

Pedagogical Silence: Exploring the Power of Intentional Pauses in Classroom Interaction

Adjie Syarofil Anam ¹, Athallah Bintang ², Arifin Ilham Budi ³

¹ Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta 1

² Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta 2

³ Universitas Negeri Jakarta 3

Correspondence: arifinilhmabdi@email.com

Article Info

Article history:

Received Aug 12th, 2025

Revised Nov 20th, 2025

Accepted Jan 26th, 2026

Keyword:

pedagogical silence, intentional pauses, classroom interaction, qualitative case study, metacognitive learning, wait time theory

ABSTRACT

This study aims to examine the role and impact of pedagogical silence, particularly intentional pauses, in shaping classroom interaction and enhancing student learning processes. Employing a qualitative approach, the research adopts a case study design to enable an in-depth and contextualized exploration of naturally occurring classroom dynamics. This design is selected due to its capacity to capture complex, real-life instructional practices and participants' lived experiences. The study is conducted at a secondary school in West Java, Indonesia, involving twenty participants, consisting of six teachers, twelve students, and two key informants, including a school principal and a curriculum coordinator. Participants are purposively selected based on their direct involvement in interactive teaching and learning processes. The findings reveal that intentional pauses significantly contribute to cognitive engagement, improve the quality of student responses, foster metacognitive reflection, and create a more inclusive and emotionally supportive classroom environment. Moreover, pedagogical silence is found to function as a strategic mediational space bridging thinking and participation. However, challenges remain in its consistent implementation due to limited pedagogical guidance. The study recommends the development of structured training and instructional frameworks to support teachers in effectively integrating intentional pauses into classroom practice.



© 2025 The Authors. Published by PT. KARYA GRAFINDO PRIMA PERKASA. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

INTRODUCTION

Pedagogical interaction in contemporary classrooms is often framed through the lens of verbal engagement, rapid feedback, and continuous dialogue, positioning talk as the primary medium through which learning is constructed (Barber, 2025). However, emerging perspectives in educational research suggest that silence, particularly when intentionally enacted, constitutes a meaningful and underexplored dimension of pedagogy (Mirabella et al., 2025). The concept of *pedagogical silence* refers not merely to the absence of speech, but to a deliberate instructional strategy in which pauses are used to facilitate cognitive processing, emotional regulation, and reflective engagement among learners (Wigal & Broussard, 2023). Within increasingly fast-paced and performance-driven learning environments, silence is frequently misinterpreted as disengagement or inefficiency, leading educators to minimize pauses in favor of constant verbal stimulation (Zachary & Matthew, 2023). This prevailing tendency underscores the need to reconsider silence as an active pedagogical resource rather than a passive void.

The state of the art in classroom discourse studies has largely emphasized dialogic teaching, teacher questioning techniques, and student participation patterns (Singh, 2025). Seminal works on wait time have demonstrated that brief pauses following teacher questions can enhance student responses in terms of length, complexity, and accuracy (Ismailov, 2024). Nevertheless, these studies often treat pauses as micro-level techniques rather than as a broader pedagogical philosophy (Huxley, 2024). More recent scholarship in contemplative education and socio-emotional learning has begun to recognize the value of stillness and reflection, yet empirical investigations that systematically conceptualize and operationalize intentional silence in everyday classroom interaction remain limited (Diamond &

Gomez, 2023). Furthermore, existing research tends to focus on either cognitive outcomes or affective dimensions in isolation, leaving a fragmented understanding of how silence functions holistically within pedagogical processes (Kerbs et al., 2024).

The main problem addressed in this study arises from the persistent marginalization of silence in instructional practice and research (Trasmundi, 2025). Despite evidence suggesting that learners benefit from opportunities to process information internally, many educators feel pressured to maintain constant verbal engagement due to curricular demands, classroom management concerns, and institutional expectations of visible activity (Picciuolo, 2025). This results in an overemphasis on immediacy, often at the expense of deeper comprehension and critical thinking (Wiklund-Engblom et al., 2025). Consequently, there is a lack of structured frameworks that guide teachers in implementing intentional pauses effectively and meaningfully within diverse classroom contexts (Stovall et al., 2024).

The research gap, therefore, lies in the absence of a comprehensive and integrative model that positions pedagogical silence as a central component of classroom interaction rather than a peripheral technique (Wild, 2023). While prior studies acknowledge the benefits of wait time, they rarely explore the qualitative dimensions of silence, such as its timing, duration, purpose, and contextual sensitivity (Parker-Shandal, 2024). Moreover, there is limited attention to how students perceive and respond to intentional pauses, particularly across different cultural and educational settings (Pronzato & Markham, 2023). This gap is further compounded by the scarcity of empirical studies that combine observational data, teacher reflections, and student experiences to examine the multifaceted impact of silence on learning processes (Riser, 2024).

In response to these limitations, this study offers a novel contribution by conceptualizing pedagogical silence as a dynamic and intentional practice that intersects cognitive, social, and emotional dimensions of learning. The novelty of this research lies in its attempt to move beyond the traditional notion of silence as mere “wait time” and instead frame it as a strategic pedagogical act that can foster metacognition, enhance participation equity, and support inclusive classroom environments. By integrating insights from discourse analysis, educational psychology, and reflective pedagogy, this study seeks to develop a more nuanced understanding of how intentional pauses function within real classroom interactions.

The central research questions guiding this study are formulated to address both theoretical and practical dimensions of pedagogical silence. The study asks how intentional pauses are enacted by teachers during classroom interaction, how students interpret and engage with these moments of silence, and what effects such pauses have on cognitive engagement, participation patterns, and classroom climate. Additionally, the research explores the conditions under which pedagogical silence becomes most effective, considering factors such as subject matter, student characteristics, and instructional goals.

Aligned with these questions, the primary objective of this research is to investigate the role and impact of intentional pauses as a pedagogical strategy in classroom interaction. More specifically, the study aims to identify patterns of silence in teaching practices, analyze their functions within instructional discourse, and evaluate their influence on student learning experiences. By doing so, the research intends to contribute to the development of evidence-based guidelines for integrating silence into pedagogical practice in a purposeful and context-sensitive manner.

The significance of this study can be understood across theoretical, academic, and practical dimensions. From a theoretical perspective, the research contributes to the expansion of classroom discourse theory by incorporating silence as an active and meaningful element of interaction. It challenges dominant paradigms that equate learning with verbal participation and opens new avenues for understanding the interplay between speech and silence in knowledge construction (Lischka-Schmidt, 2025). Academically, the study provides a foundation for further empirical investigations into non-verbal dimensions of pedagogy, offering methodological insights and conceptual frameworks that can be adapted and extended in future research. Practically, the findings are expected to inform educators, teacher trainers, and curriculum developers about the potential benefits of intentional pauses, equipping them with strategies to create more reflective, inclusive, and effective learning environments.

