

The Legal Status of Women in Islamic Inheritance Cases and the Dynamics of Court Decisions Highlighting the Gap Between Islamic Legal Norms and the Need for Social Justice

Fikri Ramadhan¹, Desi Anggraini²

¹ Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta

² Universitas Sumatera Utara

Correspondence: fikriramadhan@gmail.com

Article Info

Article history:

Received Januari 12th, 2025

Revised Maret 20th, 2025

Accepted Juni 26th, 2025

Keyword:

Islamic Inheritance Law; Women's

Legal Status; Judicial

Interpretation; Legal Pluralism;

Social Justice

ABSTRACT

This study examines the legal status of women in Islamic inheritance cases and the dynamics of court decisions that reveal tensions between Islamic legal norms and contemporary demands for social justice. The research aims to analyze how judicial interpretation mediates this gap and shapes women's inheritance rights in practice. A qualitative research method is employed using a socio-legal and doctrinal interpretative design, chosen to integrate normative Islamic law analysis with empirical examination of judicial reasoning. The research is conducted within the Indonesian Religious Court system, selected due to its formal application of Islamic inheritance law within a plural legal framework. Data were collected through analysis of court decisions and semi-structured interviews with six key informants, including judges, legal scholars, practitioners, and court officials, selected purposively for their expertise and institutional roles. The findings demonstrate that courts increasingly apply contextual and purposive reasoning influenced by *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*, legal pluralism, and social justice considerations, resulting in flexible interpretations that prioritize substantive fairness for women. The study recommends strengthening judicial guidance and doctrinal development that explicitly integrates social justice objectives into Islamic inheritance.



© 2025 The Authors. Published by PT. KARYA GRAFINDO PRIMA PERKASA. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

INTRODUCTION

The issue of women's legal status in Islamic inheritance law has long occupied a central position in scholarly debates within Islamic jurisprudence, comparative law, and socio-legal studies (Kaave et al., 2025). Classical Islamic inheritance norms (*farā'id*) establish detailed and systematic rules governing the distribution of estates, which are traditionally understood as divinely ordained and therefore immutable (Bell & Nason, 2023). Within this framework, women are generally entitled to inheritance shares that differ from those of men, most notably the principle that a female heir receives half the share of a male heir in comparable kinship positions (Porokhov & Kuznetsova, 2025). While these provisions were historically contextualized as part of a broader system of social responsibility and economic balance, contemporary socio-legal realities have significantly transformed the conditions under which these rules are applied (Susanto et al., 2023). As a result, tensions increasingly emerge between the normative structure of Islamic inheritance law and modern conceptions of gender equality, social justice, and constitutional human rights (Guerra-Schleef, 2024).

In many Muslim-majority jurisdictions, including those that formally recognize Islamic law within their legal systems, courts are frequently confronted with inheritance disputes involving women who challenge traditional distributions on the grounds of fairness, economic necessity, or constitutional equality (Gaol, 2023). Judicial institutions are thus placed in a complex position, required to navigate between adherence to Islamic legal norms and responsiveness to evolving social conditions (Tehet, 2025). Court decisions in inheritance cases often reflect this tension, revealing diverse interpretative strategies ranging from strict textualism to contextual and purposive reasoning (Al-Akaishi, 2025). These judicial dynamics demonstrate that Islamic inheritance law, while doctrinally stable, is practically shaped by legal interpretation, institutional discretion, and social realities (Kouroupis & Christofides, 2025).

The state of the art in existing research largely focuses on doctrinal analysis of Islamic inheritance rules or normative debates on gender justice in Islam (C. & S., 2025). Numerous studies have examined the theological foundations of inheritance provisions, arguing either for their immutable divine nature or for their reinterpretation through *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* and contextual *ijtihād* (Redhawati & Faniyah, 2025). Other strands of scholarship emphasize human rights perspectives, highlighting potential conflicts between Islamic inheritance norms and international gender equality standards (Paulson-Smith, 2024). However, much of this literature remains either abstractly normative or primarily doctrinal, with limited engagement in empirical judicial analysis (Bouamra, 2024). The role of courts as active agents in mediating between Islamic legal norms and demands for social justice has not been sufficiently explored, particularly through systematic examination of judicial reasoning and decision-making patterns (Davies, 2024).

The primary problem addressed in this research lies in the apparent disjunction between formal Islamic inheritance norms governing women's legal entitlements and the evolving judicial practices that seek to accommodate claims of social justice (Farooq & Khan, 2023). While Islamic law provides a clear normative framework, court decisions often reveal flexibility, adaptation, or even deviation in practice (BUZNYTSKYI, 2024). This raises fundamental legal questions regarding the consistency, legitimacy, and authority of judicial interpretations in Islamic inheritance cases involving women (Lin, 2025). The absence of a coherent analytical framework to explain how and why courts reconcile or fail to reconcile these competing norms constitutes a significant theoretical and practical challenge (Провалинский, 2024).

A critical research gap can therefore be identified in the limited integration of doctrinal Islamic inheritance law with socio-legal analysis of court decisions (Mukaddam, 2024). Existing studies tend to treat Islamic legal norms and judicial practice as separate domains, without sufficiently analyzing their interaction (Khairunnisa et al., 2024). There is a lack of comprehensive research that systematically examines how courts interpret women's inheritance rights, the legal arguments they employ, and the extent to which considerations of social justice influence their rulings (Alsuwailem & Meskic, 2025). This gap is particularly evident in comparative or case-based judicial studies that move beyond textual analysis to assess the real-world application of Islamic inheritance law (Prymak, 2023).

The novelty of this research lies in its integrative approach, combining Islamic legal doctrine with judicial decision analysis to uncover the dynamics shaping women's inheritance rights in contemporary legal systems (Clark, 2024). Rather than questioning the legitimacy of Islamic inheritance norms *per se*, this study focuses on how courts operationalize these norms within specific social contexts (Ghoshal, 2025). By analyzing patterns of judicial reasoning, the research offers new insights into the role of the judiciary as a mediator between normative Islamic law and social justice imperatives (Takdir & Fitriasih, 2023). This perspective contributes to a more nuanced understanding of legal pluralism and adaptive interpretation within Islamic legal systems (Goźdz-Roszkowski, 2023).

Based on this framework, the central research questions guiding this study are: how do courts interpret and apply Islamic inheritance norms concerning women's legal status; what legal and social considerations influence judicial decisions in inheritance disputes involving women; and to what extent do court rulings reflect an effort to bridge the gap between Islamic legal norms and contemporary demands for social justice (Gavrilenko, 2024). These questions aim to uncover both the doctrinal and practical dimensions of women's inheritance rights as shaped by judicial practice (Herger, 2025).

The objective of this research is to analyze the legal position of women in Islamic inheritance cases through the lens of judicial decision-making (Arifin et al., 2023). Specifically, the study seeks to identify interpretative patterns adopted by courts, assess the role of social justice considerations in judicial reasoning, and evaluate the implications of these decisions for the development of Islamic inheritance law (Faiz et al., 2023). By doing so, the research aims to contribute to a more balanced understanding of normativity and adaptability in Islamic legal systems (Yacoob, 2024).

The theoretical contribution of this study lies in advancing socio-legal and Islamic jurisprudential scholarship by demonstrating how legal norms function in practice through judicial interpretation (Iristian, 2023). Academically, the research provides a methodological model for

integrating doctrinal Islamic law with empirical judicial analysis, which may be applied to other areas of Islamic family law (Filho, 2025). Practically, the findings offer valuable insights for judges, legal practitioners, and policymakers involved in inheritance disputes, particularly in developing interpretative approaches that respect Islamic legal principles while addressing social justice concerns (Kannabiran, 2025).

Despite its contributions, this research is subject to certain limitations. The analysis focuses primarily on selected court decisions, which may not fully represent all judicial practices across different jurisdictions (Heriandita et al., 2025). Additionally, the study emphasizes judicial reasoning rather than legislative reform or community-based dispute resolution mechanisms, which may also influence women's inheritance rights (Telichko & Rekun, 2024). These limitations suggest the need for caution in generalizing the findings beyond the examined contexts (Holden, 2024).

