

Inclusive Education Practices in Early Childhood Learning: Supporting Diversity in Student Development

Jidan Jainudin¹, Peter John²

¹ Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta

² Tohoku University

Correspondence: jidanjainudin@gmail.com

Article Info

Article history:

Received Apr 12th, 2026

Revised Mei 20th, 2026

Accepted Jun 26th, 2026

Keyword:

inclusive education, early childhood learning, student diversity, qualitative case study, child development, inclusive pedagogy

ABSTRACT

This study examines inclusive education practices in early childhood learning environments and their contribution to supporting diversity in student development. The research aims to explore how inclusive pedagogical approaches promote equal participation, social interaction, and developmental achievement among children with diverse educational needs. A qualitative research method with a case study design was employed because it enables an in-depth understanding of educational practices within authentic learning contexts. The study was conducted at an inclusive early childhood education center in West Java, Indonesia, selected due to its established implementation of inclusive learning programs. Data were collected through classroom observations, semi-structured interviews, and document analysis involving 20 informants consisting of teachers, school administrators, parents, and educational assistants. The informants were purposively selected to obtain comprehensive perspectives regarding inclusive educational implementation. The findings reveal that collaborative teaching strategies, adaptive learning media, and strong parental involvement significantly enhance children's cognitive, emotional, and social development. However, limitations in teacher training and learning facilities remain major challenges. The study recommends continuous professional development programs, institutional policy strengthening, and improved educational resources to support sustainable inclusive early childhood education practices.



© 2025 The Authors. Published by PT. KARYA GRAFINDO PRIMA PERKASA. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

INTRODUCTION

Inclusive education has become a central concern in contemporary early childhood learning due to the growing recognition that educational institutions must accommodate the diverse developmental, social, emotional, cultural, and cognitive characteristics of young learners (Nkansah, 2025). In many countries, educational reforms increasingly emphasize equal access to quality education for all children regardless of physical condition, socio-economic background, language, ethnicity, religion, gender, or learning ability (Elballah & Alsayed, 2025). Early childhood education plays a crucial role in shaping children's cognitive readiness, emotional stability, communication skills, and social adaptation, making inclusivity an essential foundation for sustainable educational development (Wilshire et al., 2025). Within this context, inclusive education practices are not solely understood as the placement of children with diverse abilities in the same classroom environment, but also as a comprehensive pedagogical approach that promotes participation, acceptance, collaboration, and equitable learning opportunities for every learner (Molin-Karakoc & Ikola, 2024). The issue becomes increasingly significant in the era of educational transformation, where diversity among students continues to expand and educational institutions are expected to provide responsive and adaptive learning systems.

Previous studies have demonstrated that inclusive learning environments in early childhood education contribute positively to children's social interaction, empathy, communication competence, and self-confidence (Efthymiou, 2023). Research also indicates that inclusive practices encourage teachers to develop more flexible instructional strategies and child-centered pedagogies (Shen, 2025). However, despite the increasing global attention toward inclusion, many early childhood institutions

still encounter substantial challenges in implementing inclusive education effectively (Sotiropoulou-Zormpala, 2025). Several schools experience limitations in teacher competence, curriculum adaptation, infrastructure accessibility, learning media availability, and parental collaboration (R. Dewi et al., 2025). Furthermore, teachers frequently face difficulties in addressing the varied developmental needs of students within one classroom setting. These conditions indicate that inclusive education in early childhood learning has not yet been fully implemented in a comprehensive and sustainable manner. The complexity of diversity management in early childhood classrooms requires a deeper exploration regarding how inclusive practices can support holistic student development while maintaining educational quality and classroom effectiveness.

The state of the art of this research lies in the growing interdisciplinary discourse connecting inclusive pedagogy, child development theory, educational psychology, and social equity in early childhood education (Hwang, 2024). Existing international literature predominantly discusses inclusive education from the perspectives of policy implementation, special needs accommodation, or institutional readiness. Meanwhile, fewer studies comprehensively examine how inclusive educational practices specifically influence multidimensional student development in early childhood settings, including cognitive growth, emotional maturity, social participation, creativity, and cultural awareness. Additionally, many prior studies focus mainly on primary or secondary education, leaving early childhood learning contexts relatively underexplored (Köroğlu et al., 2023). This situation reveals the necessity for further research that positions inclusive practices not merely as administrative obligations, but as pedagogical mechanisms capable of fostering diverse developmental outcomes among young learners.

The primary problem addressed in this research concerns the inconsistency between the conceptual ideals of inclusive education and the practical realities of early childhood learning environments (Al-Zahrani, 2025). Although inclusion is widely promoted in educational policies and academic discussions, implementation at the classroom level often remains fragmented and procedural. In many educational contexts, inclusion is interpreted only as the physical integration of students with different backgrounds without adequate pedagogical transformation (Bandyopadhyay, 2025). Consequently, learning processes may fail to fully accommodate the developmental diversity of children. Teachers may rely on conventional instructional approaches that do not sufficiently recognize individual learning characteristics, thereby limiting opportunities for equitable participation and developmental optimization. Moreover, institutional constraints, limited professional training, and insufficient collaboration between schools and families further complicate the implementation of effective inclusive learning practices.

The research gap identified in this study emerges from the limited integration between inclusive education frameworks and comprehensive child development approaches within early childhood learning research. Earlier studies tend to focus separately on inclusion policies, teacher attitudes, or special education interventions, without systematically analyzing how inclusive classroom practices support diverse dimensions of student development simultaneously (Bhimavarapu, 2025). Another significant gap concerns the lack of contextualized pedagogical models that address diversity management in culturally and socially heterogeneous learning environments. Existing research also rarely explores how inclusive practices can be transformed into sustainable educational strategies capable of improving developmental outcomes for all children rather than only supporting students with special educational needs. Therefore, this research seeks to bridge these conceptual and practical gaps by examining inclusive education practices as holistic developmental strategies in early childhood learning.

The novelty of this research is reflected in its integrative perspective that combines inclusive pedagogy with multidimensional student development frameworks in early childhood education. Unlike previous studies that emphasize institutional policy or disability accommodation, this study positions inclusivity as a dynamic pedagogical process that supports social inclusion, emotional resilience, collaborative learning, cultural sensitivity, and developmental equity among diverse learners (Newton, 2025). The research also introduces a contextual understanding of how teachers, learning environments, and collaborative educational stakeholders contribute to the creation of adaptive and child-centered

inclusive classrooms. Furthermore, this study emphasizes that inclusive education practices should not only respond to diversity but also actively utilize diversity as a constructive educational resource that enriches children's learning experiences and developmental potential.

Based on the identified background and research gap, this study formulates several important research questions. The first question concerns how inclusive education practices are implemented in early childhood learning environments to support diversity in student development. The second question explores the challenges encountered by teachers and educational institutions in creating inclusive and adaptive learning experiences. The third question investigates how inclusive pedagogical approaches contribute to students' cognitive, emotional, social, and behavioral development. The fourth question examines the role of collaboration among teachers, parents, and educational institutions in strengthening inclusive learning practices. These research questions are expected to provide a comprehensive analytical framework for understanding the effectiveness and implications of inclusive education in early childhood contexts.

The objective of this research is to analyze and explain the implementation of inclusive education practices in early childhood learning environments and their contribution to supporting diversity in student development. This study also aims to identify the major challenges faced by teachers and institutions in implementing inclusive learning approaches. In addition, the research seeks to explore the pedagogical strategies that can effectively foster equitable participation, developmental inclusion, and adaptive learning experiences among young learners with diverse characteristics. Another objective is to formulate a contextual understanding of collaborative educational practices that strengthen inclusivity in early childhood education systems.

The theoretical significance of this research lies in its contribution to the development of inclusive education theory within the context of early childhood learning. This study enriches academic discussions regarding the relationship between inclusive pedagogy and holistic child development by integrating educational, psychological, and sociocultural perspectives (Hafizah & Alfulaila, 2023). Academically, the research provides an important reference for scholars, researchers, and educational practitioners interested in inclusive learning, child-centered pedagogy, and diversity management in educational settings. The findings may also serve as a foundation for future comparative and interdisciplinary studies related to educational inclusion and developmental equity. Practically, this research offers valuable insights for teachers, school administrators, curriculum developers, and policymakers regarding effective strategies for implementing inclusive practices in early childhood classrooms. The study may support the development of adaptive curricula, teacher training programs, and collaborative educational policies that enhance inclusivity and student well-being.

Despite its potential contributions, this research has several limitations. The study may be constrained by contextual differences among educational institutions, variations in teacher competence, and differences in socio-cultural educational environments that influence the implementation of inclusive practices. In addition, the complexity of measuring multidimensional child development outcomes may limit the generalizability of findings across broader educational contexts. The research may also face limitations related to observational scope, participant diversity, and institutional accessibility within early childhood education settings.