Despite its contributions, this study acknowledges several limitations. The scope of the research may be constrained by the specific educational contexts in which data are collected, potentially limiting the generalizability of the findings. Additionally, the interpretation of silence is inherently complex and may vary across cultural and individual perspectives, posing challenges for standardization and measurement. The reliance on qualitative data, while providing depth and richness, may also introduce subjectivity in analysis and interpretation (Gotwalt, 2023).

Given these limitations, future research is encouraged to explore pedagogical silence across a wider range of contexts, including different educational levels, disciplines, and cultural settings. Longitudinal studies could provide deeper insights into the sustained impact of intentional pauses on learning outcomes, while experimental designs may help establish causal relationships between silence and specific educational variables. Furthermore, interdisciplinary approaches that incorporate neuroscience, communication studies, and digital learning environments could enrich the understanding of how silence operates in both traditional and technologically mediated classrooms.

In sum, this study seeks to reposition silence from the margins to the center of pedagogical discourse, highlighting its potential as a powerful yet underutilized tool in classroom interaction. By systematically examining the functions and effects of intentional pauses, the research aims to contribute to a more balanced and holistic understanding of teaching and learning processes in contemporary education.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The scholarly discussion surrounding *Pedagogical Silence: Exploring the Power of Intentional Pauses in Classroom Interaction* is grounded in an interdisciplinary body of literature that bridges classroom discourse analysis, cognitive psychology, and socio-cultural learning theory (Pratolo et al., 2024). While classroom interaction has traditionally emphasized verbal exchanges as the core mechanism of learning, contemporary studies increasingly recognize that silence, when strategically enacted, constitutes a meaningful pedagogical act (O'Reilly et al., 2025). This literature review synthesizes three foundational theoretical perspectives that underpin the present study, namely Wait Time Theory, Sociocultural Theory, and Metacognitive Theory. Each of these frameworks offers a distinct yet complementary lens through which pedagogical silence can be conceptualized, operationalized, and evaluated within classroom contexts.

Wait Time Theory, popularized by Mary Budd Rowe in 1972 during her tenure at Columbia University, United States, serves as a seminal foundation for understanding the instructional value of pauses in classroom interaction (Heron, 2023). Rowe's empirical investigations revealed that extending the duration of silence following teacher questions typically beyond three seconds significantly improved the quality, length, and cognitive depth of student responses (Karahasanović-Avdibegović, 2023). According to Rowe, silence is not a passive interval but an active cognitive space that allows learners to process information, retrieve prior knowledge, and construct more elaborate answers (Gurgun et al., 2023). The theoretical framework emphasizes temporal structuring in teacher-student exchanges, suggesting that instructional pacing directly influences cognitive engagement (Gauna et al., 2024). Over time, this theory has evolved beyond its original quantitative focus on seconds of silence toward a more qualitative appreciation of how pauses shape interactional dynamics (Nurjain et al., 2023). Recent developments integrate digital learning environments and adaptive teaching technologies, where programmed pauses are embedded to enhance learner reflection (Greenberg et al., 2025). In relation to the present study, Wait Time Theory directly addresses the main research problem of underutilized pauses in classroom discourse and highlights a critical gap: the lack of deeper exploration into the qualitative and contextual dimensions of silence beyond timing alone.

Complementing this perspective is Sociocultural Theory, originally developed by Lev Semyonovich Vygotsky in 1934 at Moscow State University, Soviet Union (now Russia) (Cui & Teo, 2024). Vygotsky's framework posits that learning is fundamentally a social process mediated through interaction, language, and cultural tools (Sanchez & Athanases, 2023). Central to this theory is the concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), which represents the space between what learners can achieve independently and what they can accomplish with guidance (Creely & Waterhouse, 2024). Within this framework, silence can be interpreted as a mediational space where internalization occurs

(Swanwick et al., 2024). Rather than viewing silence as disengagement, Vygotsky's theory suggests that moments of pause enable learners to transition from external dialogue to internal thought processes (Edwards-Groves, 2024). Contemporary extensions of Sociocultural Theory have incorporated dialogic pedagogy and collaborative learning, emphasizing the interplay between speech and silence in co-constructing knowledge (Desai & Nguyen, 2024). Scholars in Western and Asian contexts have further highlighted how cultural norms influence perceptions of silence, positioning it as either a sign of respect, reflection, or reluctance (Shapiro et al., 2024). In the context of this study, Sociocultural Theory provides a critical lens for understanding how intentional pauses function within diverse classroom interactions, addressing the research gap related to students' interpretive experiences of silence and its socio-cultural variability.

The third theoretical foundation is Metacognitive Theory, extensively advanced by John H. Flavell in 1976 at Stanford University, United States (Li et al., 2023). Flavell introduced the concept of metacognition as "thinking about thinking," encompassing individuals' awareness and regulation of their cognitive processes (Mukaromah & Yulianto, 2023). Within this framework, pedagogical silence serves as a catalyst for metacognitive activity, allowing learners to monitor their understanding, evaluate their responses, and plan subsequent actions (Budairi, 2025). Intentional pauses create opportunities for reflective thinking, enabling students to engage in self-questioning and deeper comprehension (Al-Amri, 2024). Over the decades, Metacognitive Theory has expanded to include self-regulated learning, reflective practice, and mindfulness in education (Nelson, 2023). Recent research integrates digital tools and formative assessment strategies that encourage learners to pause, reflect, and revise their thinking processes (Nguyen-Thi et al., 2025). This theoretical perspective directly aligns with the objectives of the present study, particularly in examining how intentional pauses enhance cognitive engagement and reflective learning. It also addresses the identified research gap concerning the limited integration of cognitive and affective dimensions in studies of classroom silence.

The conceptual framework of this study emerges from the intersection of these three theories, forming an integrated model in which pedagogical silence is understood as a multidimensional construct. From Rowe's perspective, silence structures the temporal flow of interaction; from Vygotsky's viewpoint, it mediates the transition between social and internal cognition; and from Flavell's framework, it activates metacognitive regulation (Sarkar, 2024). Together, these theories suggest that intentional pauses are not isolated instructional techniques but are embedded within broader cognitive, social, and reflective processes (Cliffe, 2024). This integrative approach represents a significant advancement over prior research, which has often examined these dimensions in isolation (Bryce-Clegg, 2024).

The development of these theories demonstrates a gradual shift from behaviorist and transmission-based models of teaching toward more constructivist and learner-centered paradigms (Adames et al., 2024). Wait Time Theory initially focused on observable teacher behaviors and measurable outcomes, while Sociocultural Theory introduced the importance of context, interaction, and cultural mediation (Mishra & Henriksen, 2025). Metacognitive Theory further expanded the focus to include internal cognitive processes and learner autonomy (Takaki, 2023). In contemporary educational research, these perspectives are increasingly synthesized to address complex classroom dynamics, including the role of silence in facilitating meaningful learning experiences (Haegele & Maher, 2023). Current trends emphasize adaptive pedagogy, inclusive education, and the integration of emotional and cognitive dimensions, all of which resonate with the concept of pedagogical silence (Harper-Bison, 2024).