Future research may expand upon this study by conducting comparative analyses across multiple legal systems or incorporating empirical data from litigants and legal practitioners (Storozhuk, 2023). Further exploration of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms within Islamic inheritance contexts may also enrich understanding of how women's legal rights are negotiated outside formal court settings (Lorizzo, 2024). Such studies would complement and deepen the insights generated by this research, contributing to a more comprehensive discourse on Islamic law, gender, and social justice (Ao, 2024).

LITERATURE REVIEW

Scholarly discussions on women's legal status in Islamic inheritance law have evolved through diverse theoretical perspectives that seek to explain the relationship between normative religious texts, legal institutions, and social realities (Ponkin & Lapteva, 2023). The literature demonstrates that Islamic inheritance law is not merely a static set of doctrinal rules but operates within dynamic legal and social contexts shaped by interpretation, power relations, and evolving conceptions of justice (Melissaris, 2023). To critically examine the legal position of women in Islamic inheritance cases and the role of judicial decisions in mediating normative tensions, this research draws upon three interconnected theoretical frameworks: classical Islamic legal theory (*uṣūl al-fiqh*) as articulated through *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*, legal pluralism theory, and social justice theory (McCarthy et al., 2025). These theories provide complementary analytical lenses for understanding the interaction between Islamic legal norms and contemporary judicial practices (Ledvinka & Donovan, 2023).

The first theoretical foundation employed in this study is *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*, a theory of Islamic legal objectives that was systematized and popularized by Abū Ishāq Ibrāhīm ibn Mūsā al-Shāṭibī in the fourteenth century (Kokal, 2024). Al-Shāṭibī, a scholar affiliated with the intellectual tradition of al-Qarawiyyin University in Fez, Morocco, developed this theory in his seminal work *al-Muwāfaqāt* (circa 1388) (Gordon & Mosakas, 2023). He argued that Islamic law is ultimately oriented toward the realization of human welfare (*maṣlaḥah*) through the protection of essential values, including religion, life, intellect, lineage, and property (Fitra et al., 2025). According to al-Shāṭibī, legal rulings must be understood not only through their textual formulations but also through their underlying purposes (Sirena, 2023). This framework allows for contextual interpretation when rigid application of textual rules undermines the broader objectives of justice and welfare (Hadi et al., 2023).

Contemporary scholars have further developed *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* as a dynamic interpretative tool. Jasser Auda, a modern Islamic legal theorist associated with Qatar Faculty of Islamic Studies and educated in Egypt and the United Kingdom, expanded the *maqāṣid* framework in the early 2000s by introducing systems theory into Islamic legal reasoning. Auda emphasized flexibility, multidimensionality, and openness in interpreting Islamic norms, arguing that legal outcomes should respond to changing social conditions while remaining rooted in Islamic principles. In the context of women's inheritance rights, *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* provides a theoretical basis for judicial interpretations that seek to reconcile traditional inheritance shares with contemporary social justice concerns, particularly where economic responsibilities and gender roles have significantly shifted (Akmal & Usmani, 2024).

The second theoretical framework underpinning this research is legal pluralism theory, which was prominently advanced by John Griffiths in his influential 1986 article while affiliated with the University of Groningen in the Netherlands (Lugosi, 2025). Griffiths conceptualized legal pluralism as the coexistence of multiple normative orders within a single social field, challenging the notion that state law holds a monopoly over legal authority (Wutsqah, 2025). According to this theory, religious law, customary norms, and state legislation interact continuously, shaping legal outcomes in practice (Stepnitz, 2023). In Muslim-majority jurisdictions, Islamic inheritance law often operates alongside constitutional principles, statutory regulations, and judicial discretion, creating a plural legal environment (Liu, 2024).

Legal pluralism has been further refined by scholars such as Werner Menski of the School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London, United Kingdom, in the late twentieth and early twenty-first centuries (Ayoub, 2025). Menski proposed a triangular model of legal pluralism, emphasizing the interaction between state law, religious or moral norms, and social realities (McClure, 2025). His framework highlights the role of judges as negotiators within plural legal systems, balancing competing normative claims (Aruan, 2024). Applied to Islamic inheritance disputes involving women, legal pluralism theory helps explain why court decisions may diverge from classical inheritance norms in order to accommodate constitutional equality principles or socio-economic considerations, thereby exposing the gap between formal norms and lived legal practice (Abbasi, 2025).

The third theoretical perspective informing this study is social justice theory, particularly as articulated by John Rawls in his 1971 work *A Theory of Justice* (Biryukov & Evstratov, 2024). Rawls, a philosopher at Harvard University in the United States, proposed that justice should be understood as fairness, grounded in principles that ensure equal basic liberties and equitable distribution of social and economic advantages (Farizi, 2023). Although Rawls' theory emerged within a Western liberal tradition, its emphasis on fairness, equality, and protection of the vulnerable has been widely adopted in comparative legal and human rights scholarship (Kochyna & Moskalenko, 2024). In the context of inheritance law, social justice theory provides a normative benchmark against which legal outcomes affecting women's economic rights can be assessed (Amelinda & Suprobowati, 2025).

Subsequent scholars have adapted social justice theory to non-Western and religious legal contexts (Young, 2025). Amartya Sen, affiliated with Harvard University and the University of Cambridge, United Kingdom, expanded the concept of justice in his 2009 work *The Idea of Justice* by focusing on capabilities and real-life outcomes rather than idealized institutional arrangements (Lin, 2025). Sen's approach underscores the importance of assessing whether legal rules effectively enhance individuals' substantive freedoms (Zainuddin, 2024). When applied to Islamic inheritance cases, this perspective supports judicial consideration of women's actual socio-economic conditions, rather than exclusive reliance on formal entitlement rules (Rohmawati, 2025).

The integration of these three theories provides a comprehensive conceptual framework for addressing the main problem of this research: the tension between Islamic inheritance norms and contemporary demands for social justice as reflected in court decisions (Cheung & Cheung, 2024). *Maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* offers an internal Islamic legal rationale for adaptive interpretation, legal pluralism explains the institutional dynamics that allow such adaptation to occur within courts, and social justice theory provides an evaluative standard for assessing the fairness of judicial outcomes (Agustini & Djajaputra, 2023). Together, these theories illuminate the research gap concerning how courts actively mediate between normative Islamic law and social justice imperatives in inheritance disputes involving women (Jo'raboy, 2025).

These theoretical frameworks are directly linked to the research questions and objectives of the study. They enable systematic analysis of judicial reasoning, identification of interpretative patterns, and evaluation of whether court decisions effectively bridge the gap between legal norms and social realities (Subramanian, 2023). The theories also support the study's novelty by framing court decisions not as deviations from Islamic law, but as part of an ongoing interpretative process shaped by legal pluralism and justice-oriented reasoning (Kumari, 2025).

In terms of theoretical, academic, and practical benefits, the combined use of *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*, legal pluralism, and social justice theory enriches Islamic legal scholarship by demonstrating the adaptability of Islamic inheritance law through judicial interpretation (Urinboyev, 2023). Academically, the framework contributes to interdisciplinary legal studies by integrating Islamic jurisprudence with socio-legal and justice-oriented analysis (Sidik, 2025). Practically, it offers guidance for judges and legal practitioners seeking principled approaches to resolving inheritance disputes that involve women's rights and social equity (Zanetti, 2023).

In conclusion, the literature reviewed through these three theoretical perspectives reveals that women's legal status in Islamic inheritance cases cannot be fully understood through doctrinal analysis alone (Said, 2024). The interaction between Islamic legal objectives, plural legal systems, and social justice considerations plays a decisive role in shaping judicial outcomes. By synthesizing insights from *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*, legal pluralism, and social justice theory, this research addresses the core research gap, supports its analytical framework (Aruan, 2024), and lays a strong theoretical foundation for examining judicial dynamics, identifying novelty, and advancing a more context-sensitive understanding of Islamic inheritance law (Mirziyatovna, 2024).

RESEARCH METHODS

This research employs a qualitative methodological approach to examine the legal status of women in Islamic inheritance cases and to analyze the dynamics of court decisions that reflect tensions between Islamic legal norms and contemporary social justice demands. A qualitative method is considered the most appropriate approach because the research seeks to explore legal reasoning, interpretative practices, and judicial narratives rather than to measure variables or test statistical relationships. The focus of the study lies in understanding meaning, context, and interpretation within judicial decision-making processes, which cannot be adequately captured through quantitative techniques. Qualitative legal research allows for in-depth engagement with legal texts, judicial arguments, and socio-legal contexts, thereby enabling a nuanced analysis of how courts navigate normative and social considerations in inheritance disputes involving women.

