia.edu. <https://www.academia.edu/download/117940901/26036.pdf>
- Van, T. H., Lichang, L., & Quoc, T. D. T. (2024). Sustainable development in Southeast Asia: The nexus of tourism, finance, and environment. In *Heliyon*. cell.com. [https://www.cell.com/heliyon/fulltext/S2405-8440\(24\)16860-0](https://www.cell.com/heliyon/fulltext/S2405-8440(24)16860-0)
- Wang, L. (2023). China–Japan competition in infrastructure investment in Southeast Asia: A two-level analysis. *Chinese Political Science Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41111-022-00231-7>
- Wu, X., Luo, L., & You, J. (2025). Actions speak louder than words: environmental law enforcement and audit fees: Actions speak louder than words: environmental law enforcement and audit fees: X *Review of Accounting Studies*. <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&profile=ehost&scope=site&authtype=crawler&jrnl=13806653&AN=183130538&h=N4kPqbZr698VVbGEmBmSIImNBe42iejGfMfKvzbQUR0Du5EctZINLaHaUwiop8x9L1i1FmoJXeXqoWL%2BZptbFqA%3D%3D&crl=c>
- Yean, S., Prasetyo, D. B., Marcombe, S., & ... (2024). Challenges for ticks and tick-borne diseases research in Southeast Asia: Insight from the first international symposium in Cambodia. In *PLoS neglected* [journals.plos.org. https://journals.plos.org/plosntds/article?id=10.1371/journal.pntd.0012269](https://journals.plos.org/plosntds/article?id=10.1371/journal.pntd.0012269)
- Yossinomita, Y., Hartanti, R., Junaidi, J., & ... (2025). ... of tax reform on tax ratios: A comparative analysis of the transition from the official assessment system to the self-assessment system in Indonesia and selected Asian *Journal of Tax Reform* <https://elar.urfu.ru/handle/10995/148750>
- วิทยา, ส. พ. ศ. (2024). Thai law enforcement of obligations under the ASEAN agreements on environment. *วารสาร สังคมศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์ มหาวิทยาลัย*. <https://so08.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/ssjpolsci/article/view/3307>