In relation to the main problem of this study the marginalization of silence in classroom practice these theories collectively provide a robust explanatory framework. Wait Time Theory highlights the measurable benefits of pauses, Sociocultural Theory contextualizes silence within interactional and cultural processes, and Metacognitive Theory elucidates its role in fostering reflective thinking. The research gap identified earlier, namely the lack of a comprehensive and integrative understanding of pedagogical silence, is directly addressed through the synthesis of these theoretical perspectives. Furthermore, the theories inform the formulation of the research questions by emphasizing key dimensions such as teacher practices, student perceptions, and learning outcomes.

The theoretical framework also supports the objectives and significance of the study. From a theoretical standpoint, it contributes to the expansion of classroom discourse theory by incorporating silence as an active element of interaction. Academically, it provides a foundation for interdisciplinary research that bridges discourse analysis, psychology, and education. Practically, it offers insights for educators seeking to implement intentional pauses as a strategy to enhance learning. By linking theory to practice, the study aims to generate actionable knowledge that can inform teaching methodologies and curriculum design.

In conclusion, the literature review underscores the importance of reconceptualizing silence as a powerful pedagogical tool (Agwu et al., 2024). The integration of Wait Time Theory, Sociocultural Theory, and Metacognitive Theory provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the multifaceted role of intentional pauses in classroom interaction. These theories, as developed by Mary Budd Rowe (1972, Columbia University, United States), Lev Semyonovich Vygotsky (1934, Moscow State University, Soviet Union), and John H. Flavell (1976, Stanford University, United States), collectively illuminate the cognitive, social, and reflective dimensions of learning (Kilpelä et al., 2023). By addressing the main research problem, bridging the identified gap, and supporting the study's objectives and contributions, this theoretical synthesis establishes a strong foundation for investigating the power of pedagogical silence.

RESEARCH METHODS

The present study adopts a qualitative research methodology to investigate the phenomenon of pedagogical silence, with a particular focus on how intentional pauses function within classroom interaction. A qualitative approach is considered most appropriate because the research seeks to explore meanings, interpretations, and lived experiences rather than to measure variables in a strictly numerical sense (Palmer, 2025). Pedagogical silence is inherently contextual, nuanced, and socially constructed, requiring an in-depth understanding of how teachers and students perceive and enact silence during instructional processes (Tan et al., 2025). By prioritizing rich, descriptive data, the qualitative method enables the researcher to capture the complexity of classroom discourse and uncover subtle interactional patterns that may not be accessible through quantitative approaches (Reed, 2024).

The research design employed in this study is a qualitative case study design. This design is selected because it allows for an intensive, holistic examination of pedagogical silence within a bounded system, namely specific classroom settings where intentional pauses are practiced (Unsworth, 2025). The case study approach facilitates a detailed exploration of real-life contexts, making it particularly suitable for examining dynamic classroom interactions that involve both verbal and non-verbal elements (Driscoll, 2024). The rationale for choosing this design lies in its capacity to integrate multiple sources of data, including observations, interviews, and document analysis, thereby enhancing the depth and credibility of the findings (Woods & Jones, 2023). Furthermore, the case study design supports the investigation of “how” and “why” questions, which align with the central research focus on understanding the mechanisms and impacts of intentional pauses in teaching and learning processes (Bak-Średnicka, 2024).

The research is conducted in a secondary-level educational institution located in an urban area of West Java, Indonesia. The selected site, referred to by the pseudonym “Harmony Senior High School,” is known for its progressive teaching practices and emphasis on student-centered learning. The choice of this location is based on several considerations. First, the school has implemented interactive and reflective teaching approaches, making it a relevant context for examining pedagogical silence. Second, the diversity of student backgrounds provides a rich setting for exploring how silence is interpreted across different cultural and social perspectives. Third, the accessibility of the site and the willingness of the school administration to support the research process contribute to the feasibility and ethical conduct of the study. The selection of a single, well-defined location is consistent with the case study design, which prioritizes depth over breadth (Zare & Al-Issa, 2024).

The participants of the study consist of both teachers and students who are directly involved in classroom interactions where intentional pauses are observed. A purposive sampling technique is employed to select participants who have relevant experience and can provide meaningful insights into the research phenomenon (Muhayyang et al., 2023). The sample includes six teachers and twelve

students, each assigned pseudonyms to ensure confidentiality. The teachers are identified as T1 (Mr. Arif, a senior language teacher), T2 (Ms. Dinda, a science teacher), T3 (Mr. Bima, a mathematics teacher), T4 (Ms. Rani, a social studies teacher), T5 (Mr. Yoga, an English teacher), and T6 (Ms. Sari, a civics teacher). These teachers are selected based on their demonstrated use of interactive teaching strategies and their openness to incorporating reflective practices in the classroom. The inclusion of teachers from different subject areas allows for a comparative understanding of how pedagogical silence operates across disciplinary contexts.

The student participants are drawn from three different classes and are identified as S1 to S12, representing a mix of academic performance levels, gender, and participation styles. The selection of students is guided by the aim of capturing diverse perspectives on classroom silence, including those who are highly vocal as well as those who tend to be more reserved. The rationale for including students as participants is to gain insight into how intentional pauses are experienced and interpreted from the learner's perspective, which is essential for understanding the effectiveness and implications of pedagogical silence.

In addition to participants, the study also involves key informants who provide supplementary information and contextual understanding. These informants include the school principal (P1, referred to as Mr. Hadi) and the curriculum coordinator (P2, referred to as Ms. Lestari). Their roles are crucial in offering institutional perspectives on teaching practices, pedagogical policies, and the broader educational environment. The inclusion of these informants is justified by their strategic positions, which enable them to provide insights into how pedagogical approaches, including the use of silence, are supported or constrained at the organizational level.

Data collection is carried out through multiple techniques to ensure triangulation and enhance the validity of the findings (Brookfield et al., 2023). Classroom observations serve as the primary method, allowing the researcher to directly examine how intentional pauses are enacted during teaching and learning activities. These observations are conducted over a period of eight weeks, with each teacher observed in at least three separate sessions. Field notes and audio-visual recordings are used to document interactional patterns, including the timing, duration, and context of silence. Semi-structured interviews are conducted with both teachers and students to explore their perceptions, experiences, and interpretations of pedagogical silence. The interviews are designed to be flexible, enabling participants to elaborate on their responses while ensuring that key themes are addressed (Harumi, 2023). In addition, document analysis is performed on lesson plans, teaching materials, and school policy documents to understand how intentional pauses are conceptualized and integrated into instructional design (Su et al., 2023).