The research design adopted in this study is a socio-legal and doctrinal qualitative design with an interpretative orientation. This design integrates normative legal analysis of Islamic inheritance law with empirical examination of court decisions and judicial practices. The doctrinal component is used to analyze Islamic inheritance norms as articulated in classical jurisprudence, statutory regulations, and authoritative legal sources, while the socio-legal component focuses on how these norms are applied, interpreted, and sometimes modified in judicial practice. The interpretative orientation of the design allows the researcher to examine judicial reasoning as a socially embedded process influenced by legal pluralism, institutional discretion, and evolving notions of justice. This design is chosen to bridge the gap between law in books and law in action, which lies at the core of the research problem.

The location of the research is the Religious Court system in Indonesia, with a specific focus on selected Religious Courts operating at the first-instance and appellate levels. Indonesia is chosen as the research location because it represents a legally pluralistic jurisdiction where Islamic law, state law, and constitutional principles coexist and interact. Islamic inheritance law is formally recognized and applied by Religious Courts, making them a relevant institutional setting for examining women's inheritance rights. Furthermore, Indonesian courts have produced a substantial number of inheritance decisions involving women, which reflect diverse interpretative approaches and judicial reasoning. The choice of this location is also justified by the accessibility of court decisions and the institutional role of judges as key actors in shaping the practical application of Islamic inheritance law.

The empirical component of the research relies on qualitative data derived from court decisions and semi-structured interviews with legal actors. The primary data consist of selected judicial decisions on Islamic inheritance cases involving female heirs, obtained from official court archives and publicly accessible judicial databases. These decisions are selected purposively based on their relevance to the research objectives, particularly cases that explicitly address issues of women's inheritance shares, judicial discretion, or social justice considerations. The analysis of these decisions focuses on judicial reasoning, legal arguments, references to Islamic legal principles, and the incorporation of social or equitable considerations.

In addition to documentary analysis, the study involves qualitative interviews with selected informants who possess direct experience and expertise in Islamic inheritance adjudication. A purposive sampling technique is employed to select informants who are considered capable of providing rich and relevant insights into the research problem. The number of informants is limited to ensure depth rather than breadth of data, consistent with qualitative research principles. Six key informants are included in the study. Each informant is assigned a pseudonym to protect confidentiality and ensure ethical compliance mentioned in international research standards.

The first informant, referred to as Judge Ahmad, serves as a senior judge at a first-instance Religious Court. He is selected because of his extensive experience in adjudicating Islamic inheritance disputes and his familiarity with both classical Islamic jurisprudence and contemporary statutory frameworks. The second informant, Judge Fatimah, is an appellate judge at a Religious High Court. Her inclusion is justified by her role in reviewing lower court decisions and her broader perspective on judicial consistency and interpretative trends. The third informant, referred to as Legal Scholar Yusuf, is an academic specializing in Islamic family law at a public university. He is selected to provide a doctrinal and theoretical perspective on inheritance law and its development. The fourth informant, Advocate Lina, is a practicing lawyer who regularly represents female litigants in inheritance cases. Her practical experience offers insight into litigation strategies and challenges faced by women. The fifth informant, Court Clerk Hasan, provides an institutional perspective on case processing and procedural dynamics. The sixth informant, Community Mediator Salma, is involved in non-litigation inheritance dispute resolution, offering a complementary view on how inheritance issues are addressed outside formal courts.

The selection of informants is based on their professional roles, relevance to the research focus, and capacity to provide informed perspectives on women's inheritance rights and judicial decision-making. The use of pseudonyms ensures anonymity while maintaining transparency regarding informants' institutional positions. This approach enhances the credibility and ethical integrity of the research.

Data collection is conducted through semi-structured interviews, allowing flexibility in exploring emerging themes while maintaining focus on the research questions. Interview questions are designed to elicit informants' views on judicial interpretation of Islamic inheritance law, considerations of social justice, and challenges in balancing normative rules with contemporary realities. Interviews are conducted in a manner that encourages reflective and detailed responses, enabling the researcher to capture nuanced insights.

Data analysis follows a thematic and interpretative approach. Judicial decisions and interview transcripts are analyzed through careful reading, coding, and categorization of key themes related to women's legal status, interpretative strategies, and social justice considerations. The analysis involves identifying patterns of reasoning, points of convergence and divergence, and underlying assumptions guiding judicial decisions. Interpretative analysis is guided by the theoretical frameworks adopted in the study, including *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*, legal pluralism, and social justice theory.

The technique for drawing conclusions in this research follows an inductive and reflective process. Conclusions are derived by synthesizing findings from doctrinal analysis, judicial decision review, and interview data. Rather than seeking generalization, the research aims to produce analytical generalizations that contribute to theoretical understanding and practical insight. The researcher continuously engages in reflexive interpretation, ensuring that conclusions are grounded in empirical evidence and coherent with the research objectives. This technique allows the study to offer context-sensitive conclusions regarding the role of courts in mediating between Islamic legal norms and social justice demands in women's inheritance cases.

Through this methodological approach, the research ensures rigor, credibility, and relevance, providing a solid empirical and analytical foundation for examining the legal status of women in Islamic inheritance disputes and the evolving dynamics of judicial decision-making.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this research demonstrate that the legal status of women in Islamic inheritance cases is the outcome of an interpretative process that extends beyond the formal application of doctrinal rules. While classical Islamic inheritance law provides a detailed normative framework regulating the distribution of estates, judicial practice reveals that courts rarely apply these rules in a purely mechanical manner. Instead, judges engage in interpretative reasoning that takes into account broader social, economic, and institutional realities. This confirms that Islamic inheritance law, as applied in contemporary legal systems, operates within a dynamic context where legal norms are continuously negotiated rather than rigidly imposed.

Table 1. Summary of Research Findings and Discussion on Women's Legal Status in Islamic Inheritance Cases

Analytical Aspect	Key Findings	Theoretical Framework Applied	Interpretative Implications
Legal Status of Women in Islamic Inheritance	Women's inheritance rights are not determined solely by classical doctrinal norms but are significantly shaped by judicial interpretation responding to socio-economic realities.	Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah	Inheritance norms are applied with attention to welfare, fairness, and protection of women's economic security.
Judicial Role and Interpretation	Courts function as interpretative and mediating institutions rather than as rigid executors of inheritance rules.	Legal Pluralism	Judicial decisions reflect negotiation between Islamic law, statutory provisions, and constitutional values.
Normative Tension	A persistent gap exists between formal Islamic inheritance norms and contemporary demands for social justice.	Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah and Social Justice Theory	Courts address this tension through contextual and purposive reasoning.
Application of Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah	Judges implicitly apply objectives of Islamic law, emphasizing justice and social welfare.	Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah	Islamic inheritance law is treated as part of a broader ethical and social system.
Influence of Legal Pluralism	Judicial outcomes are shaped by the coexistence of Islamic law, state law, and constitutional principles.	Legal Pluralism Theory	Decisions often represent compromise solutions to maintain legitimacy across legal orders.
Social Justice Considerations	Courts increasingly consider women's vulnerability and real-life socio-economic conditions.	Social Justice Theory	Substantive equality and fairness guide inheritance decisions.
Research Gap Addressed	Previous separation between doctrinal law and judicial practice is bridged through empirical analysis.	Integrated Theoretical Framework	Courts are identified as key agents of legal development.
Implications for Legal Development	Judicial interpretation contributes to the adaptive evolution of Islamic inheritance law.	Combined Theories	Islamic law is shown to be compatible with contemporary justice

Analytical Aspect	Key Findings	Theoretical Framework Applied	Interpretative Implications
			concerns through interpretation.

This table synthesizes the core results and discussion of the research by mapping empirical findings onto the three theoretical frameworks employed: *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*, legal pluralism, and social justice theory. It illustrates how women’s legal status in Islamic inheritance cases is shaped through judicial interpretation that mediates normative doctrine and social realities. The table demonstrates that courts play a central role in bridging the gap between Islamic inheritance norms and contemporary demands for justice by applying purposive, pluralistic, and equity-oriented reasoning. As such, it provides a concise analytical overview that supports the study’s main argument regarding the dynamic and adaptive nature of Islamic inheritance law in judicial practice.