Therefore, future research is recommended to conduct comparative studies involving different educational systems, cultural contexts, and institutional characteristics to obtain broader insights into inclusive education implementation. Subsequent studies may also explore the integration of digital learning technologies, family engagement models, and teacher professional development programs in strengthening inclusive early childhood education. Furthermore, longitudinal research examining the long-term developmental impacts of inclusive learning practices on children's academic achievement, social adaptation, and emotional well-being would provide deeper understanding regarding the sustainability and effectiveness of inclusive educational approaches in supporting diversity in student development.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The discourse surrounding inclusive education in early childhood learning has developed significantly within global educational research, particularly in relation to diversity, equity, and child-centered pedagogy (Vahneichong, 2025). Inclusive education is increasingly understood as a multidimensional educational approach that accommodates the developmental, cognitive, emotional, social, cultural, and behavioral diversity of children within shared learning environments (A. Evans, 2024). In early childhood education, inclusivity is not limited to integrating children with special educational needs into mainstream classrooms; rather, it emphasizes the creation of adaptive learning systems that recognize the uniqueness of every child and provide equitable opportunities for participation and development (Neethling, 2025). The implementation of inclusive education practices in early childhood learning environments requires strong theoretical foundations capable of explaining how children learn, interact socially, and develop within diverse educational contexts. Therefore, this study employs three major theoretical perspectives that are highly relevant to the topic, namely the Sociocultural Theory developed by Lev Semyonovich Vygotsky, the Ecological Systems Theory introduced by Urie Bronfenbrenner, and the Multiple Intelligences Theory popularized by Howard Gardner.

The first theory employed in this research is Sociocultural Theory, introduced by Lev Semyonovich Vygotsky in 1978 through his influential academic work at Moscow State University, Soviet Union (Samuelsson & Ingebrigtsen, 2023). Vygotsky emphasized that children's cognitive development is fundamentally shaped through social interaction, communication, and cultural participation. According to Vygotsky, learning occurs most effectively when children interact collaboratively with teachers, peers, and their surrounding environment. One of the most important conceptual contributions of this theory is the Zone of Proximal Development, which explains that children can achieve higher levels of understanding through guided assistance and social support (Baranets, 2023). In the context of inclusive early childhood education, Vygotsky's theory becomes highly relevant because inclusive classrooms provide opportunities for children from diverse backgrounds and developmental abilities to engage in collaborative learning processes. Inclusive practices encourage peer interaction, social participation, and cooperative learning experiences that support cognitive and emotional growth simultaneously.

Several educational scholars have further developed Vygotsky's theoretical perspective in contemporary educational research. Barbara Rogoff from the University of California, United States, in 1990 expanded sociocultural learning concepts through the notion of guided participation, emphasizing that children develop competencies through active engagement in social and cultural activities (W. P. Dewi et al., 2023). James Wertsch from Washington University in St. Louis, United States, in 1991 highlighted the importance of mediated action, arguing that language, symbols, and cultural tools shape children's learning experiences (Schmidtke et al., 2025). Meanwhile, Jerome Bruner from Harvard University, United States, in 1983 introduced the concept of scaffolding, which explains how adults and peers provide temporary assistance that supports children's independent learning development (Underwood, 2023). These perspectives strengthen the conceptual relevance of sociocultural theory within inclusive education practices because they collectively emphasize interaction, communication, and collaborative participation as fundamental components of child development.

The second theory utilized in this study is Ecological Systems Theory, developed by Urie Bronfenbrenner in 1979 while affiliated with Cornell University, United States (Round, 2025). Bronfenbrenner proposed that child development is influenced by interconnected environmental systems ranging from immediate family and school interactions to broader social, political, and cultural structures. The theory consists of several environmental layers, including the microsystem, mesosystem, exosystem, macrosystem, and chronosystem. Bronfenbrenner argued that children cannot be understood separately from the social environments in which they grow and learn. In the context of inclusive early childhood education, this theory highlights the importance of collaboration among families, teachers, institutions, and communities in supporting children's developmental outcomes (Hidayanto, 2025). Inclusive education practices require not only classroom adaptation but also institutional and societal support systems that promote equity and accessibility.

The development of ecological perspectives in educational research has been continued by several contemporary scholars. Ann S. Masten from the University of Minnesota, United States, in 2014 emphasized resilience processes in child development and explained that supportive educational ecosystems significantly influence children's emotional and social adaptation (Ramoutar et al., 2023). Joyce Epstein from Johns Hopkins University, United States, in 2001 developed school-family-community partnership frameworks that reinforce Bronfenbrenner's emphasis on environmental collaboration (Newton, 2024). Additionally, Michael Fullan from the University of Toronto, Canada, in 2007 highlighted systemic educational transformation and argued that inclusive educational reform requires collective institutional responsibility rather than isolated teacher initiatives (Wijayanti, 2024). These theoretical developments demonstrate that inclusive education practices must involve interconnected educational stakeholders to create sustainable and supportive learning environments for diverse children.

The third theory applied in this research is the Multiple Intelligences Theory introduced by Howard Earl Gardner in 1983 at Harvard University, United States (Bedard & Fyffe, 2025). Gardner challenged traditional assumptions that intelligence is measured solely through linguistic and logical abilities. He proposed that children possess multiple forms of intelligence, including linguistic, logical-mathematical, spatial, bodily-kinesthetic, musical, interpersonal, intrapersonal, naturalistic, and existential intelligences. Gardner's theory is highly relevant to inclusive early childhood learning because it recognizes that children demonstrate diverse strengths, learning styles, and developmental capacities (Abdunabievich, 2025). Inclusive educational practices grounded in multiple intelligences theory encourage teachers to design flexible instructional strategies that accommodate varied student abilities and promote equitable participation.

Contemporary scholars have expanded Gardner's theory within modern educational contexts. Thomas Armstrong from American University, United States, in 2009 emphasized practical classroom applications of multiple intelligences by encouraging differentiated instruction and personalized learning approaches (Mpolomoka et al., 2025). Linda Campbell from Seattle University, United States, in 1996 highlighted curriculum adaptation strategies that integrate diverse intelligence modalities into early childhood learning environments (Shakhnoza, 2025). Additionally, David Lazear from St. Mary's College of California, United States, in 2004 explored leadership and creativity dimensions associated with multiple intelligences development in educational settings (Hosnan et al., 2024). These theoretical expansions support the implementation of inclusive learning models because they recognize diversity as an educational strength rather than a limitation.

The development of these three theories demonstrates a significant transformation in educational thinking from standardized and teacher-centered instruction toward more inclusive, collaborative, and child-centered learning paradigms (L. Evans, 2025). Contemporary educational research increasingly integrates sociocultural interaction, ecological collaboration, and differentiated learning approaches in response to the complexity of student diversity in early childhood education. Current developments in inclusive education emphasize universal design for learning, culturally responsive pedagogy, digital learning accessibility, and social-emotional learning integration (Isnaini, Indri Dwi et al., 2025). These innovations reflect the continuing relevance of Vygotsky's emphasis on social interaction, Bronfenbrenner's ecological collaboration, and Gardner's recognition of diverse intellectual capacities.

Theoretically, the three theories are strongly connected to the primary problem of this research, namely the inconsistency between inclusive educational ideals and classroom implementation practices in early childhood learning environments. Sociocultural theory explains the necessity of collaborative learning interactions for supporting developmental diversity. Ecological systems theory highlights the importance of institutional and environmental support in creating inclusive educational ecosystems. Multiple intelligences theory demonstrates that instructional practices must accommodate different learning characteristics and developmental potentials among children. Together, these theories provide a comprehensive framework for understanding why many educational institutions still struggle to implement inclusive practices effectively despite policy support and institutional commitments (Nteropoulou-Nterou & Gelastopoulou, 2025).

The theories also relate directly to the identified research gap. Previous studies frequently discuss inclusive education from isolated perspectives such as policy implementation, disability accommodation, or teacher readiness without integrating social interaction, environmental systems, and developmental diversity simultaneously (Asmi, 2024). The integration of these three theories within this study offers a more holistic conceptual framework for analyzing inclusive education practices in early childhood learning. Furthermore, the theoretical combination contributes to the novelty of the research by positioning inclusivity as a multidimensional developmental process rather than merely an administrative or institutional obligation.

The research questions formulated in this study are also closely aligned with the selected theories. Questions regarding how inclusive practices support student development correspond with Gardner's theory of diverse intelligences. Questions concerning collaborative learning environments and adaptive pedagogical strategies align with Vygotsky's sociocultural framework. Meanwhile, questions exploring institutional support, teacher-parent collaboration, and educational ecosystems are directly related to Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory. Consequently, the three theoretical perspectives collectively provide analytical guidance for examining inclusive education practices comprehensively.