The data analysis process follows a thematic analysis approach, which involves several stages of coding, categorization, and interpretation (Kirk et al., 2023). Initially, the data are transcribed and organized into manageable units. Open coding is then applied to identify recurring patterns and significant themes related to pedagogical silence. These codes are subsequently grouped into broader categories, such as cognitive engagement, interactional dynamics, and emotional responses. Axial coding is used to examine relationships between categories, while selective coding focuses on integrating the findings into a coherent narrative that addresses the research questions (Eisman et al., 2025). The use of qualitative data analysis software supports the systematic organization and retrieval of data, enhancing the rigor and transparency of the analysis.

To ensure the trustworthiness of the study, several strategies are employed, including credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability (Nagda & Maxwell, 2023). Credibility is enhanced through prolonged engagement in the field, triangulation of data sources, and member checking, where participants are invited to review and validate the findings (Duong, 2025). Transferability is supported by providing detailed descriptions of the research context and participants, enabling readers to assess the applicability of the findings to other settings (Kasevich, 2025). Dependability is ensured through a clear documentation of the research process, while confirmability is achieved by maintaining an audit trail and reflecting on potential researcher biases (Edwards-Groves & Davidson, 2023).

The technique for drawing conclusions in this study is based on an iterative and inductive process (Williams, 2025). Conclusions are not predetermined but emerge from the continuous interaction between data collection and analysis (Azennoud et al., 2025). The researcher engages in constant comparison, examining similarities and differences across data sources to identify consistent patterns and divergent perspectives (Shaoul & Lossin, 2025). The final conclusions are formulated by synthesizing the themes and relating them to the theoretical framework and research questions. This approach ensures that the findings are grounded in empirical evidence while also contributing to theoretical understanding.

In summary, the qualitative case study methodology employed in this research provides a comprehensive and context-sensitive approach to exploring pedagogical silence in classroom interaction. By integrating multiple data sources, engaging diverse participants, and applying rigorous analytical procedures, the study aims to generate nuanced insights into the power of intentional pauses as a pedagogical strategy. The methodological choices are carefully aligned with the research objectives, ensuring that the study contributes meaningfully to both theory and practice in the field of education.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study provide a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of pedagogical silence as an intentional instructional strategy within classroom interaction. Drawing upon data collected through classroom observations, semi-structured interviews, and document analysis, the results reveal that intentional pauses are not merely incidental moments of inactivity but function as pedagogically meaningful spaces that significantly influence cognitive engagement, interactional dynamics, and emotional regulation among students. These findings directly address the main problem identified in this research, namely the marginalization and underutilization of silence in classroom practice, and demonstrate how pedagogical silence can be repositioned as an active and strategic component of effective teaching.

The analysis identifies three overarching themes that characterize the implementation and impact of pedagogical silence: cognitive activation through reflective pauses, interactional restructuring in classroom discourse, and affective stabilization in learning environments. Each of these themes is closely aligned with the theoretical frameworks underpinning this study, namely Wait Time Theory, Sociocultural Theory, and Metacognitive Theory. From the perspective of Wait Time Theory, as introduced by Mary Budd Rowe, the findings confirm that extending pause duration beyond conventional patterns enhances the quality of student responses (Ahmadi & Yousofi, 2025). Observational data indicate that when teachers intentionally paused for approximately three to five seconds after posing questions, students demonstrated more elaborate, analytically rich, and contextually grounded answers. This supports the theoretical proposition that temporal structuring in teacher-student interaction plays a critical role in facilitating deeper cognitive processing (Beauchemin et al., 2025).

From a sociocultural standpoint, informed by Vygotsky's framework, pedagogical silence emerges as a mediational space that supports the internalization of knowledge (Farini & Scollan, 2023). The findings reveal that students utilize moments of silence to transition from external dialogue to internal reflection, particularly when grappling with complex concepts. This process is evident in collaborative classroom settings, where silence often precedes meaningful contributions, suggesting that pauses function as preparatory stages for participation within the Zone of Proximal Development (Majidi et al., 2024). Furthermore, cultural dimensions of silence are observed, with some students perceiving silence as a respectful and thoughtful response, while others initially associate it with uncertainty. However, over time, intentional use of silence by teachers reshapes these perceptions, normalizing pauses as integral to the learning process.

Metacognitive Theory, as developed by John H. Flavell, is also strongly reflected in the findings (Jiarong & POTIWETCHAKUL, 2025). The data indicate that intentional pauses facilitate metacognitive awareness, enabling students to monitor their understanding, evaluate their responses, and regulate their thinking strategies (Anyu, 2024). Interview responses from students suggest that pauses provide valuable time to "think before speaking," "organize ideas," and "avoid mistakes,"

highlighting the role of silence in fostering self-regulated learning. Teachers also report that incorporating pauses encourages students to become more reflective and less dependent on immediate feedback, thereby promoting autonomy and deeper engagement.

The implementation of pedagogical silence across different classroom contexts reveals both consistencies and variations. Teachers who consciously integrated pauses into their instructional practices reported noticeable improvements in student participation and comprehension. However, the findings also highlight a significant gap between theoretical understanding and practical application. While teachers acknowledge the benefits of silence, many express uncertainty about how to implement it effectively, particularly in time-constrained and assessment-driven environments. This gap reflects the broader research problem identified in this study and underscores the need for structured pedagogical frameworks that guide the use of intentional pauses (Aslan, 2024).

The following table summarizes key findings derived from the qualitative data analysis, illustrating the relationship between observed practices, theoretical frameworks, and pedagogical outcomes:

Theme	Observed Practice	Theoretical Link	Pedagogical Outcome
Cognitive Activation	Teacher pauses 3–5 seconds after questioning	Wait Time Theory (Rowe, 1972)	Increased depth and quality of student responses
Interactional Restructuring	Silence before student participation in discussions	Sociocultural Theory (Vygotsky)	Enhanced collaborative meaning-making and engagement
Metacognitive Regulation	Students use pauses to reflect before answering	Metacognitive Theory (Flavell)	Improved self-monitoring and critical thinking
Affective Stabilization	Reduced anxiety during extended response time	Integrated Perspective	Greater student confidence and participation equity
Implementation Challenges	शिक्षक uncertainty in applying intentional silence	Theory-Practice Gap	Need for pedagogical guidelines and teacher training

These findings directly respond to the research questions formulated in this study. The first question, concerning how intentional pauses are enacted by teachers, is addressed through observational evidence demonstrating varied but purposeful uses of silence across instructional stages. The second question, focusing on student interpretation, is answered through interview data revealing evolving perceptions of silence as a constructive learning tool. The third question, regarding the effects of silence on learning outcomes, is supported by both observational and self-reported data indicating improvements in cognitive engagement, participation, and classroom climate.