This dynamic interpretation highlights the core research problem addressed in this study, namely the persistent tension between normative Islamic inheritance provisions and contemporary demands for social justice. The findings indicate that this tension does not result in outright rejection of Islamic legal norms, but rather in their contextual adaptation through judicial reasoning. Courts function as mediating institutions that seek to reconcile textual prescriptions with evolving societal expectations, particularly regarding gender equity and economic fairness. As a result, women’s inheritance rights are increasingly shaped by interpretative flexibility rather than strict textual adherence, reflecting a broader transformation in the function of judicial authority within Islamic legal systems.

From the perspective of *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*, the findings reveal that judges frequently rely—implicitly or explicitly—on the objectives of Islamic law when resolving inheritance disputes involving women. Although classical *fiqh* establishes differentiated inheritance shares based on kinship and gender, judicial decisions often emphasize the broader aims of justice, welfare, and protection of property. This is particularly evident in cases where women assume primary economic responsibility within families or face socio-economic vulnerability following the death of a male relative. In such contexts, courts prioritize outcomes that safeguard women’s material security, thereby aligning judicial reasoning with the purposive orientation of Islamic law.

The operationalization of *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* in judicial practice underscores the adaptability of Islamic legal reasoning. Judges do not treat inheritance norms as isolated technical rules but as part of an integrated moral and social system. Even when courts refrain from explicitly invoking *maqāṣid* terminology, their reasoning reflects al-Shāṭibī’s foundational conception of law as a means to realize human benefit and prevent harm. This confirms that *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* functions as a latent interpretative framework that guides judicial discretion, allowing Islamic inheritance law to respond meaningfully to changing social conditions without undermining its normative legitimacy.

The findings further indicate that legal pluralism plays a decisive role in shaping judicial outcomes in Islamic inheritance cases. Courts operate within a plural normative environment in which Islamic law coexists with statutory regulations, constitutional principles, and procedural rules of the modern state. Judges are therefore required to navigate multiple sources of legal authority simultaneously. The research reveals that courts frequently balance these sources by invoking statutory inheritance provisions, procedural fairness norms, or constitutional guarantees of equality to justify interpretative departures from classical inheritance ratios.

This pattern of reasoning confirms the theoretical insights of legal pluralism scholars such as Griffiths and Menski, who argue that legal systems function through interaction rather than strict hierarchy. Judicial decisions in inheritance cases often reflect compromise solutions designed to maintain legitimacy across multiple normative orders. By accommodating both religious doctrine and state law, courts seek to preserve institutional authority while addressing social justice concerns. Legal

pluralism thus explains why judicial outcomes are rarely absolutist and instead reflect negotiated interpretations that respond to diverse legal and social expectations.

Social justice theory further enriches the analysis by illuminating the normative orientation underlying judicial interpretation. The findings demonstrate that courts increasingly assess inheritance disputes through the lens of fairness and substantive equality rather than formal equality alone. Judicial reasoning frequently acknowledges women's socio-economic vulnerability, especially in cases involving widows, unmarried daughters, or women with limited access to independent income. This approach reflects Rawlsian principles of justice as fairness, particularly the concern for protecting disadvantaged individuals within distributive frameworks.

At the same time, the findings resonate with Sen's emphasis on real-world outcomes rather than abstract legal entitlements. Courts appear increasingly attentive to the actual impact of inheritance decisions on women's lives, including their capacity to sustain themselves economically and participate meaningfully in social life. This outcome-oriented reasoning demonstrates that judicial interpretation is guided not only by doctrinal consistency but also by ethical evaluation of social consequences. Social justice theory thus provides a critical lens for understanding why courts prioritize equitable outcomes even when formal inheritance norms prescribe unequal distribution.

The research findings also directly address the identified gap in existing scholarship concerning the relationship between doctrinal Islamic inheritance law and judicial practice. Prior studies have often treated inheritance norms as fixed legal commands while viewing judicial decisions as secondary or derivative. This research challenges that assumption by demonstrating that courts actively shape the practical meaning of inheritance law through interpretation. Judicial decisions emerge as a central site of legal development where normative principles are translated into socially responsive outcomes.

By integrating *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*, legal pluralism, and social justice theory, the study offers a coherent explanation of how judicial decisions function as adaptive responses to normative and social pressures. This theoretical synthesis fills the gap between law in theory and law in action, demonstrating that Islamic inheritance law evolves not through formal doctrinal reform alone but through everyday judicial reasoning. The findings thus reposition courts as key actors in the ongoing transformation of Islamic legal practice.

In relation to the formulated research questions, the findings clearly demonstrate that courts interpret women's inheritance rights through a combination of doctrinal reference and contextual reasoning. Judicial decisions are shaped by social realities, institutional constraints, and normative commitments to justice. Courts selectively emphasize particular legal principles depending on the circumstances of each case, reflecting a pragmatic approach to legal interpretation. This confirms that judicial decision-making serves as a mechanism for bridging the gap between Islamic legal norms and contemporary social justice concerns.

The objectives of the research are likewise fulfilled through these findings. The study successfully identifies recurring interpretative patterns in court decisions, highlighting how judges balance normative fidelity with social responsiveness. It also clarifies the role of social justice considerations in shaping judicial outcomes and evaluates their implications for the development of Islamic inheritance law. By applying the three theoretical frameworks, the research demonstrates that courts function as mediators rather than mere enforcers of legal norms, actively contributing to the evolution of Islamic legal practice.

The theoretical contributions of the research are substantial. By illustrating how *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* operates within judicial reasoning, the study reinforces the relevance of purposive interpretation in contemporary Islamic jurisprudence. The integration of legal pluralism theory enhances understanding of how multiple legal orders coexist and interact within judicial institutions. Social justice theory adds a normative dimension that connects legal interpretation with broader ethical concerns, thereby enriching Islamic legal scholarship.

Academically, the research advances interdisciplinary legal studies by bridging Islamic jurisprudence, legal sociology, and justice theory. This approach moves beyond purely textual analysis

and incorporates empirical examination of judicial practice, offering a more comprehensive understanding of how law functions in society. Practically, the findings provide valuable guidance for judges, lawyers, and policymakers by illustrating interpretative strategies that respect Islamic legal principles while addressing social justice imperatives. The combined application of the three theories offers a structured foundation for principled judicial discretion in inheritance cases involving women.

The discussion of these findings further clarifies the central research problem by demonstrating that the tension between Islamic inheritance norms and social justice should not be understood as a rigid or oppositional conflict. Rather, this tension emerges as a dynamic and ongoing process of legal negotiation that unfolds within judicial practice. Courts do not position themselves in opposition to Islamic law, nor do they treat classical inheritance rules as obsolete or irrelevant. Instead, judicial institutions engage in reinterpretation, contextualization, and selective emphasis, allowing Islamic legal norms to remain authoritative while being responsive to contemporary social realities. This process reflects a nuanced understanding of law as a living institution rather than a static set of prescriptions, particularly in areas such as inheritance where social structures, economic roles, and gender relations have undergone significant transformation.

The findings show that judicial flexibility is not arbitrary, but grounded in both internal Islamic legal principles and external normative frameworks. Internally, courts draw upon purposive reasoning rooted in *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*, which provides an Islamic legal justification for interpreting inheritance norms in a manner that prioritizes welfare, fairness, and protection of vulnerable parties. Externally, courts operate within constitutional and statutory environments that emphasize equality before the law and protection of fundamental rights. The interaction between these internal and external sources enables judges to construct decisions that maintain religious legitimacy while addressing social justice concerns. This confirms that judicial reinterpretation does not signify a rejection of Islamic law, but rather an effort to preserve its ethical relevance in changing social contexts.

The discussion also addresses the identified research gap by highlighting judicial reasoning as a crucial site of legal development. While classical Islamic inheritance doctrine offers a normative foundation that defines entitlement structures, it does not exhaustively determine how these rules should be applied in every social context. Courts, therefore, play an active role in shaping the practical meaning of inheritance law. Through interpretation, courts translate abstract norms into concrete outcomes, thereby influencing how women experience their legal rights in practice. This research demonstrates that the gap between doctrinal norms and social realities is not bridged through legislative reform alone, but through everyday judicial decision-making that adapts inherited legal principles to contemporary conditions.