The theoretical contribution of this study lies in its integration of sociocultural, ecological, and developmental diversity perspectives within inclusive early childhood education research. Academically, the research enriches interdisciplinary discussions concerning inclusive pedagogy, child development, and adaptive educational systems (Çağlayan & Başal, 2023). Practically, the study offers conceptual guidance for teachers, institutions, and policymakers seeking to implement effective inclusive learning strategies. The research also supports the development of adaptive curricula, collaborative educational partnerships, and child-centered instructional models that respond to diverse developmental needs.

In conclusion, the literature review demonstrates that Sociocultural Theory by Lev Semyonovich Vygotsky, Ecological Systems Theory by Urie Bronfenbrenner, and Multiple Intelligences Theory by Howard Earl Gardner collectively provide a strong conceptual foundation for understanding inclusive education practices in early childhood learning. The perspectives of Barbara Rogoff, James Wertsch, Jerome Bruner, Ann Masten, Joyce Epstein, Michael Fullan, Thomas Armstrong, Linda Campbell, and David Lazear further strengthen the contemporary relevance of these theories within inclusive educational discourse. The integration of the three theories addresses the main research problem concerning ineffective implementation of inclusive practices, bridges existing research gaps related to fragmented theoretical approaches, and supports the novelty of examining inclusive education as a holistic developmental framework. Furthermore, the theories contribute directly to the formulation of research questions, research objectives, and the theoretical, academic, and practical benefits of the study. Therefore, the literature review confirms that inclusive education practices in early childhood learning require multidimensional theoretical perspectives capable of supporting diversity, equity, collaboration, and holistic child development within contemporary educational environments.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study employed a qualitative research method to explore inclusive education practices in early childhood learning and their contribution to supporting diversity in student development (Yeni & Rindaningsih, 2023). The qualitative approach was selected because the research focuses on understanding educational experiences, social interactions, pedagogical practices, and contextual realities that cannot be adequately measured through numerical data alone (Foykas, 2024). Inclusive education involves complex human interactions among teachers, children, school administrators, and parents within diverse educational environments. Therefore, qualitative inquiry was considered the most appropriate methodological approach for capturing the depth, meaning, and contextual dimensions of inclusive learning practices in early childhood education settings. The qualitative method also enabled the researcher to examine participants' perspectives, institutional cultures, and pedagogical processes comprehensively while maintaining sensitivity toward social and developmental diversity among children.

The research design used in this study was a qualitative case study design (Man, 2025). The case study approach was selected because it allows researchers to investigate contemporary educational phenomena within their real-life contexts, particularly when the boundaries between the phenomenon and context are not clearly distinguishable. Inclusive education practices in early childhood learning are strongly influenced by institutional environments, teacher competencies, classroom interactions, parental collaboration, and sociocultural conditions. Consequently, the case study design provided flexibility for examining these interconnected dimensions holistically. Furthermore, this design enabled the researcher to obtain detailed and contextualized insights regarding how inclusive learning practices are implemented, experienced, and interpreted by educational stakeholders within a specific educational setting.

The study was conducted at Bright Future Early Childhood Learning Center, a pseudonym for an inclusive early childhood educational institution located in West Java, Indonesia. The institution was selected purposively because it has implemented inclusive learning programs involving children from diverse developmental, social, cultural, and educational backgrounds (Bernhard, 2025). The school accommodates students with varying learning characteristics, including children with different communication abilities, behavioral patterns, cognitive capacities, and social adaptation needs. The institution was also chosen because it actively promotes child-centered learning approaches and collaborative educational practices involving teachers and parents. Another important reason for selecting this location was the accessibility of the institution for conducting prolonged qualitative observations and interviews. The school administration demonstrated openness toward educational research and provided opportunities for researchers to observe classroom activities, learning interactions, and institutional practices related to inclusivity.

The participants in this study consisted of teachers, school administrators, parents, and educational support staff directly involved in the implementation of inclusive education practices. Because this research employed a qualitative approach, participant selection was conducted using purposive sampling techniques (Pakombwele et al., 2024). Purposive sampling was considered appropriate because the study required participants who possessed direct experience, knowledge, and involvement in inclusive early childhood learning processes. The researcher selected participants based on their professional roles, educational experiences, and active participation in inclusive educational activities within the institution.

The primary respondents in this study included eight early childhood teachers who were directly responsible for classroom instruction and child development facilitation. To maintain research ethics and participant confidentiality, pseudonyms were assigned to all participants (Luthfia, 2024). The teacher participants included Ms. Amelia, Ms. Rania, Ms. Sofia, Ms. Nadia, Mr. Arif, Ms. Clara, Ms. Evelyn, and Ms. Hana. These participants served as classroom teachers and inclusive learning facilitators within different age-group classes. The teachers were selected because they possessed practical experience in managing diverse classrooms, implementing adaptive teaching strategies, and supporting children with varied developmental characteristics. Their experiences provided valuable insights regarding classroom management, differentiated instruction, social inclusion, and pedagogical adaptation in inclusive early childhood learning environments.

In addition to teachers, the study involved three school administrators who played significant roles in educational planning and institutional management. The administrators were identified using pseudonyms as Mrs. Diana, who served as the school principal; Mr. Adrian, who functioned as the curriculum coordinator; and Ms. Laras, who worked as the inclusive education program supervisor. These administrators were selected because they possessed strategic knowledge regarding institutional policies, curriculum adaptation, teacher development programs, and inclusive educational planning. Their perspectives contributed to understanding how institutional leadership and organizational support influence the implementation of inclusive education practices in early childhood settings.

The study also involved six parents of students enrolled in the institution. The parents were identified using pseudonyms including Mrs. Elina, Mr. Farhan, Mrs. Nabila, Mr. Kevin, Mrs. Aisyah, and Mr. Jonathan. These participants were selected because they actively participated in school-parent collaboration programs and possessed direct experiences regarding their children's developmental

progress within inclusive learning environments. Parents were considered important informants because inclusive education extends beyond classroom instruction and requires cooperation between educational institutions and families (Widiyawati et al., 2023). Their perspectives provided important information regarding communication practices, parental expectations, emotional support systems, and perceptions of inclusivity in children's educational experiences.

Furthermore, the research included two educational support staff members identified as Ms. Felicia, a child development counselor, and Mr. Dimas, a learning support assistant. These participants were selected because they contributed directly to supporting children with specific developmental and behavioral needs within inclusive classrooms. Their involvement provided additional insights regarding interdisciplinary collaboration, developmental monitoring, and individualized educational support strategies.

Data collection in this study was conducted through in-depth interviews, participant observation, and document analysis (Msimango, 2025). The combination of these techniques enabled methodological triangulation, which strengthened the credibility and trustworthiness of the findings (Naskath et al., 2023). In-depth interviews were conducted semi-structurally to allow participants to express their experiences, perspectives, and reflections freely while still maintaining alignment with the research objectives. The interview process explored themes such as inclusive teaching strategies, classroom diversity management, developmental support practices, institutional challenges, teacher-parent collaboration, and perceptions regarding student development outcomes. Interviews were conducted individually in quiet and comfortable environments within the school setting to encourage openness and reflective discussion.

Participant observation was another important data collection method used in this study (Ragmoun & Alfalih, 2024). The researcher conducted prolonged classroom observations over a three-month period to understand naturally occurring interactions and educational practices within inclusive learning environments. Observations focused on teacher-student interactions, peer collaboration, classroom participation, differentiated instructional methods, emotional support practices, and children's responses to inclusive learning activities. The researcher adopted a moderate participant observation role, allowing engagement with participants while maintaining analytical distance necessary for objective interpretation. Field notes were recorded systematically to document classroom dynamics, behavioral patterns, instructional strategies, and social interactions among students and teachers.

Document analysis was conducted to complement interview and observational data (Olefir, 2023). The analyzed documents included school policies, curriculum plans, lesson plans, child development assessment records, teacher training materials, inclusive education guidelines, and institutional reports. Document analysis provided contextual understanding regarding how inclusive educational principles were formally integrated into institutional structures and pedagogical planning. The use of multiple data sources contributed to data triangulation and enhanced the reliability of the research findings.

The data analysis process followed thematic analysis procedures (Rakolobe, 2023). Initially, all interview recordings and field notes were transcribed verbatim to ensure data accuracy and completeness. The researcher then conducted repeated readings of the transcripts and observational records to gain familiarity with the data. Open coding techniques were subsequently employed to identify meaningful statements, recurring concepts, and emerging patterns related to inclusive educational practices and student development diversity. Similar codes were grouped into broader categories representing key themes such as adaptive pedagogy, collaborative learning, emotional inclusion, institutional support, teacher challenges, and developmental diversity.

Following the coding process, thematic interpretation was conducted by connecting identified themes with the theoretical frameworks employed in the study, including sociocultural theory, ecological systems theory, and multiple intelligences theory. This interpretative process enabled the researcher to understand how inclusive practices operated within broader educational and developmental contexts. Thematic analysis also facilitated the identification of relationships among

institutional practices, classroom experiences, and developmental outcomes in inclusive early childhood learning environments.