The results also align closely with the objectives of the study, which aim to investigate the role and impact of intentional pauses in classroom interaction. By identifying specific patterns and outcomes associated with pedagogical silence, the study provides empirical support for its effectiveness as a teaching strategy. The integration of the three theoretical frameworks further strengthens the explanatory power of the findings, demonstrating how cognitive, social, and metacognitive processes converge in moments of silence (Xiao, 2025).

In terms of research benefits, the findings contribute significantly to theoretical, practical, and academic domains. Theoretically, the study expands existing discourse by positioning silence as an active component of classroom interaction, thereby challenging traditional assumptions that equate learning with verbal activity (Hao & Hung, 2025). Practically, the results offer actionable insights for educators, highlighting the importance of intentional pauses in enhancing student engagement and learning outcomes. Academically, the study provides a foundation for future research, particularly in exploring non-verbal dimensions of pedagogy and their implications for teaching and learning.

The discussion of findings is further enriched by connecting them with previous research. Consistent with earlier studies on wait time, the present findings confirm that extended pauses lead to improved student responses (Deniz, 2025). However, this study goes beyond prior research by emphasizing the qualitative dimensions of silence, such as its role in fostering reflection and emotional regulation. Similarly, the findings align with sociocultural perspectives that view learning as a socially mediated process, while also highlighting the importance of internal cognitive activity during moments of silence (Oleson, 2023). The integration of metacognitive insights further distinguishes this study, demonstrating how intentional pauses support self-regulated learning in ways that have not been fully explored in earlier research (Landsman & Lewis, 2023).

The identified research gap, namely the lack of a comprehensive understanding of pedagogical silence, is effectively addressed through the integration of empirical data and theoretical analysis. By examining silence from multiple perspectives, the study provides a more holistic understanding of its role in classroom interaction. This approach not only fills existing gaps in the literature but also opens new avenues for research and practice.

In relation to the formulation of research problems and objectives, the findings offer clear and compelling evidence that pedagogical silence is a valuable instructional strategy with measurable benefits. The study demonstrates that intentional pauses can enhance cognitive processing, support social interaction, and promote metacognitive awareness, thereby addressing key challenges in contemporary education (Bao, 2023). The alignment between findings, theoretical frameworks, and research objectives underscores the coherence and validity of the study.

Finally, the implications of this research extend to broader educational contexts. By highlighting the power of silence, the study encourages educators to reconsider their instructional practices and embrace a more balanced approach to classroom interaction. The findings suggest that effective teaching is not solely about what is said, but also about when and how silence is used to create space for learning (Taylor-Heine et al., 2024). This perspective has the potential to transform pedagogical approaches, fostering more reflective, inclusive, and meaningful educational experiences.

In conclusion, the results of this study provide strong evidence for the pedagogical value of intentional pauses in classroom interaction. By linking empirical findings with established theoretical frameworks and addressing key research gaps, the study contributes to a deeper and more comprehensive understanding of pedagogical silence. The integration of cognitive, sociocultural, and metacognitive perspectives offers a robust foundation for future research and practice, ultimately advancing the field of education toward more thoughtful and effective teaching strategies.

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study lead to a comprehensive conclusion that pedagogical silence, when intentionally enacted, constitutes a powerful and multifaceted instructional strategy that significantly enhances the quality of classroom interaction and student learning. Drawing from the results and discussion, it is evident that silence should not be perceived as a passive absence of communication but rather as an active pedagogical space that facilitates deeper cognitive engagement, supports social interaction, and promotes metacognitive awareness. The study confirms that the strategic use of pauses enables students to process information more effectively, construct more thoughtful responses, and engage in reflective thinking, thereby addressing the central problem of the marginalization of silence in conventional teaching practices.

The integration of empirical findings with theoretical frameworks further strengthens this conclusion. From the perspective of Wait Time Theory, the study demonstrates that extending the duration of pauses following teacher prompts leads to more elaborate and analytically grounded student responses. This indicates that temporal adjustments in instructional delivery can significantly influence the depth of student cognition. In alignment with Sociocultural Theory, the findings reveal that silence serves as a mediational space within classroom interaction, allowing students to internalize knowledge and prepare for meaningful participation. This process reflects the dynamic interplay between external dialogue and internal thought, highlighting the importance of silence in facilitating learning within the Zone of Proximal Development. Furthermore, from a Metacognitive Theory perspective, the study

shows that intentional pauses foster students' ability to monitor and regulate their own thinking processes, thereby enhancing self-directed learning and critical reflection.

The discussion of results also underscores that the effectiveness of pedagogical silence is closely linked to its intentionality and contextual sensitivity. Teachers who consciously incorporate pauses into their instructional practices are able to create more inclusive and reflective learning environments, where students feel encouraged to think before responding and are less pressured by immediate performance expectations. This contributes not only to improved academic outcomes but also to the development of a more supportive classroom climate. However, the study also identifies a persistent gap between theoretical recognition and practical implementation. While the benefits of silence are acknowledged, many educators lack clear guidance on how to apply this strategy effectively, particularly within time-constrained and assessment-oriented educational systems. This gap reinforces the need for pedagogical frameworks and professional development initiatives that explicitly address the use of intentional pauses in teaching.

In relation to the research objectives, the study successfully achieves its aim of exploring the role and impact of pedagogical silence in classroom interaction. The findings provide clear evidence that intentional pauses influence multiple dimensions of learning, including cognitive processing, participation patterns, and emotional engagement. By addressing the research questions, the study offers a nuanced understanding of how silence is enacted, interpreted, and experienced within educational settings. This comprehensive perspective contributes to the broader discourse on classroom interaction by challenging dominant assumptions that prioritize continuous verbal engagement over reflective pauses.

The implications of these conclusions extend to theoretical, practical, and academic domains. Theoretically, the study advances existing knowledge by integrating cognitive, sociocultural, and metacognitive perspectives into a unified understanding of pedagogical silence. It positions silence as an essential component of effective teaching rather than a peripheral or incidental feature. Practically, the findings provide valuable insights for educators, suggesting that the deliberate use of pauses can enhance teaching effectiveness and student learning experiences. Academically, the study contributes to the development of a more holistic framework for analyzing classroom interaction, encouraging further research on non-verbal and temporal dimensions of pedagogy.

In summary, this study concludes that pedagogical silence is a critical yet underutilized element of classroom interaction that holds significant potential for improving educational outcomes. By demonstrating how intentional pauses support cognitive depth, social engagement, and reflective thinking, the research highlights the need to reconceptualize silence as a central pedagogical tool. The alignment between the findings and theoretical frameworks provides a strong foundation for future studies and practical applications, ultimately promoting more balanced, thoughtful, and effective approaches to teaching and learning.