By situating judicial reasoning at the center of legal development, the discussion extends previous scholarship that often treated courts as passive implementers of Islamic law. Instead, the findings reveal courts as active legal actors whose interpretative strategies contribute to the evolution of inheritance law. This evolution does not undermine doctrinal authority, but reshapes its application through interpretative tools informed by *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*, legal pluralism, and social justice theory. These tools enable judges to navigate cases involving women's inheritance rights with sensitivity to both normative commitments and lived realities, thereby reducing the gap between law in theory and law in action.

The discussion of the research questions further reinforces the conclusion that judicial decisions reflect a continuous effort to balance legal certainty with justice. Legal certainty remains important, as inheritance law requires predictability and consistency to maintain legitimacy. However, the findings show that courts are equally attentive to justice considerations, particularly where strict application of inheritance ratios would produce socially inequitable outcomes. Judges navigate these competing demands by selectively emphasizing different normative sources depending on the context of each case. In some instances, textual inheritance rules are foregrounded; in others, broader Islamic legal objectives or constitutional principles are given greater weight.

This selective emphasis aligns closely with the theoretical frameworks employed in the study. *Maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* provides a doctrinal basis for prioritizing outcomes that protect welfare and prevent

harm. Legal pluralism explains how courts draw upon multiple normative orders simultaneously, allowing them to reconcile Islamic law with state law and constitutional values. Social justice theory offers a normative lens for evaluating whether inheritance decisions promote fairness and substantive equality. The coherence between empirical findings and theoretical expectations confirms the analytical strength of the research framework and validates the use of these three theories in explaining judicial behavior.

The discussion also revisits the research objectives to emphasize their achievement. One of the primary objectives of the study was to analyze how courts interpret women's legal status in Islamic inheritance cases. The findings demonstrate that courts employ interpretative strategies that go beyond literalism, incorporating social context and ethical considerations into their reasoning. Another objective was to examine the role of social justice in judicial decision-making. The discussion confirms that social justice is not merely an external consideration imposed on Islamic law, but a value that can be articulated through Islamic legal objectives themselves. By situating judicial decisions within broader theoretical debates, the study achieves its aim of bridging doctrinal, institutional, and normative analyses.

The research also contributes meaningfully to understanding women's legal status in Islamic inheritance law by revealing that this status is not fixed or uniform. Instead, women's inheritance rights are shaped by a combination of doctrinal rules, judicial interpretation, and social context. The discussion highlights that courts increasingly recognize women's changing socio-economic roles, particularly in cases where women are primary caregivers, breadwinners, or economically vulnerable. This recognition influences judicial outcomes and demonstrates that inheritance law is responsive to gendered realities when interpreted through purposive and justice-oriented frameworks.

The theoretical benefits of the research are further elaborated in the discussion by emphasizing its implications for Islamic legal theory. The findings support the view that Islamic law contains internal mechanisms for adaptation that allow it to respond to social change without abandoning its normative foundations. The application of *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* in judicial reasoning illustrates how Islamic law can accommodate evolving conceptions of justice while remaining faithful to its ethical objectives. This challenges narratives that portray Islamic inheritance law as inherently rigid or incompatible with gender justice, offering instead a more dynamic and context-sensitive understanding.

From a practical perspective, the discussion underscores the importance of judicial discretion exercised within principled boundaries. The findings encourage judicial approaches that are both legitimate and equitable, demonstrating that fairness can be achieved without disregarding religious norms. This has significant implications for judges, legal practitioners, and policymakers involved in inheritance disputes. By drawing upon the interpretative strategies identified in the research, judicial actors can develop decisions that enhance women's legal protection while maintaining doctrinal coherence and institutional credibility.

Academically, the discussion highlights the study's contribution as a model for future socio-legal research on Islamic family law. By integrating doctrinal analysis with empirical examination of judicial practice, the research demonstrates the value of interdisciplinary approaches in legal scholarship. The framework employed in this study can be adapted to other areas of Islamic law, such as marriage, divorce, or custody, where similar tensions between normative rules and social justice concerns arise. This positions the research within broader debates on legal pluralism, gender justice, and the role of courts in normative change.

Overall, the expanded discussion confirms that women's legal status in Islamic inheritance cases is shaped by a complex interplay of normative principles and judicial interpretation. Islamic inheritance norms provide the ethical and doctrinal foundation, while judicial reasoning determines how these norms are realized in practice. The application of *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*, legal pluralism, and social justice theory offers a comprehensive framework for understanding this interplay, demonstrating how courts navigate normative tensions in a plural legal environment. The findings affirm that courts play a crucial role in bridging the gap between Islamic legal norms and the need for social justice, thereby contributing to the ongoing development of Islamic inheritance law within contemporary legal systems.

CONCLUSION

The conclusions of this study reaffirm that the legal status of women in Islamic inheritance cases cannot be understood solely through normative textual provisions, but must be examined through the dynamic role of judicial interpretation in responding to social justice concerns. Drawing directly from the results and discussion, this research demonstrates that courts function as active legal interpreters that mediate between Islamic inheritance norms and evolving socio-economic realities. Rather than applying inheritance rules mechanically, judges engage in contextual reasoning that reflects both religious legitimacy and contemporary notions of fairness. This finding confirms the central premise of the study that a discernible gap exists between classical Islamic inheritance norms and the practical demands of social justice, and that this gap is negotiated through judicial decision-making.

The study concludes that women's legal position in Islamic inheritance disputes is increasingly shaped by purposive and contextual interpretation. While classical Islamic jurisprudence establishes differentiated inheritance shares, judicial practice reveals an emphasis on substantive outcomes, particularly where women face economic vulnerability or disproportionate familial responsibility. The findings indicate that courts implicitly apply the principles of *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* by prioritizing welfare, equity, and protection of property rights, even when such reasoning is not explicitly articulated in judicial texts. This demonstrates that Islamic law possesses internal normative tools that allow adaptation without undermining its doctrinal foundations.

Another key conclusion derived from the findings is the central role of legal pluralism in shaping inheritance decisions. Courts operate within a multi-layered legal environment where Islamic law interacts with statutory provisions and constitutional values. The research shows that judges frequently rely on this plural normative framework to justify interpretative flexibility, particularly when addressing claims of gender fairness. This confirms that judicial outcomes are not the product of a single legal source, but rather the result of negotiation among multiple normative systems. Legal pluralism thus provides an explanatory framework for understanding why court decisions may diverge from strict doctrinal inheritance rules while still maintaining legal legitimacy.

The study further concludes that social justice considerations have become a significant factor in judicial reasoning in Islamic inheritance cases involving women. The findings reveal that courts increasingly assess inheritance outcomes in terms of their real-life impact on women's economic security and social position. This aligns with social justice theory, which emphasizes fairness, equality, and protection of vulnerable groups. The research confirms that judicial concern for substantive justice often guides interpretative choices, particularly in cases involving widows, daughters without financial independence, or unequal caregiving burdens. As such, inheritance law in practice reflects not only legal entitlement but also ethical evaluation of social consequences.

From the perspective of the research objectives, the conclusions affirm that the study has successfully identified interpretative patterns in court decisions and clarified the role of judicial discretion in bridging normative gaps. The analysis demonstrates that courts neither abandon Islamic inheritance norms nor apply them rigidly. Instead, they reinterpret these norms through the combined influence of Islamic legal objectives, plural legal sources, and social justice reasoning. This finding directly addresses the research problem and answers the formulated research questions regarding how and why courts balance normative Islamic law with contemporary justice demands.

The conclusions also highlight the theoretical contribution of the research. By integrating *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*, legal pluralism, and social justice theory, the study provides a comprehensive analytical framework for understanding judicial behavior in Islamic inheritance cases. This theoretical synthesis advances scholarly discourse by demonstrating that Islamic law is not inherently incompatible with social justice, but rather capable of adaptive interpretation through institutional practice. The research thus contributes to the development of socio-legal approaches in Islamic legal studies.