To ensure research validity and trustworthiness, several qualitative verification strategies were implemented. Credibility was strengthened through prolonged engagement, triangulation of data sources, member checking, and reflective field observations (Kim & Kim, 2023). Member checking was conducted by sharing preliminary findings with selected participants to confirm the accuracy of interpretations and representations. Dependability was supported through systematic documentation of data collection procedures, coding processes, and analytical decisions. Confirmability was enhanced through reflexive journaling and transparent methodological reporting to minimize researcher bias. Transferability was addressed by providing detailed contextual descriptions regarding the research setting, participant characteristics, and educational practices to enable readers to evaluate the applicability of findings in other contexts.

Ethical considerations were carefully maintained throughout the research process (Ilma'nunah et al., 2025). Participants were informed regarding the objectives, procedures, confidentiality protections, and voluntary nature of the study before data collection commenced. Written informed consent was obtained from all adult participants, while parental permission was secured for classroom observations involving children. The use of pseudonyms ensured participant anonymity and protected institutional confidentiality. Additionally, the researcher maintained sensitivity toward children's emotional well-being and avoided any intrusive observational practices that could disrupt educational activities.

The conclusion drawing technique employed in this study followed an inductive analytical process characteristic of qualitative research (Krönig & Oliverio, 2025). Conclusions were developed gradually through continuous interaction between collected data, thematic patterns, and theoretical interpretation. The researcher engaged in iterative reflection throughout the analysis process to identify meaningful relationships among themes and to construct coherent explanations regarding inclusive education practices and student development diversity. Pattern matching techniques were used to compare empirical findings with theoretical assumptions derived from the selected conceptual frameworks. The final conclusions were formulated after achieving thematic saturation, where no substantially new information emerged from the data (Gingrich et al., 2025).

Overall, the qualitative case study methodology employed in this research provided comprehensive and contextualized understanding regarding the implementation of inclusive education practices in early childhood learning environments. The methodological approach enabled exploration of complex educational interactions, institutional realities, and developmental diversity in ways that quantitative approaches may not fully capture. Through interviews, observations, and document analysis, the study generated rich empirical insights regarding how inclusive pedagogical practices contribute to supporting diverse dimensions of student development. The methodological rigor, ethical considerations, and triangulated analytical procedures strengthened the credibility and academic value of the research findings while contributing meaningfully to contemporary discussions concerning inclusive education in early childhood learning contexts.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study demonstrate that inclusive education practices in early childhood learning environments contribute significantly to supporting diversity in student development through adaptive pedagogy, collaborative interaction, differentiated instruction, emotional inclusion, and institutional support systems (Fu et al., 2025). The results indicate that inclusive classrooms provide opportunities for children from diverse developmental, social, linguistic, behavioral, and cognitive backgrounds to participate actively in learning activities while simultaneously developing interpersonal competence, emotional resilience, and academic readiness (As & Vreden, 2024). The implementation of inclusive education practices within the selected early childhood institution revealed that teachers employed flexible learning approaches designed to accommodate individual differences among students. These practices included collaborative play-based learning, differentiated classroom activities, peer interaction programs, individualized developmental support, and continuous communication between teachers and parents.

The research findings also reveal that inclusive education practices function not only as institutional obligations but as transformative pedagogical strategies capable of strengthening children’s holistic development (Aybek et al., 2025). Teachers consistently adapted instructional methods according to children’s learning characteristics and developmental needs. Classroom activities emphasized participation, cooperation, creativity, emotional expression, and social acceptance. Furthermore, the findings indicate that inclusive educational environments positively influenced children’s confidence, empathy, communication skills, and willingness to interact with peers from different backgrounds (Topolska, 2025). This condition reflects the growing recognition that diversity within early childhood classrooms should be viewed as an educational resource rather than a pedagogical challenge.

Table

Table 1 Main Findings of Inclusive Education Practices in Early Childhood Learning

No	Research Findings	Description of Implementation	Developmental Impact
1	Adaptive Learning Strategies	Teachers modified learning activities according to children’s developmental characteristics	Improved cognitive engagement and participation
2	Collaborative Peer Interaction	Students were encouraged to work and play cooperatively	Strengthened social communication and empathy
3	Emotional Inclusion Practices	Teachers provided emotional support and positive reinforcement	Increased emotional confidence and resilience
4	Parent-School Collaboration	Continuous communication between parents and teachers	Enhanced developmental consistency between school and home
5	Flexible Classroom Environment	Learning spaces accommodated diverse learning preferences	Improved comfort and learning accessibility
6	Individualized Development Monitoring	Teachers observed developmental progress individually	Supported personalized developmental intervention

The first major finding concerns adaptive learning strategies implemented by teachers within inclusive classrooms. Teachers designed flexible instructional activities that considered differences in children’s learning pace, communication abilities, emotional conditions, and developmental readiness (Zamfirov et al., 2025). For example, visual learning materials, storytelling activities, sensory play, and collaborative games were modified to ensure active participation among all students. This finding directly addresses the primary research problem concerning the inconsistency between inclusive educational ideals and practical classroom implementation. The study demonstrates that effective inclusive education requires pedagogical flexibility rather than standardized instructional procedures.

This finding strongly aligns with Lev Semyonovich Vygotsky’s Sociocultural Theory, introduced in 1978 at Moscow State University, Soviet Union (Puerta & Sánchez, 2024). Vygotsky emphasized that children’s learning develops through social interaction and guided participation. The implementation of adaptive learning strategies within inclusive classrooms reflected the concept of the Zone of Proximal Development because teachers continuously provided scaffolding and instructional assistance appropriate to children’s developmental capacities. Jerome Bruner’s scaffolding perspective further supports this finding by explaining that temporary instructional support enables children to achieve higher developmental outcomes gradually (Steinert & Jurkowski, 2023). The findings also support Barbara Rogoff’s guided participation theory, which highlights the importance of collaborative educational engagement in children’s cognitive and social development (Nganga et al., 2025).

The implementation of adaptive learning strategies additionally reflects Howard Gardner’s Multiple Intelligences Theory developed at Harvard University, United States, in 1983. Teachers

recognized that children possessed varied intellectual strengths and learning styles, leading them to integrate visual, kinesthetic, linguistic, interpersonal, and creative learning activities into classroom instruction. The findings confirm that inclusive educational practices become more effective when teachers acknowledge developmental diversity and avoid uniform instructional expectations. This implementation reduced learning exclusion and increased children’s confidence in participating within classroom activities.

The second important finding concerns collaborative peer interaction. Children within inclusive classrooms frequently engaged in group activities, cooperative games, peer storytelling sessions, and collaborative problem-solving exercises. Teachers intentionally encouraged interaction among students from different developmental and social backgrounds to foster social acceptance and emotional understanding (Hidayat et al., 2025). Observational data demonstrated that collaborative interaction improved children’s communication competence, empathy, emotional regulation, and willingness to support peers experiencing learning difficulties.

Picture

Table 2. Forms of Collaborative Inclusive Learning Activities

Inclusive Activity	Educational Purpose	Observed Developmental Outcomes
Group storytelling	Improve communication and listening skills	Increased verbal interaction
Cooperative games	Foster teamwork and empathy	Improved peer relationships
Sensory play activities	Encourage participation among diverse learners	Enhanced emotional engagement
Role-playing activities	Strengthen social understanding	Improved social adaptation
Collaborative art projects	Promote creativity and inclusion	Increased confidence and cooperation

The findings concerning peer collaboration strongly correspond with Sociocultural Theory because learning was facilitated through shared social experiences (GS et al., 2025). Vygotsky argued that cognitive development occurs through interaction with more capable peers and supportive adults. The findings indicate that inclusive educational settings create opportunities for mutual learning and cooperative participation, thereby strengthening children’s social and developmental competencies simultaneously. This finding also supports James Wertsch’s mediated action perspective, which explains that communication and cultural interaction shape children’s learning processes (Kilinc et al., 2025).

From the perspective of Bronfenbrenner’s Ecological Systems Theory, collaborative peer interaction reflects the importance of microsystem relationships within children’s developmental environments (Popadych & Opachko, 2024). Bronfenbrenner, who developed the theory at Cornell University, United States, in 1979, emphasized that developmental outcomes are influenced by immediate social interactions occurring within schools and families. The findings demonstrate that inclusive classroom relationships significantly contribute to emotional security, social competence, and behavioral adaptation among children. These results are consistent with Ann Masten’s resilience theory, which highlights that supportive social environments strengthen children’s developmental resilience and emotional adjustment (Ha et al., 2024).

Another significant finding concerns emotional inclusion practices implemented by teachers. Teachers consistently provided emotional affirmation, positive reinforcement, individualized attention, and supportive communication to children experiencing anxiety, behavioral challenges, or social withdrawal. Emotional inclusion was not treated as a separate intervention but integrated into daily classroom interactions and learning activities. Teachers encouraged emotional expression through storytelling, reflective dialogue, and collaborative play activities. This approach contributed positively to children’s emotional stability, self-confidence, and social participation (Hohaia-Rollinson et al., 2025).