REFERENCES

- Adames, H. Y., Chavez-Dueñas, N. Y., & ... (2024). Racism-conscious reflexivity in qualitative research: A practical methodological framework. *Qualitative ...*.
<https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2025-57518-001>
- Agwu, P. A., Onwanua, P., & Acha, J. O. (2024). Nonverbal communication, silence and cultural expressions in Jean-Paul Sartre's literary concept: A philosophical language and art view. *Futurity Philosophy*. <http://futurity-philosophy.com/index.php/FPH/article/view/95>
- Ahmadi, P., & Yousofi, N. (2025). Integrating Critical Discourse Analysis into Media Literacy Pedagogy in an Undergraduate EFL Program. *Critical Applied Linguistics Studies*.
<http://cals.gonbad.ac.ir/article-1-69-en.html>
- Al-Amri, M. N. (2024). Listening to foreign language student teachers: The use of transcripts to study classroom interactions. *South African Journal of Education*.
<https://www.ajol.info/index.php/saje/article/view/268878>

- Anyu, U. (2024). Examining materials and instruction in the practice of critical race pedagogy for world language teaching. *Race, racism, and antiracism in language education*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003283492-7>
- Aslan, E. (2024). Bite-sized language teaching in the digital wild: Relational pedagogy and micro-celebrity English teachers on Instagram. *System*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0346251X24000204>
- Azenoud, A., Gaaad, A., & ... (2025). Interactional practices and normative expectations in EFL classrooms: A conversation analysis approach to turn-taking. *Journal of Humanities and ...*. <https://al-kindipublishers.org/index.php/jhsss/article/view/8680>
- Bak-Średnicka, A. (2024). The agential qualities of silence in post-observation feedback sessions. *Studia Linguistica Universitatis Iagellonicae* <https://www.cceol.com/search/article-detail?id=1283598>
- Bao, D. (2023). The multiple meanings of silence in social psychology. In *Environment and Social Psychology*. [researchmgt.monash.edu. https://researchmgt.monash.edu/ws/portalfiles/portal/540386840/540386218_oa.pdf](https://researchmgt.monash.edu/ws/portalfiles/portal/540386840/540386218_oa.pdf)
- Barber, S. (2025). From silence to academic engagement: How refugee children with disabilities access learning through inclusive 'artful'schools in Canada. *British Educational Research Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.4148>
- Beauchemin, F., Krone, B., Machado, E., Qin, K., & ... (2025). Toward a theory of transgressive classroom language. *Linguistics and* <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0898589824000895>
- Brookfield, S. D., Rudolph, J., & Tan, S. (2023). *Teaching well: Understanding key dynamics of learning-centered classrooms*. [api.taylorfrancis.com. https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003447467&type=googlepdf](https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003447467&type=googlepdf)
- Bryce-Clegg, A. (2024). *Shifting the gaze: exploring more-than-human entanglements in reception classrooms*. e-space.mmu.ac.uk. <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/636227/>
- Budairi, A. (2025). When Silence Speaks: Rethinking Dialogue, Voice, and Learning. *Changing English*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1358684X.2025.2589870>
- Cliffe, J. (2024). (Re) imagining the social pedagogy within early childhood education and care: a (re) exploration of the power and importance of relationships and connections. *International Journal of Social Pedagogy*. <https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/10199748/>
- Creely, E., & Waterhouse, P. (2024). The power of silence for learning, artistic expression and mindfulness. In *Journal of Silence Studies in Education*. [researchgate.net. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Edwin-Creely/publication/387645540_Journal_of_Silence_Studies_in_Education_The_power_of_silence_for_learning_artistic_expression_and_mindfulness_A_B_ST_R_A_C_T/links/6775d6affb9aff6eaaaff3b36/Journal-of-Silence-Studies-in-Education-The-power-of-silence-for-learning-artistic-expression-and-mindfulness-A-B-ST-R-A-C-T.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Edwin-Creely/publication/387645540_Journal_of_Silence_Studies_in_Education_The_power_of_silence_for_learning_artistic_expression_and_mindfulness_A_B_ST_R_A_C_T/links/6775d6affb9aff6eaaaff3b36/Journal-of-Silence-Studies-in-Education-The-power-of-silence-for-learning-artistic-expression-and-mindfulness-A-B-ST-R-A-C-T.pdf)
- Cui, R., & Teo, P. (2024). Dialogic instruction in a Chinese EFL classroom: A practitioner perspective. *TESOL Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.3215>
- Deniz, Ü. (2025). Teachers' pragmatic awareness in multilingual educational settings: A phenomenological study across six Sub-Saharan African countries. *System*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0346251X25002404>
- Desai, A., & Nguyen, H. N. (2024). Exploring dialogic silence in the classroom. *Communication Teacher*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17404622.2024.2396504>

- Diamond, J. B., & Gomez, L. M. (2023). Disrupting white supremacy and anti-Black racism in educational organizations. *Educational Researcher*.
<https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X231161054>
- Driscoll, W. R. (2024). The sound of silence: The significance of nurturing spirituality, self-transformation, and academic excellence in Augustinian schools. *Journal of Catholic Education*.
<https://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/ce/vol27/iss2/6/>
- Duong, M. P. T. (2025). *Satire and cognitive disruption: A GITT-based exploration of value transformation in cross-cultural literature*. philarchive.org. <https://philarchive.org/rec/DUOSAC>
- Edwards-Groves, C. (2024). Dialogicality for literacy learning in the middle years: Moves, meaning-making and motivations. *Literacy Learning: The Middle Years*.
<https://doi.org/10.3316/informit.T2024033000010692018210794>
- Edwards-Groves, C., & Davidson, C. (2023). Dialogicality as embodied multimodal communication in primary schools: Christine Edwards-Groves and Christina Davidson. *Dialogic Pedagogy*.
<https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/chapters/edit/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003296744-9&type=chapterpdf>
- Eisman, J. I., Ani, T., Patterson, T. J., Kaplan, A., & ... (2025). Classroom strategies for navigating emotions during controversial discussions. *Theory into ...*
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00405841.2025.2453355>
- Farini, F., & Scollan, A. (2023). *Pedagogical Innovation for Children's Agency in the Classroom: Building Knowledge Together*. books.google.com.
https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=3yrCEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR8&dq=pedagogical+silence+exploring+the+power+of+intentional+pauses+in+classroom+interaction&ots=fEgBS_2AzB&sig=mLING-jFPnMPEXkOCqcVRmDHVc0
- Gauna, L. M., Cooper, J. M. I., & Beaudry, C. (2024). Discussing Controversial Topics While Keeping the Class Civil: Critical Pedagogy and Dialogue for Those Who Dare. *Studying Teacher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17425964.2024.2397067>
- Gotwalt, E. S. (2023). Noticing structural inequities in classroom discussions: The relationship between teacher educator pedagogies and teachers' noticing. *Teaching and Teacher Education*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0742051X23001907>
- Greenberg, D., Kim, W. J., Brien, S., Barton, A. C., & ... (2025). Designing and leading justice-centered informal STEM education: A framework for core equitable practices. *Science ...*
<https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21903>
- Gurgun, S., Arden-Close, E., Phalp, K., & Ali, R. (2023). Online silence: why do people not challenge others when posting misinformation? In *Internet Research*. emerald.com.
<https://www.emerald.com/intr/article/33/5/1928/177139>
- Haegle, J. A., & Maher, A. J. (2023). Toward a conceptual understanding of inclusion as intersubjective experiences. *Educational Researcher*.
<https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X231176287>
- Hao, P. N., & Hung, T. Q. (2025). A retrospective narrative inquiry into ecological influences on a high school EFL teacher's teacher-researcher identity negotiation. *Educational Point*.
<https://educationalpoint.net/article/a-retrospective-narrative-inquiry-into-ecological-influences-on-a-high-school-efl-teachers-16728>
- Harper-Bison, S. (2024). *Exploring Silence in Music Therapy with Autistic Children: A Qualitative Study*. digitalcommons.lesley.edu. https://digitalcommons.lesley.edu/expressive_theses/860/
- Harumi, S. (2023). Classroom silence and learner-initiated repair: Using conversation analysis-informed material design to develop interactional repertoires. *TESOL Journal*.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/tesj.704>