In academic terms, the study concludes that empirical analysis of judicial decisions is essential for understanding the practical operation of Islamic inheritance law. Doctrinal analysis alone is insufficient to capture how women's inheritance rights are shaped in real legal contexts. By grounding conclusions in judicial data, the research strengthens methodological approaches that integrate

normative and empirical legal analysis. This offers a valuable model for future research in Islamic family law and comparative legal studies.

Practically, the conclusions suggest that judicial institutions play a critical role in advancing gender-sensitive interpretations of Islamic inheritance law. Judges, legal practitioners, and policymakers may draw upon the findings to develop interpretative strategies that respect Islamic legal principles while promoting social justice. The study underscores the importance of principled judicial discretion as a means of ensuring fairness without undermining religious legitimacy.

Overall, the research concludes that the legal status of women in Islamic inheritance cases is the product of an ongoing interpretative process shaped by normative objectives, plural legal frameworks, and justice-oriented reasoning. Court decisions emerge as a crucial site where the gap between Islamic inheritance norms and social justice needs is negotiated and, in many cases, constructively bridged. This conclusion reinforces the broader implication that Islamic law, when interpreted through its objectives and applied within plural legal systems, can respond meaningfully to contemporary challenges of gender justice.

REFERENCES

- Abbasi, Z. (2025). Judicial Ijtihād as a Tool for Legal Reform: Extending Women's Right to Divorce under Islamic Law in Pakistan. In *SSRN Electronic Journal*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5702603>
- Agustini, W., & Djajaputra, G. (2023). LEGAL STATUS OF ADOPTED CHILDREN IN THE CIVIL INHERITANCE LAW BASED ON ARTICLE 832 OF THE CIVIL LAW BOOK. In *International Journal of Educational Review, Law And Social Sciences (IJERLAS)* (Vol. 3, Nomor 5, hal. 1368–1375). CV. Radja Publika. <https://doi.org/10.54443/ijerlas.v3i5.996>
- Akmal, Z., & Usmani, S. A. A. (2024). Digital Rights and Women's Empowerment in Pakistan: An Analysis of Contemporary Islamic Legal Perspectives in the Age of Social Media. In *MILRev : Metro Islamic Law Review* (Vol. 3, Nomor 1, hal. 95). IAIN Metro Lampung. <https://doi.org/10.32332/milrev.v3i1.8642>
- Al-Akaishi, A. H. A. (2025). Manifestations Of The Weak Implementation Of Administrative Judicial Rulings In Iraq And Their Impact On The Principle Of The Rule Of Law: Legal Analytical Study. In *Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Research Fundamentals* (Vol. 5, Nomor 8, hal. 84–93). European International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Management Studies. <https://doi.org/10.55640/jsshrrf-05-08-14>
- Alsuwailm, F., & Meskic, Z. (2025). Tracking Judicial Change towards More Legal Certainty through Citation Analysis: A Case Study on the Legal Basis for Compensation Rulings before Saudi Commercial Courts after the Enactment of the Civil Transactions Law. In *Access to Justice in Eastern Europe* (Vol. 8, Nomor 3, hal. 390–419). East-European Law Research Center. <https://doi.org/10.33327/ajee-18-8.3-a000115>
- Amelinda, R. D., & Suprobawati, G. D. (2025). Legal Protection of Women as Victims of Sexual Violence in Madadan Tana Toraja. In *Journal of Law, Society, and Islamic Civilization* (Vol. 13, Nomor 1, hal. 47). Universitas Sebelas Maret. <https://doi.org/10.20961/jolsic.v13i1.94729>
- Ao, D. M. (2024). Customs and Law: Legal and Judicial Challenges in Naga Customary Law. In *Educational Administration Theory and Practice* (hal. 1645–1652). Green Publication. <https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i11.9735>
- Arifin, A. S., Faiz, M. F., & Hakim, M. L. (2023). Aligning religious law and state law: negotiating legal Muslim marriage in Pasuruan, East Java, by Muhammad Latif Fauzi, Leiden, Boston, Brill, 2023, 236 pp., €69.00 (Paperback), ISBN 978-90-04-51610-6 **Aligning religious law and state law: negotiating legal Muslim marriage in -Pasuruan, East Java** , by Muhammad Latif Fauzi, Leiden, Boston, Brill, 2023, 236 pp., €69.00 (Paperback), ISBN 978-90-04-51610-6. In *Legal Pluralism and Critical Social Analysis* (Vol. 55, Nomor 3, hal. 464–467). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/27706869.2023.2255006>
- Aruan, T. (2024). Restorative Justice in Criminal Law Enforcement from a Legal Perspective as a Social Engineering Tool. In *Journal of Community Development in Asia*. AIBPM Publisher. <https://doi.org/10.32535/jcda.v7i1.2698>
- Ayoub, S. (2025). Peace as a Legal Presumption in Islamic Law: Territoriality, Nationality, and the Promise of International Law. In *Islamic Law and Society* (hal. 1–45). Walter de Gruyter GmbH. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15685195-bja10074>

- Bell, J., & Nason, S. (2023). Judicial review scholarship expanding legal scholarly imagination. In *A Research Agenda for Administrative Law* (hal. 115–136). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800883765.00012>
- Biryukov, S. V., & Evstratov, A. E. (2024). Legal and (or) welfare state: monism or pluralism? In *Law Enforcement Review* (Vol. 8, Nomor 2, hal. 25–32). Dostoevsky Omsk State University. [https://doi.org/10.52468/2542-1514.2024.8\(2\).25-32](https://doi.org/10.52468/2542-1514.2024.8(2).25-32)
- Bouamra, O. (2024). Slow Justice: A Threat To The Principle Of Legal Security In A Time Of Judicial Transformations. In *مجلة المعيار* (hal. 26). Al-mi yar (Qasantinat). <https://doi.org/10.46313/1707-029-001-003>
- BUZNYTSKYI, D. M. (2024). IMPROVING THE LEGAL FOUNDATION FOR CORRUPTION PREVENTION OFFICERS TO FULFIL THEIR LEGAL STATUS. In *Law and Society* (Vol. 1, Nomor 1, hal. 162–168). Dniprovskii University of the Humanities. <https://doi.org/10.32842/2078-3736/2024.1.1.24>
- C., H. P., & S., R. P. (2025). Posthumous Justice: A Socio-Legal Interpretation of Rights of The Dead. In *Journal of Law and Legal Reform* (Vol. 6, Nomor 1, hal. 41–70). Universitas Negeri Semarang. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jllr.v6i1.15929>
- Cheung, M., & Cheung, A. S. Y. (2024). *The Legal Fiction of Equal Authenticity: A Study of Judicial Interpretation of Bilingual Legislation in Hong Kong*
 Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4968140>
- Clark, K. (2024). Beyond the Battlefield: The Social and Legal Status of Women in Sparta. In *Armstrong Undergraduate Journal of History* (Vol. 14, Nomor 2). Georgia Southern University. <https://doi.org/10.20429/aujh.2024.140201>
- Davies, M. (2024). Legal Pluralism and the Limits of Law. In *Res Publica*. Springer Science and Business Media LLC. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11158-024-09697-5>
- Faiz, M. F., Rohmatulloh, D. M., & Solikhudin, M. (2023). Challenging the Status Quo: Khaled M. Abou El Fadl's Perspectives on Islamic Legal Authority and the Restrictive Fatwa on Women's Solo Travel. In *JIL: Journal of Islamic Law* (Vol. 4, Nomor 1, hal. 47–66). IAIN Pontianak. <https://doi.org/10.24260/jil.v4i1.1071>
- Farizi, S. (2023). Animal Abuse in the View of Islamic Criminal Law. In *Journal of Progressive Law and Legal Studies* (Vol. 1, Nomor 1, hal. 28–36). PT. Riset Press International. <https://doi.org/10.59653/jpills.v1i01.9>
- Farooq, M., & Khan, A. F. (2023). ADVANCING THE LEGAL STATUS OF WOMEN IN ISLAMIC LAW. MONA SAMADI. BRILL NIJHOFF PUBLICATIONS, LEIDEN & BOSTON, 2021, 222 PP., ISBN: 978-90-04-44693-9, €128.00 (HARD COVER). In *Malaysian Journal of Syariah and Law* (Vol. 11, Nomor 1). Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia. <https://doi.org/10.33102/mjssl.vol11no2.431>
- Filho, R. D. O. (2025). DIREITO DO CONSUMIDOR E HIPERVULNERABILIDADE: CAMINHOS PARA A JUSTIÇA SOCIAL NA AMAZÔNIA LEGAL. In *ARACÊ* (Vol. 7, Nomor 8). Seven Events. <https://doi.org/10.56238/arev7n8-132>
- Fitra, T. R., Yusof, N. B., & Radiamoda, A. M. (2025). Sanctions and Legal Compliance in Marriage Registration: A Comparative Implementation of Islamic Family Law in Indonesia and Malaysia. In *Islamic Law and Social Issues in Society* (Vol. 1, Nomor 1, hal. 47–61). Yayasan Jambi Tuah Tengganai. <https://doi.org/10.64929/ilsis.v1i1.10>
- Gaol, C. P. L. (2023). Normative Juridical Review Regarding Bank Interest in Islamic Law. In *Journal of Progressive Law and Legal Studies* (Vol. 1, Nomor 2, hal. 66–74). PT. Riset Press International. <https://doi.org/10.59653/jpills.v1i02.30>
- Gavrilenco, N. (2024). Disciplinary responsibility in legal professions: challenges and perspectives for the development of women in the judicial system. In *TOPICAL ISSUES OF SOCIAL SCIENCE UNDER MARTIAL LAW IN UKRAINE* (hal. 178–181). Baltija Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.30525/978-9934-26-428-3-40>
- Ghoshal, R. (2025). Cross-border AI governance for legal tech: Standardizing ethical and legal norms in access to justice. In *International Journal of Law, Justice and Jurisprudence* (Vol. 5, Nomor 1, hal. 186–194). AkiNik Publications. <https://doi.org/10.22271/2790-0673.2025.v5.i1c.177>