This finding directly addresses the identified research gap concerning the limited integration between inclusive pedagogy and holistic child development approaches. Previous studies frequently focused on policy implementation or physical classroom inclusion without adequately examining emotional inclusion processes within early childhood learning environments. The findings of this study reveal that emotional support constitutes a critical dimension of effective inclusive education because emotional security influences children’s participation, communication, and developmental engagement.

The findings are strongly connected to Bronfenbrenner’s ecological perspective because emotional inclusion was influenced by interactions between teachers, families, and institutional support systems (Che et al., 2024). Joyce Epstein’s school-family-community partnership framework further explains that collaborative educational relationships strengthen children’s emotional and developmental well-being (Burgt et al., 2024). The implementation of emotional inclusion practices also aligns with Gardner’s interpersonal and intrapersonal intelligence dimensions, which emphasize emotional understanding, self-awareness, and social communication as important developmental capacities within inclusive educational environments (Yun, 2023).

Another major finding concerns the importance of parent-school collaboration in supporting inclusive education practices. Teachers regularly communicated with parents regarding children’s developmental progress, learning challenges, behavioral adaptation, and emotional needs. Collaborative meetings, developmental consultations, and home-learning activities were implemented to strengthen consistency between educational experiences at school and home environments. Parents reported that inclusive educational communication improved their understanding of children’s developmental characteristics and encouraged greater involvement in learning support activities.

Table

Table 3. Parent-School Collaboration in Inclusive Early Childhood Education

Collaboration Strategy	Implementation Process	Educational Contribution
Regular parent consultations	Monthly developmental discussions	Improved developmental monitoring
Home learning activities	Parent-assisted learning tasks	Strengthened school-home continuity
Teacher-parent communication	Daily progress reports	Enhanced emotional and academic support
Inclusive parenting workshops	Educational training sessions	Increased parental awareness of inclusion

The findings regarding parent-school collaboration support Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory because developmental success emerged through interconnected environmental systems involving schools, families, and educational communities. Michael Fullan's educational transformation perspective also reinforces this finding by emphasizing that sustainable inclusive education requires collective institutional responsibility and collaborative stakeholder engagement (Ala, 2024). The study demonstrates that inclusive learning becomes more effective when parents actively participate in developmental support and educational communication processes.

The findings additionally reveal several implementation challenges that continue to affect inclusive educational practices. Teachers reported difficulties related to limited professional training, classroom management complexity, insufficient learning resources, and varying levels of parental understanding regarding inclusion (Purnamawati & Sukinah, 2025). Some teachers experienced challenges balancing individualized support with broader classroom instructional responsibilities. Institutional limitations related to infrastructure adaptation and specialized educational support were also identified.

These findings reflect the continuing gap between inclusive educational policy ideals and practical classroom realities (Brown, 2025). Previous research frequently identified similar challenges concerning teacher preparedness, curriculum adaptation, and institutional support limitations. However, the present study contributes new understanding by demonstrating that adaptive collaboration and flexible pedagogical strategies can partially overcome these limitations within early childhood educational contexts. The findings therefore extend previous research by emphasizing practical implementation mechanisms rather than solely describing institutional constraints.

The findings directly answer the formulated research questions regarding how inclusive education practices are implemented, what challenges are encountered, and how inclusive learning supports student development diversity. Teachers implemented inclusive practices through differentiated instruction, collaborative interaction, emotional support, and adaptive learning environments. The challenges involved institutional limitations, teacher workload complexity, and varying developmental needs among students. Nevertheless, the findings confirm that inclusive pedagogical approaches positively contribute to cognitive engagement, emotional development, communication competence, and social participation among children (Lindsey, 2024).

The study also successfully achieved its research objectives. First, the research explained how inclusive educational practices operate within early childhood learning environments through adaptive pedagogical strategies and collaborative developmental support systems. Second, the study identified institutional and instructional challenges affecting inclusive implementation. Third, the research demonstrated that inclusive educational practices contribute positively to multidimensional student development, including emotional, social, cognitive, and interpersonal growth.

The theoretical contribution of this study lies in the integration of Sociocultural Theory, Ecological Systems Theory, and Multiple Intelligences Theory within the context of inclusive early childhood education. The findings confirm that inclusive learning environments function effectively when social interaction, environmental collaboration, and developmental diversity are addressed simultaneously. Theoretically, the study expands inclusive education discourse by positioning inclusivity as a holistic developmental process rather than merely institutional integration (Rufiandri, 2023).

Academically, the study contributes to contemporary discussions concerning child-centered pedagogy, educational diversity, and inclusive learning implementation within early childhood education contexts. The findings provide conceptual references for future researchers examining inclusive educational practices, developmental adaptation, and collaborative learning systems. The study also enriches interdisciplinary educational research by integrating psychological, sociological, and pedagogical perspectives within inclusive education analysis.

Practically, the findings offer valuable implications for teachers, school administrators, curriculum developers, and policymakers. Teachers are encouraged to adopt flexible and differentiated instructional approaches responsive to developmental diversity (AKINLAR et al., 2023). Educational institutions should strengthen teacher professional development, emotional inclusion practices, and collaborative partnerships with parents. Policymakers may utilize the findings to improve inclusive educational policies, curriculum adaptation frameworks, and institutional support mechanisms for early childhood education.

The discussion of findings also demonstrates consistency with previous international studies regarding the importance of adaptive pedagogy and collaborative educational environments in supporting inclusive learning. Earlier research similarly emphasized that inclusive classrooms strengthen children's social competence and emotional participation when supported by flexible teaching strategies and supportive school cultures (Chidaura, 2025). However, this study contributes additional insight by integrating three theoretical perspectives simultaneously and emphasizing the interconnected relationship between emotional inclusion, collaborative interaction, and developmental diversity within early childhood education.

Furthermore, the findings reinforce previous studies indicating that inclusive education should not focus exclusively on children with special educational needs but must address broader dimensions of diversity among all learners (Thomassen & Ringsmose, 2023). The study confirms that diversity-oriented educational approaches contribute positively to children's empathy, communication competence, creativity, and emotional resilience. These outcomes demonstrate that inclusive education practices support not only academic participation but also holistic developmental growth.

In conclusion, the results and discussion confirm that inclusive education practices in early childhood learning environments significantly support diversity in student development through adaptive pedagogy, collaborative interaction, emotional inclusion, and ecological educational support systems. The findings address the main research problem concerning the inconsistency between inclusive educational ideals and implementation realities by demonstrating that flexible and collaborative pedagogical approaches can strengthen developmental inclusion effectively. The integration of Sociocultural Theory, Ecological Systems Theory, and Multiple Intelligences Theory provides comprehensive explanation regarding how inclusive practices support cognitive, emotional, social, and interpersonal development among diverse learners. The findings also bridge existing research gaps by integrating developmental, pedagogical, and environmental perspectives within inclusive education analysis. Therefore, this study contributes theoretically, academically, and practically to the advancement of inclusive early childhood education capable of supporting diversity, participation, and holistic child development within contemporary educational contexts.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that inclusive education practices in early childhood learning environments play a significant role in supporting diversity in student development through adaptive pedagogical approaches, collaborative interaction, emotional inclusion, and institutional support systems. The findings demonstrate that inclusive learning is not limited to the physical integration of children from diverse developmental backgrounds into shared classrooms, but rather represents a comprehensive educational process that promotes equitable participation, developmental accessibility, and holistic child growth. The implementation of inclusive educational practices within the researched institution revealed that children experienced positive developmental outcomes in cognitive engagement, emotional confidence, communication competence, social interaction, creativity, and collaborative participation. These findings confirm that inclusive education in early childhood settings contributes substantially to creating learning environments that respect diversity and encourage every child to develop according to their individual potential and characteristics.

The study further concludes that adaptive learning strategies constitute one of the most important dimensions of successful inclusive early childhood education. Teachers who implemented flexible instructional approaches, differentiated learning activities, and individualized developmental support were able to accommodate variations in children's learning abilities, communication styles,

emotional conditions, and social behaviors. The findings indicate that adaptive pedagogical practices strengthen children's learning participation and increase their sense of belonging within classroom environments. In addition, collaborative learning activities such as group play, cooperative storytelling, peer interaction, and shared creative projects were found to improve children's empathy, communication skills, and social acceptance. These outcomes demonstrate that inclusive educational practices create opportunities for children to develop interpersonal competence while simultaneously learning to appreciate diversity within educational settings.

The research also confirms that emotional inclusion plays a central role in strengthening student development within inclusive classrooms. Teachers who consistently provided emotional affirmation, positive reinforcement, and supportive communication contributed positively to children's emotional resilience, self-confidence, and social adaptation. Emotional inclusion enabled children to participate more actively in learning activities and reduced feelings of exclusion or anxiety among students with diverse developmental needs. Furthermore, the study reveals that supportive emotional environments encourage stronger classroom participation and healthier peer relationships. This finding reinforces the understanding that emotional security is an essential prerequisite for effective learning and developmental engagement during early childhood education.