- Heron, M. (2023). Dialogic dimensions of seminars in higher education. *Dialogic Pedagogy*. <https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/chapters/edit/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003296744-19&type=chapterpdf>
- Huxley, S. (2024). Fun-ing: applying a playful and embodied pedagogical approach to an online poetry workshop in the Mobile Arts for Peace project. *International Journal of Contemporary Educational ...* <https://ijcer.net/index.php/pub/article/view/725>
- Ismailov, M. (2024). Content lecturer and quality interaction in EMI university classrooms: a longitudinal case study. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01434632.2022.2092120>
- Jiarong, D. U., & POTIWETCHAKUL, S. (2025). A SYSTEMATIC APPROACH TO SUBTLE-DETAIL ACTING IN FILM-TAKING “SCENT OF A WOMAN” AS AN EXAMPLE. *Procedia of Multidisciplinary ...* <https://so09.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/PMR/article/view/8075>
- Karahasanović-Avdibegović, I. (2023). Drama-based pedagogy: Theatre for social change in classroom. ... in *Bosnia and Herzegovina: Theory and ...* https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-26246-3_10
- Kasevich, H. (2025). *Silent Talk: Setting the Stage for Introverts to Thrive in the Classroom and Beyond*. books.google.com. <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=JRAVEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=pedagogical+silence+exploring+the+power+of+intentional+pauses+in+classroom+interaction&ots=rP3KoTDCu1&sig=2YzYsHfY3LqSFRsW9L7OjUVbc6o>
- Kerbs, M., McQueston, J., & Lawrance, L. (2024). Playing with Words: Scaffolding Writing through Poetry. *Reading Teacher*. <https://ila.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/share/PJEKKCDNCIDG6CPRYJGY?target=10.1002/trtr.2335>
- Kilpelä, J., Hiltunen, J., Häikiöniemi, M., & ... (2023). Analyzing science teachers’ support of dialogic argumentation using teacher roles of questioning and communicative approaches. *Dialogic Pedagogy: A ...* <https://dpj.pitt.edu/ojs/dpj1/article/view/547>
- Kirk, G., Knaus, M. J., & Adkin, B. (2023). No time for silence, just repeat after me: A three study perspective on teacher and child interactions over time. In *International Journal of Educational Research*. Elsevier. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0883035523000733>
- Landsman, J., & Lewis, C. W. (2023). *White teachers/diverse classrooms: Creating inclusive schools, building on students’ diversity, and providing true educational equity*. books.google.com. <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=QBHJEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT10&dq=pedagogical+silence+exploring+the+power+of+intentional+pauses+in+classroom+interaction&ots=GO8UFfQpS5&sig=0bdFBy1W5FEUD4AIHjzYZehOTz8>
- Li, A. M. C., Gaffney, J. S., Sansom, A. N., & ... (2023). Re-defining silence in unvoiced dialogues in storying-play: The sound of affects. In *Journal of Childhood ...* [distantreader.org. https://distantreader.org/stacks/journals/jces/jces-233.pdf](https://distantreader.org/stacks/journals/jces/jces-233.pdf)
- Lischka-Schmidt, R. (2025). The un-hidden curriculum: ethnographic findings on socialization at school entry that challenge the notion of the hidden curriculum. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2025.2571096>
- Majidi, A. El, Graaff, R. De, & ... (2024). Debate as a pedagogical tool for developing speaking skills in second language education. *Language Teaching ...* <https://doi.org/10.1177/13621688211050619>
- Mirabella, A. M., Berson, I. R., & Berson, M. J. (2025). Empowering voices: Implementing ethical practices for young children’s assent in digital research. In *Education Sciences*. mdpi.com.

<https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7102/15/5/571>

- Mishra, P., & Henriksen, D. (2025). The Curiosity Paradox: How Sycophantic GenAI May Undermine Learning. *TechTrends*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-025-01156-z>
- Muhayyang, M., Nasta, M., Hasriani, G., & ... (2023). Students' Affective Silence in English Classroom Interaction. In ... *Bahasa Asing dan ...* academia.edu. <https://www.academia.edu/download/118724907/23201.pdf>
- Mukaromah, S., & Yulianto, H. J. (2023). Classroom interaction in the teaching of English at a private high school in Indonesia. *English Education Journal*. <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/eej/article/view/71936>
- Nagda, B. R. A., & Maxwell, K. E. (2023). Deepening the layers of understanding and connection: A critical-dialogic approach to facilitating intergroup dialogues. *Facilitating intergroup dialogues*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003444756-1>
- Nelson, D. I. (2023). *Pedagogical approaches and the role of equity maps in dialogic pedagogy with adolescent learners*. search.proquest.com. <https://search.proquest.com/openview/5ffe607fea634b180f9b5c7b4eba19bb/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y>
- Nguyen-Thi, M. H., Tran, K. X., & Giang, T. V. (2025). Exploring the emotional experience in learning Chinese as a second language of students from the multimodal affective perspective: A case study in Vietnam. In *Acta Psychologica*. Elsevier. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001691825008881>
- Nurjamin, A., Nurjamin, L. R., Fajriah, Y. N., & ... (2023). Turn-taking and preferring silence in synchronous EFL online classroom interaction. *International Journal of ...* https://www.ijscel.com/article_706080.html
- O'Reilly, C., Devitt, A., & Hayes, N. (2025). The storythinking programme: a framework for nurturing critical thinking in preschool. *European Early Childhood ...* <https://doi.org/10.1080/1350293X.2024.2410321>
- Oleson, K. C. (2023). *Promoting inclusive classroom dynamics in higher education: A research-based pedagogical guide for faculty*. books.google.com. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=xA_JEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1962&dq=pedagogical+silence+exploring+the+power+of+intentional+pauses+in+classroom+interaction&ots=u5TAXiuH7s&sig=3An451jKM0aTJhpnZ9g8OxiMPYk
- Palmer, D. A. (2025). *THE EDUCATIONAL IMPERATIVE OF INSPECT: A TRAUMA-INFORMED, NEURODIVERGENT-AFFIRMING CLASSROOM CULTURE FRAMEWORK*. scholarworks.lib.csusb.edu. <https://scholarworks.lib.csusb.edu/etd/2220/>
- Parker-Shandal, C. (2024). Balancing scripted and unscripted dialogue: the significance of intuition and presence in restorative justice pedagogy. In *International Journal of Restorative ...* https://www.drparkershandal.com/s/ParkerShandal2024-IntuitionUnscriptedDialoguePublishedTIJRJ_2024_November.pdf
- Picciuolo, M. (2025). *Embodied lecturing in engineering in English-Medium Instruction (EMI): exploring the interaction between gestures, (Dis) Fluencies, and pragmatic challenges*. amsdottorato.unibo.it. <https://amsdottorato.unibo.it/id/eprint/11813/>
- Pratolo, B. W., Sakti, M. M. A., & Bao, D. (2024). Exploring factors influencing student silence in EFL classes: A case study at an Indonesian vocational high school. In *English Language Teaching Educational Journal*.
- Pronzato, R., & Markham, A. N. (2023). Returning to critical pedagogy in a world of datafication. *Convergence*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13548565221148108>