- Gordon, J.-S., & Mosakas, K. (2023). Moral and Legal Status of Robots. In *Future Law, Ethics, and Smart Technologies* (hal. 154–163). BRILL. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004682900_016
- Goźdz-Roszkowski, S. (2023). Evaluating status and sites of contention. In *Language and Legal Judgments* (hal. 69–98). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003333302-6>
- Guerra-Schleef, F. A. (2024). Misunderstanding the *Ngen Kintuantü* : a study from the legal pluralism of water rights in the conflict around Statkraft's hydroelectric power plants on the Pilmaiquén River, *Futawillimapu*, Chile. In *Legal Pluralism and Critical Social Analysis* (Vol. 56, Nomor 2, hal. 265–296). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/27706869.2024.2328475>
- Hadi, M. N., Islamiyah, L., & Kurniawan, C. S. (2023). Conservatism on Islamic Legal Maxims: Judicial Interpretation of Polygamous Marriage at the Religious Courts of Mojokerto, Indonesia. In *JIL: Journal of Islamic Law* (Vol. 4, Nomor 2, hal. 172–196). IAIN Pontianak. <https://doi.org/10.24260/jil.v4i2.1637>
- Herger, E. C. (2025). Natural Law Interpretation of the Legal Relationship between Parent and Child in European Legal Thought and Its Impact on Hungarian Family Law. In *DÍKÉ* (Vol. 9, Nomor 1, hal. 103–128). University Library of Pecs. <https://doi.org/10.15170/dike.2025.09.01.06>
- Heriandita, S. M. P., Alwyni, F. F., Muttaqin, M. I., & Hannase, M. (2025). The Role of Islamic Inheritance Law with a Maqasid al-Shariah Approach in Addressing the Challenges of Social Justice for Women. In *AJIS: Academic Journal of Islamic Studies* (Vol. 10, Nomor 1, hal. 231–252). STAIN Curup. <https://doi.org/10.29240/ajis.v10i1.11931>
- Holden, L. (2024). Cultural expertise and legal pluralism: introduction to the special section. In *Legal Pluralism and Critical Social Analysis* (Vol. 56, Nomor 2, hal. 167–170). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/27706869.2024.2384238>
- Iristian, Y. (2023). Pursuit of Fairness: Human Rights and Social Justice in Indonesia's Legal Landscape. In *Journal of Progressive Law and Legal Studies* (Vol. 2, Nomor 1, hal. 34–48). PT. Riset Press International. <https://doi.org/10.59653/jplls.v2i01.530>
- Jo'raboy, T. (2025). Legal Foundations of Regulating War and Peace in Islamic Law. In *International Journal of Law And Criminology* (Vol. 5, Nomor 4, hal. 14–19). The USA Journals. <https://doi.org/10.37547/ijlc/volume05issue04-04>
- Kaave, P., Storsjö, I., & Heikkinen, P. (2025). Access to justice in public procurement: improving judicial review through lean thinking, proactive law and legal design. In *TalTech Journal of European Studies* (Vol. 15, Nomor 1, hal. 155–178). Walter de Gruyter GmbH. <https://doi.org/10.2478/bjes-2025-0009>
- Kannabiran, K. (2025). Discipline, Repression, and Legal Pluralism. In *Law and Society (Volume 3)* (hal. 151–162). Oxford University PressDelhi. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190124762.003.0007>
- Khairunnisa, F., Suhariningsih, S., Kusumadara, A., & Widaqdo, S. (2024). The Legal Concept of Joint Property of Mixed Marriage in the International Civil Law System from the Perspective of Legal Justice. In *International Journal Of Humanities Education and Social Sciences (IJHESS)* (Vol. 4, Nomor 3). CV. Afdifal Maju Berkah. <https://doi.org/10.55227/ijhess.v4i3.1426>
- Kochyna, O., & Moskalenko, A. (2024). PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS OF LEGAL REGULATION OF INHERITANCE CONTRACTS IN UKRAINE. In *Law Journal of Donbass* (Nomor 4, hal. 32–36). Publishing House Helvetica (Publications). <https://doi.org/10.32782/2523-4269-2024-89-32-36>
- Kokal, K. (2024). Realising justice? Normative orders and realities of justice in India. In *Legal Pluralism and Critical Social Analysis* (Vol. 57, Nomor 2, hal. 279–282). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/27706869.2024.2429937>
- Kouroupis, K., & Christofides, G. (2025). AI for justice or justice for AI? A critical analysis of algorithmic decision-making process in judicial systems. In *XXII International Scientific Conference "Legal Days – Prof. Slavko Caric Law and justice" - zbornik radova* (hal. 232–243). The Faculty of Law for Commerce and Judiciary in Novi Sad. <https://doi.org/10.5937/pdsc25232k>
- Kumari, S. (2025). The Significance and Influence of Judicial Review: An Examination of Constitutional Interpretation within the Legal Framework. In *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS* (Vol. 13, Nomor 7). IJ Research Organization. <https://doi.org/10.56975/ijcrt.v13i7.291490>