Another important conclusion emerging from the study concerns the critical role of parent-school collaboration in supporting inclusive educational implementation. Continuous communication between teachers and parents contributed to more effective developmental monitoring, learning consistency, and emotional support for children. The collaborative relationship between educational institutions and families strengthened the alignment between home and school learning experiences, thereby supporting children's developmental continuity. The findings indicate that inclusive education becomes more sustainable when educational stakeholders collectively participate in supporting children's developmental needs and learning experiences.

The study additionally identifies several challenges affecting the implementation of inclusive education practices in early childhood learning environments. Teachers experienced difficulties related to limited professional training, classroom diversity management, instructional adaptation, and resource availability. Institutional limitations concerning infrastructure support and inclusive educational facilities also influenced the effectiveness of implementation. Nevertheless, the findings demonstrate that collaborative institutional culture, adaptive pedagogy, and continuous teacher commitment can partially overcome these limitations and contribute positively to inclusive educational outcomes.

From a theoretical perspective, the study confirms the relevance and interconnection of Sociocultural Theory by Lev Semyonovich Vygotsky, Ecological Systems Theory by Urie Bronfenbrenner, and Multiple Intelligences Theory by Howard Gardner in explaining inclusive educational practices within early childhood learning. Vygotsky's sociocultural perspective explains how collaborative interaction and guided participation support cognitive and social development among diverse learners. Bronfenbrenner's ecological framework highlights the importance of interconnected environmental systems involving teachers, families, institutions, and communities in supporting inclusive child development. Gardner's multiple intelligences perspective reinforces the necessity of recognizing diverse learning potentials and instructional needs among children within inclusive educational environments. The integration of these three theoretical perspectives provides a comprehensive explanation regarding how inclusive education supports multidimensional student development.

Academically, this study contributes to the advancement of inclusive education research by integrating developmental, pedagogical, emotional, and ecological dimensions within early childhood learning contexts. The findings enrich scholarly discussions concerning diversity-oriented pedagogy, adaptive educational systems, and child-centered learning approaches. Practically, the study offers important implications for teachers, educational institutions, curriculum developers, and policymakers regarding the implementation of inclusive learning strategies that accommodate developmental diversity effectively. The findings also encourage the strengthening of teacher professional development programs, collaborative educational partnerships, and institutional support mechanisms capable of sustaining inclusive educational practices.

Overall, this study concludes that inclusive education practices in early childhood learning environments significantly contribute to supporting diversity in student development when implemented through adaptive instruction, collaborative interaction, emotional inclusion, and ecological educational support. Inclusive learning environments not only facilitate academic participation but also foster emotional well-being, social competence, empathy, and developmental equity among children. Therefore, inclusive education should be understood as a transformative educational approach that values diversity as a constructive foundation for holistic child development and sustainable educational improvement in contemporary early childhood education contexts.

REFERENCES

- Abdunabievich, O. I. (2025). Pedagogical Bases Of Providing Psychological Support To Youth In Inclusive Education. In *International Journal of Pedagogics* (Vol. 5, Nomor 12, hal. 192–195). The USA Journals. <https://doi.org/10.37547/ijp/volume05issue12-52>
- AKINLAR, A., KAMIŞLI, M. U., YILDIZ, H. S., & BOZKURT, A. (2023). Bridging the Digital Divide in Migrant Education During the COVID-19 Era: Through the Lenses of Critical Pedagogy Lens and Inclusive Education. In *Journal of Qualitative Research in Education* (Nomor 36, hal. 30–53). UK Scientific Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.14689/enad.36.1646>
- Al-Zahrani, A. M. (2025). Evaluating the impact of inclusive and accessible learning technologies on student engagement and academic performance in higher education. In *International Journal of Inclusive Education* (hal. 1–29). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2025.2593563>
- Ala, J. D. M. (2024). Expelling the Myth of the Average Student by Integrating Inclusive Learning Environments into International Studies Classrooms. In *The Oxford Handbook of International Studies Pedagogy* (hal. 441–456). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780197544891.013.22>
- As, A. Van, & Vreden, M. Van. (2024). Reimagining Music and Music-Making in Early Learning Spaces. In *International Perspectives on Early Childhood Education and Development* (hal. 135–149). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-59648-3_8
- Asmi, R. (2024). A Refuge for the Faithful in Academia. In *Faith Honoring* (hal. 67–71). Academic Studies Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/jj.36309297.13>
- Aybek, T., Abdugaparovna, U. M., & Abdurakhimovich, K. K. (2025). Student Volunteering - Foreign Experience in Increasing the Efficiency of Involving Children with Special Needs in Inclusive Education. In *International Journal of Pedagogics* (Vol. 5, Nomor 8, hal. 139–142). The USA Journals. <https://doi.org/10.37547/ijp/volume05issue08-36>
- Bandyopadhyay, M. (2025). Teachers and Teaching–Learning Environment. In *Towards Inclusive Education: Transforming Indian Schools Through Student Engagement* (hal. 177–234). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-7082-6_7
- Baranets, Y. Y. (2023). METHODOLOGY OF STAFF TRAINING OF EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS WITH INCLUSIVE EDUCATION. In *Innovate Pedagogy* (Vol. 1, Nomor 57, hal. 100–103). Publishing House Helvetica (Publications). <https://doi.org/10.32782/2663-6085/2023/57.1.19>
- Bedard, A., & Fyffe, L. (2025). “It Changed My Perspective”: Embedding Occupational Therapy Within an Early Childhood Education Centre Provides Inclusive Developmental Support and Access to Early Intervention. In *Child: Care, Health and Development* (Vol. 52, Nomor 1). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cch.70223>
- Bernhard, J. (2025). *Child Development, Cultural Diversity, and the Professional Training of Early Childhood Educators*. Ryerson University Library and Archives. <https://doi.org/10.32920/29120327>

- Bhimavarapu, U. (2025). Hybrid Deep Learning for Predicting Student Engagement in Open Distance Education. In *Improving Academic Performance and Achievement With Inclusive Learning Practices* (hal. 211–232). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-4501-7.ch009>
- Brown, A. (2025). Centring LGBT learners: A qualitative study of affirmative care and support in South African secondary schools. In *International Journal of Inclusive Education* (Vol. 29, Nomor 9, hal. 1579–1598). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2025.2512957>
- Burgt, M. van der, Widdershoven, G., Verhoeff, A. P., & Hein, I. M. (2024). Sexual education and development after early childhood sexual abuse: A qualitative study of experiences of parents and children. In *Child Abuse & Neglect* (Vol. 158, hal. 107069). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2024.107069>
- Çağlayan, İ., & Başal, H. A. (2023). Investigating preschool teachers' perspectives and practices on cultural diversity: an ethnographic case study. In *International Journal of Inclusive Education* (Vol. 29, Nomor 10, hal. 1706–1725). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2023.2291099>
- Che, Z., Wu, L., Yang, J., & Mao, P. (2024). Perspective Chapter: Implementing Inclusive Education through Informatization – A Case Study on Promotion of MOOCs in Western China. In *Education and Human Development*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.112620>
- Chidaura, I. (2025). An Analysis of Drama-in-Education as an Effective Teaching and Learning Methodology in Early Childhood Education: A case of Mogoditshane Child Development Centre. In *Namibia Journal of Managerial Sciences* (Vol. 6, Nomor 2, hal. 27–43). The International University of Management. <https://doi.org/10.64375/sfyskj35>
- Dewi, R., Hidayat, S., & Hendrayana, A. (2025). Teacher Strategies for Supporting Students with Learning Difficulties in Inclusive Primary Education: A Qualitative Case Study from Indonesia. In *PPSDP International Journal of Education* (Vol. 4, Nomor 2, hal. 1287–1294). Perkumpulan Program Studi Doktor Pendidikan. <https://doi.org/10.59175/pijed.v4i2.836>
- Dewi, W. P., Sudadio, S., & Anriani, N. (2023). The Inclusive Learning in Inclusive Education Provider Schools. In *PPSDP International Journal of Education* (Vol. 2, Nomor 2, hal. 514–523). Perkumpulan Program Studi Doktor Pendidikan. <https://doi.org/10.59175/pijed.v2i2.153>
- Efthymiou, E. (2023). Examining Teachers' Perspectives on Equitable Digital Education. In *Advances in Educational Technologies and Instructional Design* (hal. 97–130). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-8504-0.ch005>
- Elballah, K., & Alsayed, A. (2025). Teachers' perceptions of rejection sensitivity in inclusive primary schools: qualitative case study. In *Frontiers in Education* (Vol. 10). Frontiers Media SA. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2025.1511176>
- Evans, A. (2024). Cultivating Student Voices: Multimodal Composing in the Community College. In *Teaching Community College and Historically Underserved Students* (hal. 41–52). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-68741-9_4
- Evans, L. (2025). Preparing Inclusive Early Childhood Educators: A Conceptualization of Multilingualism and Inclusivity. In *Proceedings of the 2025 AERA Annual Meeting*. AERA. <https://doi.org/10.3102/2186315>
- Foykas, E. (2024). Children's Voice as a Parameter of Ryan's Model for Promoting Inclusive Education: The Case of Greece. In *European Journal of Education and Pedagogy* (Vol. 5, Nomor 3, hal. 1–7). European Open Science Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejedu.2024.5.3.824>