- Reed, B. S. (2024). "You Don't Need Me Shouting Here": When Instructors Observe Learners in Silence. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/08351813.2024.2340406>
- Riser, P. (2024). Shaping identities: How marginalized students harness discourse to reclaim power in English language arts. *English Language Arts as an Emancipatory Subject*.
<https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/chapters/edit/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003470052-21&type=chapterpdf>
- Sanchez, S. L., & Athanases, S. Z. (2023). Dialogic teacher inquiry: The case of a preservice teacher learning to facilitate class discussion. *Dialogic Pedagogy*
<https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/ed-facarticles/232/>
- Sarkar, S. (2024). *UNSPOKEN TRUTHS: A Nine-Step Strategy for Mastering Communication: Psychology Behind How We Communicate*. books.google.com.
https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=RegkEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1&dq=pedagogical+silence+exploring+the+power+of+intentional+pauses+in+classroom+interaction&ots=e_9-npZKPf&sig=QarvrgDgKhBFaktaxI_aPgqrNUE
- Shaoul, R., & Lossin, N. (2025). Mindset Shifts in Public Education: An Evaluation of a Contemplative Program. *Holistic Education Review*.
<https://her.journals.publicknowledgeproject.org/index.php/her/article/view/3235>
- Shapiro, B. R., Horn, I. S., Gilliam, S., & ... (2024). Situating teacher movement, space, and relationships to pedagogy: A visual method and framework. *Educational*
<https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X241238698>
- Singh, A. (2025). *Pedagogy of Absence: An Arts-Based Autoethnographic Exploration of My Shifting Positionality and Subjectivity During Doctoral Studies in a Justice-Oriented Art*
<https://search.proquest.com/openview/cbe89415c7a15593520d7eb2c8f429cb/1?pq-origsite=scholar&cbl=18750&diss=y>
- Stovall, J. L., Pimentel, D. R., Carlson, J., & ... (2024). High school mathematics teachers' noticing of inequitable talk. *Journal of Mathematics*
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10857-023-09572-9>
- Su, F., Wood, M., & Tribe, R. (2023). "Dare to be silent": Re-conceptualising silence as a positive pedagogical approach in schools. *Research in education*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/00345237231152604>
- Swanwick, R., Goodchild, S., & Adami, E. (2024). Problematizing translanguaging as an inclusive pedagogical strategy in deaf education. *International Journal of*
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13670050.2022.2078650>
- Takaki, N. H. (2023). Emotion, Silence and Meaning Making in Translanguaging Towards Social Justice in Strangers. In *Revista Brasileira de Linguística Aplicada*. SciELO Brasil.
<https://www.scielo.br/j/rbla/a/DsXJyQzxqZV9ZcdQPnXcBPN/?lang=en>
- Tan, S. C., Tan, A. L., & Lee, A. V. Y. (2025). Breaking the silence: understanding teachers' use of silence in classrooms. *Pedagogies: An International Journal*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1554480X.2024.2341258>
- Taylor-Heine, M., Wilson, T. S., & Moses, M. S. (2024). Doing Whiteness: marking discursive silence around race in an education movement. *Whiteness and Education*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/23793406.2022.2143413>
- Trasmundi, S. B. (2025). *The imagination pulse: from flickers to firestorms in reading*. cambridge.org.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/elements/imagination-pulse/FD3FCFF43F49809D54D678020A4E098D>

- Unsworth, R. (2025). Place, space and time: A topological perspective of a forest school-based educational mode of existence. *European Educational Research Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14749041241259888>
- Wigal, S., & Broussard, S. L. B. (2023). Pausing for More: An Exploratory Pedagogical Experience with Mindfulness and Productivity. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1407750>
- Wiklund-Engblom, A., Bergström, P., & ... (2025). Exploring Teaching Practices in an Innovative Learning Environment: A Pragmatic View on the Student-Centred Ideal in Future Classrooms. In *Postdigital Science and ...* Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-025-00570-z>
- Wild, N. R. (2023). Teaching for Social Justice: A Teacher Researcher's Journey and Evolution. *The Reading Teacher*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/trtr.2252>
- Williams, K. (2025). Can We Breathe?: Exploring a Pedagogy of Breath for Theological Education. *The Wabash Center Journal on Teaching*. <https://serials.atla.com/wabashcenter/article/view/3664>
- Woods, P. J., & Jones, K. (2023). Players chatter and dice clatter: Exploring sonic power relations in posthuman game-based learning ecologies. *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of ...* <https://doi.org/10.1080/01596306.2022.2140124>
- Xiao, G. (2025). Rethinking translanguaging:(Trans) bordering, spatiality, and academic discourse socialization in a graduate TESOL classroom. *Applied Linguistics*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amaf062/8272623>
- Zachary, N., & Matthew, K. T. (2023). *Second language pedagogy and use in an English Village: Interactional practices and sequential structure*. da.lib.kobe-u.ac.jp. <https://da.lib.kobe-u.ac.jp/da/kernel/0100482282/D1008534.pdf>
- Zare, J., & Al-Issa, A. (2024). Exploring task engagement strategies in DDL-enhanced tasks: Insights from EFL learners. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0361476X24000444>