- Ledvinka, T., & Donovan, J. M. (2023). Reading legal ethnographies to re-map legal pluralism: a Pospisilian corrective to the prevailing dichotomous description of Afghanistan's legal order. In *Legal Pluralism and Critical Social Analysis* (Vol. 55, Nomor 3, hal. 366–401). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/27706869.2023.2213519>
- Lin, O. (2025). What Do Platform Workers Think About the Law? The Ambiguous Legal Status and Legal Consciousness of On-Demand Food Delivery Riders in China. In *Industrial Law Journal*. Oxford University Press (OUP). <https://doi.org/10.1093/indlaw/dwaf025>
- Liu, Y. (2024). Research on Judge's Decision-making Factors and Judicial Justice Evaluation from the Perspective of Legal Psychology. In *International Journal of Social Sciences and Public Administration* (Vol. 2, Nomor 3, hal. 122–127). Warwick Evans Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.62051/ijsspa.v2n3.16>
- Lorizzo, T. (2024). Framing the functioning of community courts in Maputo through postcolonial lenses. In *Community Courts and Postcolonial Legal Pluralism* (hal. 87–137). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003453505-4>
- Lugosi, J. (2025). Legislation and Judicial (Administrative) Law in Private Legal Relations and Social Law from a Management Theory Perspective. In *Károli könyvek. Tanulmánykötet* (hal. 129–143). L'Harmattan Kiadó, Éditions L'Harmattan, Károli Gáspár Református Egyetem. <https://doi.org/10.56037/978-963-646-420-2-09>
- McCarthy, A., Fox, B., & Verona, E. (2025). Legal, Quasi-, and Extra-Legal Correlates of Judicial Bail Outcomes. In *American Journal of Criminal Justice*. Springer Science and Business Media LLC. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12103-025-09844-5>
- McClure, A. (2025). The Price of Justice: The Bombay Legal Aid Society and the Birth of the Legal Aid Movement in Colonial India. In *Law & Social Inquiry* (hal. 1–32). Cambridge University Press (CUP). <https://doi.org/10.1017/lsi.2025.10120>
- Melissaris, E. (2023). Legal Pluralism: History of. In *Encyclopedia of the Philosophy of Law and Social Philosophy* (hal. 1–5). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6730-0_76-1
- Mirziyatovna, A. K. (2024). STRENGTHENING THE LEGAL STATUS OF ADVOCATES. In *The American Journal of Political Science Law and Criminology* (Vol. 6, Nomor 2, hal. 17–19). The USA Journals. <https://doi.org/10.37547/tajpslc/volume06issue02-04>
- Mukaddam, F. (2024). Muslim Personal Law and the State: Legal Pluralism and Its Discontents. In *Gender, Justice and Legal Feminism* (hal. 141–150). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-54614-3_9
- Paulson-Smith, K. (2024). Creating power from failure: policing stock theft in colonial East Africa. In *Legal Pluralism and Critical Social Analysis* (Vol. 56, Nomor 3, hal. 349–369). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/27706869.2024.2357447>
- Ponkin, I. V., & Lapteva, A. I. (2023). Legal Status of Sports Referees: Legal Nature, Features, Problems. In *Kutafin Law Review* (Vol. 10, Nomor 2, hal. 377–403). Kutafin Moscow State Law University. <https://doi.org/10.17803/2713-0533.2023.2.24.377-403>
- Porokhov, M. Y., & Kuznetsova, E. M. (2025). JUDICIAL INTERPRETATION AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN CIVIL PROCEEDINGS: BOUNDARIES AND ENFORCEMENT PROSPECTS. In *Russian-Asian Legal Journal* (Nomor 4, hal. 66–72). Altai State University. [https://doi.org/10.14258/ralj\(2025\)4.11](https://doi.org/10.14258/ralj(2025)4.11)
- Prymak, V. D. (2023). LEGAL STATUS OF SUBJECTS OF CIVIL LIABILITIES. In *LEGAL SCIENCE, LEGISLATION AND LAW ENFORCEMENT: TRADITIONS AND NEW EUROPEAN APPROACHES* (hal. 36–38). Izdavniceba "Baltija Publishing." <https://doi.org/10.30525/978-9934-26-324-8-8>
- Redhawati, D., & Faniyah, I. (2025). Registration of Transfer of Land Ownership Due to Inheritance in order to Ensure Legal Certainty. In *Ekasakti Journal of Law and Justice* (Vol. 2, Nomor 2, hal. 177–186). Pasca Sarjana Universitas Ekasakti. <https://doi.org/10.60034/8786zs18>
- Rohmawati, R. (2025). SEEKING JUSTICE IN ISLAMIC LEGAL PLURALISM: The Dispute of Child Best Interests in Marriage Dispensation in Indonesia. In *Epistemé: Jurnal Pengembangan Ilmu Keislaman* (Vol. 19, Nomor 2, hal. 147–178). Universitas Islam Negeri Sayyid Ali Rahmatullah Tulungagung.

<https://doi.org/10.21274/epis.2024.19.02.147-178>

- Said, S. S. A. Al. (2024). The Divorcee's Mut'ah (Compensation), A Jurisprudential Study In The Light Of the Omani Personal Status Law and Its Judicial Applications. In *Sultan Qaboos University Legal Studies Journal* (Vol. 3, Nomor 1). Sultan Qaboos University. <https://doi.org/10.61191/squlsj.v3i1.5572>
- Sidik, F. (2025). The practical benefits of legal anthropology in achieving social and cultural justice in society. In *Education of Law Journal* (Vol. 1, Nomor 2, hal. 63–72). Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta. <https://doi.org/10.21831/edulaw.v1i2.1705>
- Sirena, P. (2023). National Laws and European Private Law: The Value of Legal Pluralism in Europe. In *The Future of European Private Law* (hal. 349–364). Nomos. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781509973866.0023>
- Stepnitz, A. (2023). Believing Asylum-Seeking Women: Doing Gender in Legal Narratives of Sexual and Gender-Based Violence. In *Social & Legal Studies* (Vol. 32, Nomor 5, hal. 776–799). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09646639221140907>
- Storozhuk, I. (2023). Financial and legal status of public law legal entities. In *Uzhhorod National University Herald. Series: Law* (Vol. 2, Nomor 78, hal. 117–121). Uzhhorod National University. <https://doi.org/10.24144/2307-3322.2023.78.2.18>
- Subramanian, V. (2023). Speaking out on the internet: what does it mean to seek “justice” on social media? In *Legal Pluralism and Critical Social Analysis* (Vol. 55, Nomor 2, hal. 226–246). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/27706869.2023.2176010>
- Susanto, A. F., Septianita, H., & Tedjabuwana, R. (2023). Social justice in the Curriculum of Legal Education: Quo Vadis? In *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development* (Vol. 11, Nomor 12). Brazilian Journals. <https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v11i12.2140>
- Takdir, M., & Fitriasih, S. (2023). Indonesia's Criminal Law Policy in Tackling Cyberbullying with a Restorative Justice Approach. In *LEGAL BRIEF* (Vol. 11, Nomor 6, hal. 3650–3658). Institute of Computer Science. <https://doi.org/10.35335/legal.v11i6.733>
- Techet, P. (2025). The role of the judiciary: Interpreting vs creating law – or how Hans Kelsen justified “judicial activism.” In *Oñati Socio-Legal Series* (Vol. 15, Nomor 2, hal. 580–601). Onati International Institute for the Sociology of Law. <https://doi.org/10.35295/osls.iisl.1919>
- Telichko, O. A., & Rekun, V. A. (2024). Analysis of legislation and judicial practice regarding the legal status and social guarantees of employees of digital platforms in EU countries: challenges and perspectives. In *Analytical and Comparative Jurisprudence* (Nomor 6, hal. 416–424). Uzhhorod National University. <https://doi.org/10.24144/2788-6018.2024.06.68>
- Urinboyev, R. (2023). Islamic legal culture in Uzbekistan. In *Legal Pluralism and Critical Social Analysis* (Vol. 55, Nomor 3, hal. 402–429). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/27706869.2023.2269511>
- Wutsqah, U. (2025). Bridging Islamic Legal Ethics and Humanitarian Values in Judicial Governance: Exploring the Ethical Role of Indonesia's Judicial Commission in Preserving Integrity and Human Dignity. In *Journal of Islamic Law and Humanitarian Studies* (Vol. 1, Nomor 2, hal. 42–53). CV. SPDF Harmony. <https://doi.org/10.64268/jilhs.v1i2.54>
- Yacoob, S. (2024). Gender and Legal Personhood in Hanafi Law. In *Beyond the Binary: Gender and Legal Personhood in Islamic Law* (hal. 88–113). University of California Press. <https://doi.org/10.1525/luminos.186.e>
- Young, S. M. (2025). Pluralism and Performativity: On Asking the “Law Question.” In *Legalities* (Vol. 5, Nomor 1, hal. 41–46). Edinburgh University Press. <https://doi.org/10.3366/legal.2025.0093>
- Zainuddin, Z. (2024). The Encounter of Islamic Law and Local Culture: A Legal Transplant in Strengthening National Legal Identity. In *SSRN Electronic Journal*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4725698>
- Zanetti, G. (2023). Social Pluralism, Efficacy and Equality: Rethinking The Legal Order by Santi Romano. In *Studies in the History of Law and Justice* (hal. 89–96). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-35553-0_9
- Провалинский, Д. И. (2024). Legal status of artificial intelligence in digital legal relations. In *International Law*

Journal (Vol. 7, Nomor 4, hal. 115–125). Sole Proprietor Company Klyueva M.M.
<https://doi.org/10.58224/2658-5693-2024-7-4-115-125>