- Fu, W., Tao, T., & She, L. (2025). The relationship of inclusive education climate and teachers' differentiated instruction: the mediating role of efficacy for inclusive practices and attitudes towards inclusive education. In *International Journal of Inclusive Education* (hal. 1–21). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2025.2584601>
- Gingrich, O., Flynn, R., & Watkins, J. (2025). Fostering independent animation learners through an inclusive, scaffolded pedagogy. In *Compass: Journal of Learning and Teaching in Higher Education* (Vol. 18, Nomor 1). University of Greenwich. <https://doi.org/10.21100/compass.v18i1.1548>
- GS, S., Ramesh, R., VR, B., Nayar, N., & Sheshadri, S. (2025). Inclusive Learning Model for Technology-Enhanced Vocational Education Through Culturally Responsive Pedagogy. In *2025 Gender and Technology Conference (GTC)* (hal. 1–6). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/gtc64325.2025.11478104>
- Ha, N., Byun, S., Lang, S., & Jeon, L. (2024). Qualitative study on Social-Emotional Learning for Teachers (SELF-T): a professional development intervention promoting early childhood educators' knowledge of emotional well-being. In *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal* (Vol. 33, Nomor 4, hal. 727–741). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1350293x.2024.2425947>
- Hafizah, E. N., & Alfulaila, N. (2023). Differentiated Learning for Disabilities in Inclusive Class : Learning Groups On Inclusive Class By Using Multiple Strategies. In *INCLUSIVE EDUCATION* (Vol. 1, Nomor 2, hal. 119–138). Angstrom Centre of Education Journal Management. <https://doi.org/10.57142/inclusion.v1i2.15>
- Hidayanto, N. E. (2025). Internalisasi Nilai-Nilai Pancasila dalam Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini: Sebuah Tinjauan Sistematis. In *JECIE (Journal of Early Childhood and Inclusive Education)* (Vol. 8, Nomor 2, hal. 489–496). Universitas PGRI Argopuro Jember. <https://doi.org/10.31537/jecie.v8i2.2458>
- Hidayat, A. F. M., Diana, D., & Pranoto, Y. K. S. (2025). An Analysis of School Principals' Leadership in Implementing Inclusive Education in Early Childhood Education Institutions. In *Jurnal Pemberdayaan Masyarakat* (Vol. 4, Nomor 2, hal. 347–358). Yayasan Keluarga Guru Mandiri. <https://doi.org/10.46843/jpm.v4i2.488>
- Hohaia-Rollinson, F., Hall, E., & Tate, A. (2025). Becoming a qualified teacher in early childhood education in Aotearoa New Zealand: Challenges for student teachers with disabilities. In *Pacific Early Childhood Education Research Association* (Vol. 19, Nomor 2, hal. 77–101). Pacific Early Childhood Education Research Association. <https://doi.org/10.17206/aprece.2025.19.2.77>
- Hosnan, H., Maskuri, M., & Hanief, M. (2024). Empowering Diversity: A Multicultural Approach to Inclusive Islamic Education. In *International Journal of Social Science and Human Research* (Vol. 7, Nomor 3). Everant Journals. <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijsshr/v7-i03-65>
- Hwang, M.-H. (2024). Career and Life Development of a Gifted Student in Poverty: A Qualitative Longitudinal Case Study. In *Korean Society for Holistic Convergence Education* (Vol. 28, Nomor 1, hal. 143–166). Korean Society for Holistic Convergence Education. <https://doi.org/10.35184/kshce.2023.28.1.143>
- Ilma'nunah, L., Mulyana, A., & Jazariyah, J. (2025). The Role of Parenting in Fostering Independence Among Kindergarten Children: A Qualitative Case Study. In *GENIUS Indonesian Journal of Early Childhood Education* (Vol. 6, Nomor 1, hal. 87–102). IAIN Jember. <https://doi.org/10.35719/gns.v6i1.195>
- Isnaini, Indri Dwi, Fitri, N. D., & Ariyanti, Mira Pradipta. (2025). ANALYSIS OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL NEEDS IN INCLUSIVE EDUCATION IN KINDERGARTEN. In *Al Hikmah Indonesian Journal of Early Childhood Islamic Education* (Vol. 8, Nomor 2, hal. 352–361). Universitas Al-Hikmah Indonesia.

<https://doi.org/10.35896/ijecie.v8i2.840>

- Kilinc, S., Borowski, N. D., Millinger, J., & Kelley, M. F. (2025). Fostering inclusive learning through bilingual drama-based storytime and UDL for young emergent multilinguals with disabilities. In *Journal of Childhood, Education & Society* (Vol. 6, Nomor 2, hal. 313–333). Journal of Childhood, Education and Society. <https://doi.org/10.37291/2717638x.202562611>
- Kim, Y., & Kim, S. (2023). Perspectives of Students with Disabilities on Inclusive Physical Education: Qualitative Meta-Analysis. In *Korean Journal of Sport Pedagogy* (Vol. 30, Nomor 1, hal. 123–147). Korean Association of Sport Pedagogy. <https://doi.org/10.21812/kjsp.2023.1.30.1.123>
- Köroğlu, Z. Ç., Öz, G., & Yüce, E. (2023). Inclusive Education Practices During the COVID-19 Pandemic From the Eyes of Turkish EFL Student Teachers. In *Advances in Educational Technologies and Instructional Design* (hal. 175–192). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-3632-5.ch011>
- Krönig, F. kasper, & Oliverio, S. (2025). the negativity of the child. In *childhood & philosophy* (Vol. 21, hal. 1–28). Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro. <https://doi.org/10.12957/childphilo.2025.86182>
- Lindsey, J. L. (2024). The Power of a Holistic Education with Faith Honoring. In *Faith Honoring* (hal. 113–117). Academic Studies Press. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9798887196022-018>
- Luthfia, S. (2024). BENIFITS OF AN INCLUSIVE EDUCATION PROGRAMS ON EARLY CHILDHOOD SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Education* (Vol. 2, Nomor 1, hal. 650–656). Universitas Serambi Mekkah. <https://doi.org/10.32672/pice.v2i1.1430>
- Man, T. (2025). A Case Study of Inclusive Innovation. In *Encyclopedia of Diversity, Equity, Inclusion and Spirituality* (hal. 1–4). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-32257-0_121-1
- Molin-Karakoc, L., & Ikola, M. (2024). Learning Engagement as a target for interventions: a qualitative study of first-generation migrant adolescents in Finnish secondary education. In *Migration and Wellbeing* (hal. 124–137). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781032633503-10>
- Mpolomoka, D. L., Mandyata, J., Muvombo, M., Kabundula, P., & Beirat A., M. (2025). Bridging the Gap: Evaluating the Effectiveness of One-Course Special Education Training in Preparing Teachers for Inclusive Classrooms in Zambia. In *Journal of Early Childhood Development and Education* (Vol. 2, Nomor 2, hal. 44–51). CV Media Inti Teknologi. <https://doi.org/10.58723/junior.v2i2.385>
- Msimango, W. N. (2025). Empowering Pre-Service Teachers to Enhance Inclusive Education Through Technology. In *Empowering Pre-Service Teachers to Enhance Inclusive Education Through Technology* (hal. 59–86). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-8759-7.ch003>
- Naskath, J., Rajakumari, R., Rabiya, M. S., Shali, A., & Sampathkumar, N. (2023). Outcome-Based Education Through E-Learning Pedagogy. In *Advances in Educational Technologies and Instructional Design* (hal. 326–346). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-9072-3.ch017>
- Neethling, M. (2025). Fostering inclusive early childhood through the pedagogy of play for quality education. In *NWU Community-based Educational Research Series* (hal. 171–194). AOSIS. <https://doi.org/10.4102/aosis.2024.bk432.08>
- Newton, N. (2024). Dismantling the Barriers to Successful Inclusive Education Area: Inclusive Education – Inclusive Teaching and Learning. In *Canada International Conference on Education (CICE-2024)* (hal. 164). Infonomics Society. <https://doi.org/10.20533/cice.2024.0057>

- Newton, N. (2025). Facilitating Successful Inclusive Education Practices Through Pedagogy, Research, and Technology. In *International Journal of Technology and Inclusive Education* (Vol. 14, Nomor 1, hal. 2011–2022). Infonomics Society. <https://doi.org/10.20533/ijtie.2047.0533.2025.0250>
- Nganga, L., Nydam, A., & Kambutu, J. (2025). Goal Setting for Teacher Development: Enhancing Culturally Responsive, Inclusive, and Social Justice Pedagogy. In *Education Sciences* (Vol. 15, Nomor 3, hal. 264). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15030264>
- Nkansah, J. (2025). Teacher and Student Roles in Freire's Critical Pedagogy: A Qualitative Case Study. In *Mid-Western Educational Researcher* (Vol. 37, Nomor 1). Bowling Green State University Libraries. <https://doi.org/10.25035/mwer.37.01.02>
- Nteropoulou-Nterou, E., & Gelastopoulou, M. (2025). The individualized education program in early childhood education: improving inclusive practices through action research. In *Educational Action Research* (Vol. 34, Nomor 1, hal. 21–40). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09650792.2025.2488429>
- Olefir, N. V. (2023). ORGANIZATION OF INCLUSIVE EDUCATION OF CHILDREN WITH HEARING IMPAIRMENT IN GENERAL SECONDARY EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS. In *Innovate Pedagogy* (Vol. 1, Nomor 57, hal. 110–113). Publishing House Helvetica (Publications). <https://doi.org/10.32782/2663-6085/2023/57.1.21>
- Pakombwele, A., Hlatshwayo, I., Sikhangele, M., Gumbo, R., & Ncube, S. (2024). Quality and Inclusive Education in Zimbabwean Rural Early Childhood Development Centres. In *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science* (hal. 1242–1253). RSIS International. <https://doi.org/10.47772/ijriss.2024.801093>
- Popadych, O. O., & Opachko, M. V. (2024). SECTION 9. Trends in the Development of Inclusive Education in Higher Education Institutions. Inclusive Environment in Higher Education Institutions: State and Prospects for Development. In *DEVELOPMENT TRENDS IN SPECIAL AND INCLUSIVE EDUCATION IN THE CONTEXT OF THE EUROPEAN DIMENSION: THEORY AND PRACTICE* (hal. 164–168). Baltija Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.30525/978-9934-26-457-3-9>
- Puerta, M. A., & Sánchez, M. T. P. (2024). Design and validation of a programme to improve attitudes towards disability in early childhood education. In *International Journal of Inclusive Education* (Vol. 29, Nomor 11, hal. 1919–1936). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2024.2325931>
- Purnamawati, P., & Sukinah, S. (2025). Inclusive School Management in Project-Based Learning Implementation. In *Journal of Innovation and Research in Primary Education* (Vol. 4, Nomor 4, hal. 1957–1967). Paguyuban Panalungtik Sunda. <https://doi.org/10.56916/jirpe.v4i4.1972>
- Ragmoun, W., & Alfalih, A. A. (2024). Inclusive Special Education and Student Happiness Questionnaire. In *PsycTESTS Dataset*. American Psychological Association (APA). <https://doi.org/10.1037/t96836-000>
- Rakolobe, K. (2023). An African Perspective on Inclusive Education Content, Conversations and Policy Debates: The Case of Lesotho. In *Using African Epistemologies in Shaping Inclusive Education Knowledge* (hal. 87–102). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-31115-4_6
- Ramoutar, S., Richardson, J., Ramlal, B., & Tambie, J. (2023). Using Technology to Foster Inclusion and Diversity in Higher Education: A Case study of Geospatial Tools in Engineering Education. In *Educational Communications and Technology: Issues and Innovations* (hal. 513–526). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-37697-9_40
- Round, M. (2025). Continuing Professional Development and Relationships and Sex Education. In

- Exploring Elite Schools and Inclusive Child-centred Sex and Relationships Education* (hal. 127–154). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003603238-6>
- Rufiandri, D. (2023). Comparison of Implementation Curriculum 13 and Merdeka Policies In Inclusive Early Childhood Education. In *SEA-CECCEP* (Vol. 4, Nomor 2, hal. 118–129). The Southeast Asian Ministers of Education Organization for Early Childhood Care Education and Parenting (SEAMEO CECCEP). <https://doi.org/10.70896/seacecep.v4i02.83>
- Samuelsson, M., & Ingebrigtsen, T. (2023). Family-Professional Collaboration in Norwegian Early Childhood Education and Care. In *Critical Cultural Studies of Childhood* (hal. 287–302). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-34023-9_17
- Schmidtke, A., Jolley, T., Telford, K., Cleaver, M., & Snyder, K. (2025). Building child-centered classrooms: a process evaluation of the development of an experiential professional learning series. In *Journal of Early Childhood Teacher Education* (hal. 1–15). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10901027.2025.2582170>
- Shakhnoza, G. (2025). Inclusive Culture as A Pedagogical Dimension of Successful Education. In *Current Research Journal of Pedagogics* (Vol. 6, Nomor 6, hal. 12–15). The USA Journals. <https://doi.org/10.37547/pedagogics-crjp-06-06-03>
- Shen, D. (2025). Integrating multimedia technology into folk music education: impacts on student engagement and learning. In *International Journal of Inclusive Education* (hal. 1–25). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2025.2567422>
- Sotiropoulou-Zormpala, M. (2025). Aesthetic Theory and Student Engagement for a Holistic Perspective on Arts Integration. In *Exploring Aesthetic Approaches to Arts Integration* (hal. 79–126). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003409359-4>
- Steinert, C., & Jurkowski, S. (2023). Preparing student teachers for inclusive classes: the effects of co-teaching in higher education on students' knowledge and attitudes about inclusion. In *International Journal of Inclusive Education* (Vol. 28, Nomor 14, hal. 3392–3407). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2023.2274113>
- Thomassen, A. O., & Ringsmose, C. (2023). Increasing the Quality of Early Childhood Education and Care Through Organizational Development and Professional Learning. In *International Perspectives on Early Childhood Education and Development* (hal. 123–136). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-39419-5_8
- Topolska, E. (2025). PERSONALIZED LEARNING IN SUPPORT OF INCLUSIVE EDUCATION. In *Collection of papers from the Harmony in Diversity Conference Sofia, December 10th, 2025*. Za bukvite – O pismeneh. <https://doi.org/10.70300/tiry9670>
- Underwood, K. (2023). *Everyone Is Welcome: Inclusive Early Childhood Education and Care*. Ryerson University Library and Archives. <https://doi.org/10.32920/24150450>
- Vahneichong, B. M. (2025). Inclusive Pedagogy in Practice: Teacher Educators' Planning for Learner Diversity in Secondary Teacher Education Institutions of Manipur. In *The Review of Contemporary Scientific and Academic Studies* (Vol. 5, Nomor 6). The Review of Contemporary Scientific and Academic Studies. <https://doi.org/10.55454/rcsas.5.06.2025.004>
- Widiyawati, R., Edwita, & Sumadi, T. (2023). Addressing Diversity Through Religious Education In The Early Childhood Education Curriculum (Case Studies in Early Childhood Institutions). In *Scientia* (Vol. 2, Nomor 2, hal. 137–142). Association of Muslim Community Development (AMCA). <https://doi.org/10.51773/sssh.v2i2.241>
- Wijayanti, R. A. (2024). Linguistic Diversity in Education: Strategies for Inclusive Learning Environments. In *English Language and Education Spectrum* (Vol. 4, Nomor 1, hal. 30–46). Universitas Nasional Karangturi. <https://doi.org/10.53416/electrum.v4i1.228>

- Wilshire, J. M., Voelkel, R. H., Pazey, B., & Tassell, F. Van. (2025). Inclusive Professional Learning Communities and Special Education Collaboration: A Qualitative Case Study in Texas. In *Education Sciences* (Vol. 15, Nomor 10, hal. 1279). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15101279>
- Yeni, Y. D. herlinawati, & Rindaningsih, I. (2023). A Case Study of Classroom Management in an Inclusive School: Teachers' Strategies in Overcoming Bulliyng in Early Childhood Education. In *Pedagogia : Jurnal Pendidikan* (Vol. 13, Nomor 1, hal. 95–105). Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo. <https://doi.org/10.21070/pedagogia.v13i1.1634>
- Yun, K.-M. (2023). Qualitative Study on the Functions and Roles of Si/Gun/Gu Child Care Support Centers Related to Integration of Early Childhood Education and Childcare. In *The Korea Association for Early Childhood Education and Educare Welfare* (Vol. 27, Nomor 4, hal. 35–59). The Korea Association for Early Childhood Education and Educare Welfare. <https://doi.org/10.22590/ecee.2023.27.4.35>
- Zamfirov, M., Evgenieva, E., & Bakracheva, M. (2025). Cognitive Development in Inclusive Education Setting. In *Pedagogika-Pedagogy* (Vol. 97, Nomor 6, hal. 782–812). Az-buki National Publishing House. <https://doi.org/10.53656/ped2025-6.04>